Full Length Research Paper

Estimation of heterosis and combining ability for earliness and yield characters in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. Ex. Poir)

N. A. Tamilselvi[1]*, P. Jansirani[2] and L. Pugalendhi[3]

[1]Department of Vegetable Crops, Horticulture College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641 003, India.
[2]Department of Spices and Plantation Crops, Horticulture College and Research Institute, Periyakulam, Tamil Nadu, India.
[3]Tapioca and Castor Research Station, Yethapur, Salem-636 119, Tamil Nadu, India.

Heterosis breeding is a potential tool to achieve improvement in quantity, quality, and productivity of pumpkin. A line x tester mating design was used to study standard heterosis for earliness and yield characters in pumpkin. An investigation was carried out during 2009 to 2010 with twelve lines and three testers at Department of Vegetable Crops, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore to study the heterosis and combining ability for earliness and yield characters. Evaluation of parents based on *per se* and general combining ability (*gca*) effects revealed that the parents Kasi Harit, Vadhalagundu Local and CO 2 were identified as the best genotypes for improvement of earliness and yield characters. The hybrids *viz*., Kasi Harit × Avinashi Local and Vadhalagundu Local × CO 2 had registered favourable values of mean, significant sca and standard heterosis for earliness and yield characters. Considering the *per se* performance, specific combining ability (sca) and the standard heterosis, the aforementioned hybrids had registered favourable values for the most important characters like earliness, number of fruits and yield of fruits. Further, these top performing F$_1$ hybrids can be tested in different seasons over different locations for assessing their stability for high yield.

Key words: Pumpkin, *Per se* performance, general combining ability (*gca*), specific combining ability (sca) standard heterosis.

INTRODUCTION

Pumpkin *(Cucurbita moschata* Duch ex.Poir*)* originated from Central Mexico is cultivated in tropical and subtropical region all over the world and an important cucurbitaceous vegetable crop of India and principal ingredient of several Indian dishes (Mohanty and Mohanty, 1998). In India, it occupies an area of 11,060

ha with an annual production of 2, 77,560 tonnes accounting to an average productivity of 25.10 t/ha (Anon, 2012). Pumpkin is consumed as culinary vegetable at immature and mature fruit stage. It provides a valuable source of carotenoid and ascorbic acids that have a major role in nutrition in the form of pro-vitamin A and vitamin C as antioxidants (Jha et al., 2009). Its big size seeds contain appreciable quantities of protein and oil. It may serve as good substitute for edible oil, similar to that of oil of summer squash (*Cucurbita pepo).* Therefore, pumpkin as vegetable is becoming important ingredient in daily diet, but relatively little attention has been paid towards development of hybrids/varieties rich in carotenoids with high yielding capacity.

Though a wide range of variability is encountered in this crop, very little attention has been paid to exploit it in breeding programmes. A thorough knowledge about the genetic behaviour of a character is important in formulating appropriate breeding technique in a crop. The monoecious character, conspicuous and solitary flowers, large seed number per fruit and wide variability for yield, size and shape of fruit make this crop congenial for commercial breeding. During the last two decades many workers utilized heterosis breeding as a tool for improvement of yield in pumpkin (Sirohi and Ghorui, 1993). However, the genetic potential of this crop needs further exploitation to its nearest perfection. Hence the present investigation was undertaken to determine the magnitude of heterosis for earliness and yield parameters in pumpkin.

Choice of parents considered as an important aspect in any breeding programme aimed to improve the yield and its related attributes. The success of hybridization programme is generally depends upon the breeder to select suitable parents to obtain high proportion of desirable recombination. One of the possible approaches for achieving the targeted production is to identify and develop suitable hybrids with high yield and good quality. The exploitation of heterosis is much easier in cross pollinated crops and pumpkin being monoecious crop, provides ample scope for the utilization of hybrid vigour on commercial scale.

Further, the diversified parents from different locations with high yield and quality would also pave way for the development and release of hybrids through heterosis breeding. The hybrid vigour is substantially increased on crossing genetically diverse inbreds and thus heterosis mostly obtained from genetic diversity among the parents involved (Sharma, 1994).

