

African Journal of Agricultural Research

Full Length Research Paper

Structural and productive characteristics of Cowpea (*Vigna ungiculata*) under different water availabilities

Guimarães S. L.*, Bonfim-Silva E. M., Moreira J. C. F., Silva S. L. S., Bosa C. K., Silva T. J. A. and Koetz M.

Federal University of Mato Grosso, Institute of Agricultural and Technological Sciences, 5055 Students Ave. Rondonópolis, 78.735-901, Brazil

Received 5 October, 2018; Accepted 8 November, 2018

The cowpea has stood out on the national scene, opening up new perspectives and applications of a more suitable management to ensure quality product. Therefore, it is important to know the responsiveness to the levels of deficit and excess water in the soil. Thus, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the initial development of cowpea plants subjected to different soil water availabilities. The experiment was conducted in a greenhouse, in a completely randomized design with six water availabilities (40, 60, 80, 100, 120 and 140% of the maximum soil water holding capacity) with four replicates. Maintaining soil moisture was performed using gravimetric method. The results were submitted to analysis of variance and regression at 5% probability through Sisvar statistical program. The plant height, stem diameter, number of leaves, dry mass of shoots and roots were evaluated 35 days after implantation of the treatments. The water availabilities that provide better development and yield of cowpea BRS Nova Era stood in the range of 78.5 to 84.5% of the maximum soil water retention.

Key words: Brazilian Cerrado, Vigna unguiculata, water stress.

INTRODUCTION

The cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) expands to the Cerrado region, in the North, Northeast and Midwest, where it is incorporated into production arrangements as second crop after soybean and rice and, in some places, as main crop (Freire, 2011). In the Cerrado region, especially when it is grown as second crop, the cowpea has a very competitive cost, a factor that has increased the interest of producers for the culture, as they may use the same practices of the previous crop. The expansion in the cowpea market opens up new perspectives and applications of a more suitable management to ensure quality product (Almeida et al., 2012).

According to Frota et al. (2008), the cowpea has short

*Corresponding author. Email: slguimaraes@ufmt.br.

cycle, low water requirement and ability to fix atmospheric N through N fixing bacteria. In this context, inoculation with effective rhizobia is a viable alternative to increase the productivity of the crop supplying the N needs by plants, lowering production costs and raising the income of the producer (Chagas et al., 2010). In general, for plant development, there is need for a balance between the water amount and the space occupied by the air in the soil. Legumes are usually sensitive to flooding and it may limit their growth because the nodes need O_2 to maintain the aerobic respiration necessary to supply the large amount of ATP, essential for nitrogenase activity (Thomas et al., 2005).

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> License 4.0 International License In contrast, the soil water deficit can affect the root respiration and have adverse effects on plant development, with stomatal closure as the main response to stress, caused by the synthesis of abscisic acid, the consequent withering of leaves and the disability of the photosynthetic process affecting growth, subsequently (Sutcliffe, 1980). Yet, the plants submitted to the deficit have their stomatal conductance affected and this reduces the photosynthetic and growth rates, resulting in decreased production of shoots and roots (Santiago et al., 2001). Thus, the aim of the present study is to evaluate the development and yield of cowpea under different soil water availabilities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location and experimental conditions

The experiment was conducted in a greenhouse at the Federal University of Mato Grosso, Rondonópolis Campus, located at latitude 16°27'44" S and longitude 54°34'48" W, 290 m. The soil was dystrophic Red Latosol, of medium texture, collected in the layer 0-0.20 m deep, in native Brazilian Cerrado area, and sifted through a 4 mm mesh sieve. Each plot consisted of pots of 8 dm³ soil. The chemical and physical characterization of the soil was conducted in accordance with Embrapa (2017) and showed some characteristics. These characteristics include: pH (CaCl₂): 4.1; P (mg dm⁻³) = 2.4; K (mg dm⁻³) = 28 mg dm⁻³; Ca (cmol_c dm⁻³) = 0.3; Mg (cmol_c dm⁻³) = 0.2; H (cmol_c dm⁻³) = 4.2; Al (cmol_c dm⁻³) = 1.1; SB (cmol_c dm⁻³) = 0.6; CEC (cmol_c dm⁻³) = 5.9; V (%) = 9.8; organic matter (g dm⁻³) = 22.7; sand (g kg⁻¹) = 549; silt (g kg⁻¹) = 84; clay (g kg⁻¹) = 367.