Line x tester analysis helps in the selection of desirable parents and also appropriate breeding procedure by measuring general combining ability (*gca*), specific combining ability (sca) variances and their effects and the genetic components of variance (Singh and Narayanan, 1993).The concept of combining ability helps the breeder to determine the nature of gene action involved in the expression of quantitative traits of economic importance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material comprised fifteen diverse genotypes including twelve lines viz*.,* Pusa Vishwas (L_1), Punjab Samrat (L_2), Narendra Abhushan (L_3), Narendra Uphar (L_4), Ambili(L_5), Virudhachalam Local (L_6), Chakor (L_7), Ashoka Farm Aids (L_8), Vadhalagundu Local (L_9), Karamadai Local (L_{10}), Karwar Local (L_{11}) and Kasi Harit (L_{12}) and three testers viz., Arka Suryamukhi (T_1), Avinashi Local (T_2), CO 2 (T_3) and they were crossed in line x tester mating design to obtain thirty six hybrids. The fifteen parents and thirty six F_1 hybrids were evaluated along with the standard check "hybrid MPH-1" (Mahyco Hybrid Seeds Private Limited) in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications at Department of Vegetable Crops, Tamil Nadu Agriculture University, Coimbatore - 641103 during 2009-10.The seeds of the experimental material was planted in an inter row spacing of 2.5 m and intra row spacing of 2.5 m apart. There were five plants per plot per replication with a total of 780 plants maintained. Observations were recorded on traits viz. days to first female flower appearance, node number to first female flower appearance, sex ratio (Female flowers / Male flowers), days to first harvest, fruit number per vine, fruit weight, flesh thickness (FT) and fruit yield per vine quality traits viz.,Total carbohydrate content, total carotenoids content and crude fibre content. Total carbohydrate content of fruit was estimated at harvestable maturity with the anthrone method of Hedge and Hofreiter (1962). Total carotenoid content of fruit flesh was estimated using the method of Roy (1973) and crude fibre estimation by the method suggested by Chopra and Kanwar (1976) and expressed as per cent.

The mean values were utilized for statistical analysis. Heterosis in F_1 hybrids was estimated for each trait based on all the criteria using three mean values (Gowen, 1952). Estimation of general and specific combining ability analysis was done using the line x tester method described by Kempthrone (1957).

Estimation of combining ability effects

Both the *gca* and *sca* effects of an ijk[th] observation was derived by using the mathematical model given below

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \hat{g}_i + \hat{g}_j + \hat{s}_{ij} + \hat{l}_{ijk}$$

The general combining ability effect of parents and specific combining ability effect of hybrid combinations were estimated as follows.

$$\mu = x.. / rlt$$

gca effects of lines

$$\text{gca effect of lines } (g_i) = \frac{X_{i..}}{rt} - \frac{X...}{rlt}$$

gca effects of testers

$$\textit{gca effect of testers } (g_j) = \frac{X_{.j.}}{rl} - \frac{X...}{rlt}$$

***sca* effect of hybrids**

$$sca \text{ effects of hybrids}(s_{ij}) = \frac{X_{ij}\cdot}{r} - \frac{X_i\cdot\cdot}{rt} - \frac{X\cdot_j\cdot}{rl} + \frac{X...}{rlt}$$

Where,

x ... = Total of hybrids over 'r' number of replication

x_i.. = Total of ith line over 't' testers and 'r' replications

$x\cdot_j$. = Total of jth tester over 'l' lines and 'r' replications

sx_{ij}. = Total of the hybrids between i^{th} line and j^{th} tester over 'r' replications.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In any crop breeding programme, it is essential to eliminate the undesirable types, which can be achieved by studying the *per se* performance of parents and hybrids. The choice of parents become easy when a trait is unidirectionally controlled by a set of alleles and additive effects are prominent, since they can be chosen on the basis of *per se* performance. The *per se* performance and *gca* effects of the parents are presented in Table 1. Among the twelve lines and three testers evaluated, Vadhalagundu Local (L$_9$) was the best as it expressed good performance for yield, seven yield contributing characters *viz.,* days to first female flower appearance, sex ratio, days to first harvest, fruit number per vine and total carbohydrate content and total carotenoids content. It was followed by the line Kasi Harit (L$_{12}$) which possessed good performance for yield, yield contributing traits *viz.,* days to first female flower appearance, node number for first female flower appearance, sex ratio, days to first harvest, and fruit number per vine, quality trait *viz.,*Total carbohydrate content and total carotenoid content (Table 1).