The soil correction was performed by the base saturation method, up to the level of 60%, with addition of dolomitic limestone (PRNT = 80.3%). After the limestone incorporation, the soil samples were moistened to field capacity and incubated for 30 days. The experimental design was completely randomized, with five water availabilities (40, 60, 80, 100, 120 and 140% of the maximum soil water retention) and four replicates. The maximum soil water retention capacity in the pots was maintained by the gravimetric method, according to Bonfim-Silva et al., (2011). The basic fertilization with P and K was incorporated into the soil at planting. In accordance with the chemical analysis of the soil, 110 mg dm⁻³ P₂O₅ and 50 mg dm⁻³ K₂O were applied, using simple superphosphate and potassium chloride as sources, respectively.

The cowpea seeds (cultivar BRS Nova Era) were sown in pots and 5 days after the emergency, thinning was performed, leaving three plants per pot, which were grown for 35 days.

As a way of providing N to plants, 5 mL of the bacterial broth produced by multiplying the BR 3267 strain (*Bradyrhizobium japonicum*, recommended for inoculation in cowpea plants) was applied directly to the root zone of each plant. To obtain the bacterial broth, pure colonies of the rhizobia were multiplied for 24 h under constant agitation at 100 rpm in Dygs culture medium (Rodrigues et al., 1986). The water availability of all experimental plots was maintained at 80% for 15 days after germination, for plant establishment. After the application of the treatments and the stabilization of the respective availability to each treatment, two weighing of each experimental plot were performed daily to replace the water consumed by evapotranspiration, always in the early morning and late afternoon.

Thirty-five days after the implantation of treatments, it were performed the counting of leaves, the determination of height, stem diameter and the reading of chlorophyll content with chlorophyll meter. Later, cutting of shoots was performed close to the ground and the roots were sieved and washed in a 2 mm mesh to prevent any loss. The shoots and roots were packed separately in paper bags and dried in forced air circulation oven at 65 ± 5 °C until constant mass. Then, this material was weighed on a precision scale to determine the dry mass of shoots and roots.

Statistical analysis

The results were submitted to analysis of variance and regression test at 5% probability, using the statistical program Sisvar (Ferreira, 2011). The variables dry mass of shoots and dry mass of roots were transformed by $(X+1)^{0.5}$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The water availabilities applied to the soil influenced all variables analyzed for cowpea. The growth of cowpea plants was directly affected by the soil water availabilities and the effects have been intensified in treatments where the plants were subjected to water stress, caused by both excess and deficit, a trend observed in all the variables analyzed. Plants reached the greatest height (29.08 cm) with 84.5% water availability, with subsequent decline. Water stress caused by the excess soil water, when compared to the maximum height obtained, it promoted 40% reduction in plant height; yet the deficit promoted a reduction of 22%, indicating the increased sensitivity of the culture in water excess conditions (Figure 1).

Marin and Santos (2008) evaluated the growth of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Mill sp.) under water deficit, found marked reduction in plant growth with increased water stress. Notwithstanding, the results found in the literature can be divergent, because in some cases there was a reduction plant height due to the fact that water stress reduces the turgor of the cells and consequently, their growth (Costa et al., 2008); just as there may be etiolation of plants when subjected to these conditions (Aguiar et al., 2008).

The production of leaves showed a quadratic adjustment to the levels of water availability, where the maximum production of leaves (69 leaves) was obtained in the water availability of 83.5%. The highest water deficit (40%) afforded a production of 46 leaves, representing a reduction of 28.1% in relation to the maximum production. The excess water in the treatment with 140% water availability provided the production of 30 leaves, corresponding to a 53.1% reduction compared to the greatest production (Figure 2). These results show the greater sensitivity of cowpea to excess water conditions.