Among the testers, CO 2 (T$_3$) ranked first as it registered superior *per se* performance for days to first female flower appearance, sex ratio, days to first harvest, fruit number per vine, fruit weight and fruit yield per vine and quality characters like total carbohydrate content and crude fibre content. This was followed by the tester Avinashi Local (T$_2$) exhibiting superiority for node number for first female flower appearance, fruit weight, FT, total carbohydrate content and total carotenoids content. However, selection of parents based on *per se* performance alone might not hold promise in producing superior hybrids.

In majority of the cases, parents with high mean performance were found to show significant *gca* effect and this was in conformity to the report of Lawande and Patil (1990) and Sundaram (2006) in bitter gourd. In the present investigation, the combining ability for each character was analysed (Table 1). The line Vadhalagundu Local (L$_9$) recorded significant *gca* effects for twelve traits *viz.,* days to first female flower appearance, node number for first female flower appearance, sex ratio, days to first harvest, fruit number per vine, total carbohydrate content, total

carotenoid content and yield. This was followed by the line Kasi Harit (L$_{12}$) which was the best combiner for days to first female flower appearance, node number for first female flower appearance , sex ratio, days to first harvest, fruit number per vine , total carbohydrate content, total carotenoid content, and yield per vine. Among testers, CO 2 (T$_3$) had significantly high *gca* effect for days to first female flower appearance, node number for first female flower appearance, fruit number per vine, total carotenoid content and yield per vine. The tester Avinashi Local (T$_2$) could also be used to develop hybrids with total carbohydrate content , total carotenoid content and fruit yield per vine as by its significant higher *gca* value (Table 1).

As evaluation based on *per se* performance and combining ability effects separately did not show parallelism, it is therefore necessary to consider both *per se* and combining ability effects together for further isolation of desirable parental genotypes and hybrids. The *per se* performance and *gca* effects were related to each other in parents. According to Sharma and Chauhan (1985), the *per se* performance and *gca* effect of the parents were directly related to each other, will result in the selection of parents with good reservoir of superior genes. Majumder and Bhowal (1988) also reported the parallelism between *per se* performance and *gca* effect. Combining *gca* and *per se,* Kasi Harit (L$_{12}$) was the best parent for days to first female flower appearance, node number for first female flower appearance, sex ratio, days to first harvest, total carotenoid content, total carbohydrate content, crude fibre content and yield. The parent Vadhalagundu Local (L$_9$) had desirable performance for days to first female flower appearance, days to first harvest, sex ratio, fruit number per vine, total carbohydrate content and total carotenoid content and fruit yield per vine. Hence crosses involving Kasi Harit (L$_{12}$) and Vadhalagundu Local (L$_9$) would help in improvement of yield (Table 1).

The *sca* effect of hybrid is the deviation from the performance predicted based on the *gca* of the parents (Allard, 1960). The *sca* effect is due to dominance, epistasis and environmental influence. Under certain favourable conditions, all the non additive gene functions may get triggered and result in high *sca* effect and mean value of a responding hybrid. Thus evaluation of a hybrid for high *per se* and *sca* effect is also an important criterion. The *per se, sca* effect and standard heterosis values of 36 hybrids were given in Table 2.

Hybrids with high *per se* and *sca* effect were evaluated for selection of best hybrids. Evaluation of hybrids for *per se* and *sca* revealed that the cross Kasi Harit ✖ Avinashi Local (L$_{12}$ ✖ T$_2$) was adjudged as the best hybrid, since it recorded the highest mean and *sca* effect for more number of traits of study *viz.,* earliness in terms of early female flowering, early node of female flower appearance, sex ratio fruit number per vine, FT, total carotenoid content and total yield per vine. The next

Table 1. *Per se* performance and *gca* of parents for earliness, yield and quality traits in pumpkin.