These results are related to the fact that the leaf growth depends mainly on the cell expansion because, once it is inhibited, there may be slowness of leaf expansion in the early development of the water deficit (Taiz and Zeiger, 2009). According to Larcher (2000), the reduction in the number of leaves of plants under water stress can be justified by increased endogenous production of hormones, such as abscisic acid and ethylene, since in



Figure 1. Height of cowpea plants, grown under different soil water availabilities.



Figure 2. Number of leaves of cowpea plants, grown under different soil water availabilities.



Figure 3. Stem diameter of cowpea plants, grown under different water availabilities.

the presence of these compounds there is greater senescence and leaf abscission.

Under water stress conditions, the plants have physiological responses such as liberation of abscisic acid (ABA), which is a plant hormone induced by water stress; on the plant, ABA induces the closure of the stomata, thus reducing the excess water loss by leaves and consequently the absorption of water from the roots (Shinohara et al., 2014). The lack of O_2 accelerates the production of ACC (1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid), which is the precursor of ethylene. ACC is translocated from the root to the shoot and, in the presence of O_2 , it is converted into ethylene, which promotes shoot elongation, epinasty, leaf abscission, senescence and chlorophyll loss (TAIZ and Zeiger, 2009).

Nascimento et al. (2011), studying the development of cowpea under different levels of soil water availability, obtained linear results working with maximum water availability of 100%. Under these conditions, these authors observed reductions in the number of leaves, when comparing the control (100% water availability) with the levels of 80, 60 and 40%, of approximately 11, 23 and 35%; showing that the culture has increased tolerance to water deficit during the growing season, and hence

greater sensitivity at the beginning of the reproductive period.

The reduction in the development of plants under water deficit conditions is more emphasized in the development of leaves than in the stem expansion, this is the result of a plant defense mechanism to limit water losses by transpiration (Silva et al., 2011). The results of this study prove such statements, where the reduction in the leaf production (28.1%) remained higher than in the stem diameter (19.8%). The larger stem diameter was found with the water availability of 83.9%, resulting in 4.98 mm (Figure 3).

Evaluating the tolerance of bean genotypes to drought, Gonçalves et al., (2015) observed a 21.16% reduction in the dry mass of stem in relation to plants receiving adequate irrigation, the reductions were more pronounced for the fresh mass of stem, which was reduced by 48.65% in plants subjected to water deficit. Under flooded conditions, Fante et al. (2010) reported the formation of adventitious roots and stem hypertrophy in soybean plants. The lack of oxygen for prolonged periods leads many species to develop adventitious roots, aerenchyma and to the formation of cortical or peridermal cracks in the stems, resulting from hypertrophy (Batista et al., 2008). In flooded treatments, it was remarkable the emission of



Figure 4 (A and B). Cowpea plants subjected to treatment with 140% water availability.



Figure 5. Dry mass of shoots of cowpea plants, BRS Nova Era, grown under different water availabilities.

roots in the soil surface and the crack in the stem, resulting from hypertrophy (Figure 4A and B).

The production of dry mass of shoots of the cowpea was influenced by the soil water availabilities, being adjusted to the quadratic regression model, where the greatest production occurred with the soil to 80.6% of the maximum water holding capacity (Figure 5). The limitation in the growth of plants, imposed by the low availability of water, is mainly due to the reduction of carbon balance in the plant (Flexas and Medrano, 2002).



Figure 6. Dry mass of roots of cowpea plants, grown under different soil water availabilities.

In response to water stress, the plants close their stomata, in order to avoid excessive water loss through transpiration, but in parallel, there is a drastic reduction in CO_2 absorption, leading to a sharp decline in photosynthesis, which consequently interferes with the production of plant biomass (Alscher and Cumming, 1990). Decreases in the production of dry mass of shoots were observed by Freitas et al. (2014) and Mendes et al. (2007) in cowpea under water stress.

It is noteworthy that the development of cowpea plants is more affected by the excess soil water than by the deficit. Fante et al. (2010), evaluating 3 soybean cultivars (BRS 267, BRS 257 and BRS 213) under flooded conditions, observed average reduction of 55% in the production of dry mass of shoots. Under these conditions, respiration and plant metabolism are reduced because of oxygen deficiency in the soil, causing symptoms that initially appear as withering and leaf chlorosis, heading to stem hypertrophy, morpho-anatomical changes, decreased growth and root death (Kramer, 1983).