Parents	Days to first female appearance	Node no. for first female flower appearance	Sex ratio	Days to first harvest	Fruit number per vine	Fruit weight	FT	Total carbohydrate content	Total carotenoid content	Crude fibre content	Fruit yield per vine
Lines											
L$_1$	**53.62**	**19.12**	**18.33**	**125.70**	**1.62**	**5.28**	**3.07**	**0.77**	**0.56**	**1.38**	**5.82**
	3.35**	*-3.60***	*-1.89***	*10.21***	*-1.38***	*0.61***	*-0.28***	*-0.88***	*-0.59***	*0.03 ns*	*-2.20 ***
L$_2$	**51.00**	**23.62**	**18.65**	**126.50**	**3.87**	**3.89**	**2.77**	**1.70**	**0.96**	**0.51**	**7.98**
	-0.53ns	*0.02ns*	*-2.07***	*16.63***	*0.62***	*0.01*	*-0.12ns*	*-0.38***	*-0.49***	*-0.11 ***	*0.97 ***
L$_3$	**49.12**	**22.87**	**27.07**	**106.75**	**2.37**	**4.22**	**3.56**	**1.84**	**0.75**	**0.91**	**9.47**
	-2.28**	*-1.52***	*6.38***	*-8.54***	*-0.80***	*-0.30***	*-0.02ns*	*-0.45***	*-0.50***	*0.06 ***	*-1.70 ***
L$_4$	**50.37**	**24.62**	**19.68**	**101.50**	**4.12**	**3.35**	**2.32**	**1.78**	**0.54**	**0.79**	**7.92**
	-0.40ns	*0.32ns*	*-1.30***	*-6.88***	*-0.72***	*0.23***	*-0.35***	*-0.20***	*-0.42***	*0.00 ns*	*0.22 ***
L$_5$	**50.87**	**25.62**	**24.37**	**128.50**	**2.87**	**5.08**	**2.80**	**0.73**	**0.33**	**1.04**	**11.11**
	0.18ns	*-0.43ns*	*3.00***	*-1.33ns*	*-0.92***	*0.73***	*0.11ns*	*-0.60***	*-0.65***	*-0.01 ns*	*0.37 ***
L$_6$	**63.12**	**26.62**	**27.98**	**143.75**	**1.12**	**6.84**	**3.27**	**1.99**	**1.015**	**1.26**	**7.54**
	4.22**	*2.61***	*6.89***	*18.17***	*-1.76***	*0.94***	*0.37***	*-0.23***	*-0.44***	*0.00 ns*	*-2.41 ***
L$_7$	**52.87**	**24.87**	**19.33**	**146.75**	**3.12**	**2.83**	**3.28**	**1.14**	**0.75**	**0.86**	**8.40**
	3.56**	*1.40***	*-1.05***	*-1.63ns*	*0.49***	*0.61***	*0.39***	*-0.09***	*-0.33***	*-0.00 ns*	*3.13 ***
L$_8$	**54.12**	**26.25**	**19.70**	**148.37**	**4.25**	**3.62**	**2.83**	**1.73**	**0.67**	**1.15**	**9.50**
	0.43ns	*2.82***	*-1.17***	*-3.88***	*-0.05ns*	*0.35***	*0.50***	*-0.26***	*-0.40***	*0.04 **	*-0.37 ***
L$_9$	**48.12**	**25.87**	**17.36**	**108.75**	**4.00**	**1.95**	**3.07**	**2.77**	**2.25**	**0.92**	**10.11**
	-3.44**	*-2.43***	*-3.12***	*-11.04***	*2.03***	*-1.12***	*-0.44***	*0.38***	*1.23***	*-0.17 ***	*1.68 ***
L$_{10}$	**49.50**	**17.62**	**18.21**	**128.50**	**3.37**	**2.39**	**2.36**	**1.93**	**1.065**	**1.11**	**8.47**
	-2.61**	*0.69**	*-1.51***	*-2.67**	*1.41***	*-0.55***	*0.10ns*	*0.52***	*0.18***	*0.04 **	*0.55 ***
L$_{11}$	**48.87**	**25.12**	**19.81**	**113.50**	**1.75**	**4.34**	**2.72**	**1.30**	**0.96**	**0.78**	**6.70**
	2.14**	*2.23***	*-0.12ns*	*0.08ns*	*-0.34**	*-0.28***	*-0.36***	*1.01***	*1.08***	*0.06 ***	*-1.99 ***
L$_{12}$	**44.75**	**16.50**	**14.88**	**107.37**	**3.75**	**2.35**	**3.48**	**2.34**	**2.045**	**1.33**	**8.23**
	-4.61**	*-2.10***	*-4.02***	*-9.13***	*1.41***	*-1.22***	*0.10ns*	*1.17***	*1.34***	*0.05 **	*1.74 ***
Testers											
T$_1$	**42.37**	**14.50**	**19.44**	**108.37**	**1.62**	**1.93**	**1.87**	**1.05**	**0.99**	**0.68**	**3.61**
	0.06ns	*0.11ns*	*0.09ns*	*-1.30**	*-0.28***	*0.02*	*-0.04ns*	*-0.26***	*-0.31***	*0.04 ***	*-0.89 ***
T$_2$	**52.12**	**16.87**	**23.64**	**146.12**	**3.25**	**3.01**	**3.02**	**2.95**	**3.00**	**0.75**	**7.80**
	0.72**	*0.48***	*0.24ns*	*-0.22ns*	*0.05ns*	*-0.07**	*0.06ns*	*0.36***	*0.23***	*-0.06***	*0.34***
T$_3$	**44.62**	**21.12**	**18.96**	**125.62**	**4.75**	**3.35**	**3.12**	**2.31**	**1.97**	**1.01**	**8.56**
	-0.78**	*-0.59***	*-0.33**	*-1.08ns*	*0.23***	*0.05*	*-0.02ns*	*-0.10***	*0.08***	*0.02 ns*	*0.55 ***

per se values are in bold and *gca* values are in italics. *, ** Significantly superior at 5 and 1% levels respectively.

Table 2. *Per se* performance, *sca* and standard heterosis of pumpkin hybrids for earliness, yield and quality traits.

Hybrids	Days to first female appearance	Node no. for first female flower appearance	Sex ratio	Days to first harvest	Fruit number per vine	Fruit weight	FT	Total carbohydrate content	Total carotenoid content	Crude fibre content	Fruit yield per vine
Pusa Vishwas × Arka Suryamukhi	**52.87**	**16.12**	**18.50**	**120.75**	**2.62**	**3.60**	**2.43**	**0.53**	**0.98**	**1.26**	**9.33**
	0.69	*-1.82** *	*-0.71*	*-5.55* *	*1.15** *	*-0.49** *	*1.00* *	*0.02*	*0.41** *	*0.24** *	*3.40** *
	3.17	-28.73**	-7.68*	-11.81**	-51.16**	19.76**	2.09	-70.56**	-69.89**	-4.55	1.81
Pusa Vishwas × Avinashi Local	**52.62**	**17.75**	**19.65**	**127.62**	**1.37**	**4.45**	**2.08**	**1.03**	**0.88**	**0.64**	**5.83**
	-0.22	*-0.57*	*0.29*	*3.22*	*-0.42*	*0.45** *	*-0.33*	*-0.10** *	*-0.23** *	*-0.28** *	*-1.33** *
	2.68	-21.55**	-1.95	-6.50**	-74.42**	47.86**	-12.57	-42.50**	-72.96**	-51.52**	-36.42**
Pusa Vishwas × CO 2	**50.87**	**19.62**	**19.21**	**125.87**	**1.25**	**4.16**	**2.62**	**0.76**	**0.77**	**1.02**	**5.29**
	-0.47	*2.38** *	*0.42*	*2.33*	*-0.73* *	*0.05*	*-0.67*	*0.08* *	*-0.18** *	*0.03*	*-2.07** *
	-0.73	-13.26**	-4.14	-7.78**	-76.74**	38.44**	9.74	-57.78**	-76.34**	-22.35**	-42.23**
Punjab Samrat × Arka Suryamukhi	**48.12**	**19.87**	**17.85**	**126.50**	**3.62**	**3.57**	**2.72**	**1.03**	**0.92**	**0.85**	**10.10**
	-0.18	*-1.69** *	*-1.17* *	*-5.84** *	*0.15*	*0.08*	*-1.83** *	*0.01*	*0.25** *	*-0.03*	*1.00** *
	-6.10**	-12.15**	-10.93**	-7.33**	-32.56**	18.60**	14.14	-42.78**	-71.74**	-35.61**	10.14**
Punjab Samrat × Avinashi Local	**49.50**	**22.75**	**19.35**	**132.37**	**4.25**	**3.76**	**2.98**	**1.73**	**1.31**	**0.89**	**13.44**
	0.53	*0.81*	*0.18*	*1.55*	*0.45*	*0.37** *	*0.96* *	*0.09** *	*0.10*	*0.11** *	*3.11** *
	-3.41	0.55	-3.44	-3.02	-20.93**	24.95**	25.13*	-3.89	-59.75**	-32.58**	46.63**
Punjab Samrat × CO 2	**47.12**	**21.75**	**19.60**	**134.25**	**3.37**	**3.06**	**1.91**	**1.08**	**0.71**	**0.79**	**6.43**
	-0.35	*0.88*	*1.00*	*4.29* *	*-0.61* *	*-0.45** *	*0.87*	*-0.10** *	*-0.35** *	*-0.07* *	*-4.11** *
	-8.05**	-3.87	-2.20	-1.65	-37.21**	1.91	-19.74*	-40.00**	-78.19**	-40.15**	-29.85**
Narendra Abhushan × Arka Suryamukhi	**45.87**	**20.62**	**26.38**	**107.50**	**2.50**	**2.57**	**2.22**	**1.17**	**0.98**	**0.98**	**6.42**
	-0.68	*-0.15*	*-1.09* *	*0.32*	*0.44*	*-0.61** *	*-2.83** *	*0.23** *	*0.32** *	*-0.07* *	*0.00*
	-10.49**	-12.15**	31.64**	-21.25**	-53.49**	-14.49*	-6.81	-34.72**	-69.89**	-25.76**	-29.89**
Narendra Abhushan × Avinashi Local	**47.00**	**22.87**	**29.90**	**104.37**	**1.62**	**2.78**	**2.65**	**1.25**	**1.02**	**0.88**	**4.49**
	-0.22	*2.48** *	*2.27** *	*-1.28*	*-0.75* *	*-0.30* *	*2.09** *	*-0.32** *	*-0.18** *	*-0.07* *	*-3.16** *
	-8.29**	1.10	49.20**	-23.53**	-69.77**	-7.51	10.99	-30.56**	-68.66**	-33.33**	-50.98**
Narendra Abhushan × CO 2	**46.62**	**17.00**	**25.88**	**105.75**	**2.87**	**4.11**	**3.05**	**1.20**	**0.90**	**1.17**	**11.02**
	0.90	*-2.33** *	*-1.18* *	*0.96*	*0.31*	*0.91** *	*0.74*	*0.09** *	*-0.14** *	*0.14** *	*3.16** *
	-9.02**	-24.86**	29.14**	-22.53**	-46.51**	36.57**	27.75**	-33.33**	-72.35**	-11.36**	20.20**
Narendra Uphar × Arka Suryamukhi	**49.75**	**21.25**	**19.83**	**107.87**	**1.37**	**3.27**	**2.18**	**1.11**	**0.82**	**0.99**	**4.70**
	1.32	*-1.23* *	*0.04*	*-0.97*	*-0.76* *	*-0.44** *	*6.17** *	*-0.08* *	*0.08*	*-0.00*	*-3.64** *
	-2.93	-8.84*	-1.05	-20.97**	-74.42**	8.76	-8.38	-38.06**	-74.81**	-25.00**	-48.69**
Narendra Uphar × Avinashi Local	**47.37**	**23.50**	**19.97**	**107.12**	**2.50**	**3.71**	**2.66**	**2.11**	**1.37**	**0.68**	**9.26**
	-1.72 *	*1.27* *	*0.03*	*1.05*	*0.04*	*0.09*	*-2.91** *	*0.30** *	*0.09*	*-0.21** *	*-0.31** *
	-7.56**	3.87	-0.35	-20.60**	-53.49**	23.29**	11.52	17.50**	-57.91**	-48.48**	1.04