Evidencing the symptoms described, the dry mass of roots was influenced by the different water availabilities applied to the soil, reaching the maximum yield of 4.86 g pot⁻¹ with 78.5% water availability, nonetheless, it reached

the minimum point under a flooded condition (140% of the maximum water retention capacity), where there was practically no root growth (Figure 6). The water stress caused by water deficit in the treatment with 40% water availability was reduced by 36.6%, when compared to the maximum root production. Work done, especially under water stress conditions, demonstrate the sensitivity of legume species as beans (Custódio et al., 2009), peanuts (Duarte et al., 2013) and soybean (Firmano et al., 2009). The flooded condition of the soil (120 and 140% of the retention capacity) provided significant maximum reduction in the dry mass of roots. It is likely that after the application of treatments, the root system paralyzed its development, as reported by Palta et al. (2010), where root growth was paralyzed during the flooding, which resulted in a 67% reduction compared to the well-drained condition.

In the bean crop, the soil water availability is a major factor for plants to have a good development. Thus, knowledge of the ideal water availability for the development of plants is very important, since it is an important factor in decision-making regarding the management to be adopted, once, as seen in the results; the variation in the soil water availability directly affects the vegetative development of cowpea.

Conclusion

The water availabilities that provide better development and yield of cowpea, BRS Nova Era, stood in the range between 78.5-84.5% of the maximum soil water retention capacity for the development of cowpea. It was affected most by the water stress caused by excess water than by deficit conditions.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Federal Mato Grosso University for their support during the experiment.

REFERENCES

- Aguiar RS, Moda-Cirino V, Faria RT, Vidal LHI (2008). Avaliação de linhagens promissoras de feijoeiro (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) tolerantes ao déficit hídrico. Semina: Ciências Agrárias 29:1-14.
- Almeida WS, Fernandes FRB, Bertini CHC, Pinheiro MS, Teófilo EM (2012). Emergência e vigor de plântulas de genótipos de feijão caupi sob estresse salino. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental 16:1047-1054.
- Alscher RG, Cumming JR (1990). Stress Responses in Plants: Adaptation and Acclimation Mechanisms. Wiley-Liss, New York, USA.
- Batista CUN, Medri ME, Bianchini E, Medri C, Pimenta JA (2008). Tolerância à inundação de Cecropia pachystachya Trec. (Cecropiaceae): aspectos ecofisiológicos e morfoanatômicos. Acta Botânica Brasileira 22:91-98.
- Bonfim-Silva EM, Silva TJA, Cabral CEA, Kroth BE, Rezende D (2011). Desenvolvimento inicial de gramíneas submetidas ao estresse hídrico. Revista Caatinga 24:180-186.
- Chagas-Junior AF, Rahmeier W, Fidelis RR, Santos GR, Chagas LFB (2010). Eficiência agronômica de estirpes de rizóbio inoculadas em feijão caupi no Cerrado, Gurupi-TO. Revista Ciência Agronômica 41:709-714.
- Costa JR, Pinho JLM, Parry MM (2008). Produção de matéria seca de cultivares de milho sob diferentes níveis de estresse hídrico. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental 12:443-450.
- Custódio CC, Salomão GR, Neto NBM (2009). Estresse hídrico na germinação e vigor de sementes de feijão submetidas à diferentes soluções osmóticas. Revista Ciência Agronômica 40:617-623.
- Duarte EAA, Filho PAM, Santos RC (2013). Características agronômicas e índice de colheita de diferentes genótipos de amendoim submetidos a estresse hídrico. Revista brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental 17:843-847.
- Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (Embrapa) (2017). Manual de métodos de análises de solo. Brasília, DF, Brasil. https://www.agencia.cnptia.embrapa.br/Repositorio/Manual+de+Meto dos_000fzvhotqk02wx5ok0q43a0ram31wtr.pdf
- Fante CA, Alves JD, Goulart PFP, Deuner S, Silveira NM (2010). Respostas fisiológicas em cultivares de soja submetidas ao alagamento em diferentes estádios. Bragantia 69:253-261.

- Ferreira DF (2011). Sisvar: a computer statistical analysis system. Ciência e Agrotecnologia 35:1-4.
- Firmano RS, Kuwahara FA, Souza GM (2009). Relação entre adubação fosfatada e deficiência hídrica em soja. Ciência Rural 39:1967-1973.
- Flexas J, Medrano H (2002). Energy dissipation in C₃ plants under drought. Functional Plant Biology 29:1209-1212.
- Freire FR (2011). Feijão caupi no Brasil: Produção, melhoramento genético, avanços e desafio. Teresina, Piauí, Brasil. https://www.alice.cnptia.embrapa.br/bitstream/doc/916831/1/feijaoca upi.pdf
- Freitas RMO, Dombroski JLD, Freitas FCL, Nogueira NW, Pinto JRS (2014). Crescimento de feijão caupi sob efeito de veranico nos sistemas de plantio direto e convencional. Bioscience Journal 30:393-401.
- Frota KMG, Soares RAM, Arêas JAG (2008). Composição química do feijão caupi (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp), cultivar BRS-Milênio. Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos 28:470-476.
- Gonçalves JGR, Chiorato AF, Silva DA, Esteves JAF, Bosetti F, Carbonell SAM (2015). Análise da capacidade combinatória em feijoeiro comum submetido ao déficit hídrico. Bragantia 74:149-155.
- Kramer PJ (1983). Water relations of plants. Academic Press, New York, USA.
- Larcher W (2000). Ecofisiologia vegetal. Tradução de C.H.B.A. Prado. São Carlos: Rima, 2000. 531 p.
- Marin A, Santos DMM (2008). Interação da deficiência e da toxicidade do alumínio em guandu cultivado em hidroponia. Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira 43:1267-1275.
- Mendes RMS, Távora FJAF, Pitombeira JB, Nogueira RJMC (2007). Relações fonte-dreno em feijão-de-corda submetido à deficiência hídrica. Revista Ciência Agronômica 38:95-103.
- Nascimento SP, Bastos EA, Araújo ECE, Freire Filho FR, Silva EM (2011). Tolerância ao déficit hídrico em genótipos de feijão caupi. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental 15:853-860.
- Palta JA, Gangeali A, Turner NC, Siddique KH (2010). Effects of transient subsurface waterlogging on root growth, plant biomass and yield of chickpea. Agricultural water management 97:1469-1476.
- Rodrigues Neto J, Malavolta Júnior VA, Victor O (1986). Meio simples para o isolamento e cultivo de *Xanthomonas campestres* pv. *Citri* tipo B. Summa Phytopathologica 12:16.
- Santiago AMP, Nogueira RJC, Lopes EC (2001). Crescimento em plantas jovens de *Mimosa Caesalpiniifolia* Benth., cultivada sob estresse hídrico. Revista Ecossistema 26:23-30.
- Scholles D, Vargas LK (2004). Viabilidade da inoculação de soja com estirpes de *Bradyrhizobium* em solo inundado. Revista brasileira de Ciência do Solo 28:973-979.
- Shinohara T, Leskovar DI (2014). Effects of ABA, antitranspirants, heat and drought stress on plant growth, physiology and water status of artichoke transplants. Scientia Horticulturae 165:225-234.
- Silva MBR, Fernandes PD, Neto JD, Nery AR, Rodrigues LN, Viégas RA (2011). Crescimento e produção do pinhão-mando irrigado com água residuária sob condições de estresse hídrico. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícola e Ambiental 15:621-629.
- Sutcliffe JF (1980). As plantas e água. São Paulo, SP, Brasil. Temas de Biologia 23. http://www.worldcat.org/title/plantas-e-aagua/oclc/708394766
- Taiz L, Zeiger E (2009). Fisiologia vegetal. Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil. https://pt.scribd.com/document/257721919/Fisiologia-Vegetal-Taiz-Zeiger-3%C2%AA-Edicao
- Thomas AL, Guerreiro SMC, Sodek L (2005). Aerenchyma formation and recovery from hypoxia of the flooded root system of nodulated soybean. Annals of Botany 96:1191-1198.