Table 2. Contd.

Narendra Uphar × CO 2	**48.00**	**21.12**	**19.31**	**106.37**	**3.37**	**4.08**	**2.10**	**1.14**	**0.96**	**1.19**	**13.74**
	0.40	-0.03	-0.06	-0.08	0.73*	0.35**	-3.26**	-0.22**	-0.17**	0.22**	3.96**
	-6.34**	-6.63	-3.64	-22.07**	-37.21**	35.70**	-12.04	-36.67**	-70.51**	-9.85**	49.92**
Ambili × Arka Suryamukhi	**49.87**	**20.00**	**24.97**	**110.62**	**2.25**	**4.74**	**3.07**	**1.13**	**0.84**	**1.00**	**10.61**
	0.74	-1.11	0.88	-2.89	0.32	0.53**	1.92**	0.34**	0.33**	0.02	2.11**
	-2.93	-11.60**	24.60**	-18.32**	-58.14**	57.49**	28.80**	-36.94**	-74.19**	-24.24**	15.77**
Ambili × Avinashi Local	**51.00**	**21.87**	**23.95**	**112.00**	**2.00**	**3.76**	**2.57**	**1.52**	**1.17**	**1.03**	**7.54**
	1.32	0.39	-0.30	-0.86	-0.25	-0.36**	-3.29**	0.10**	0.12*	0.15**	-2.19**
	-0.49	-3.31	19.51**	-17.95**	-62.79**	24.95**	7.75	-15.56**	-64.06**	-21.97**	-17.71**
Ambili × CO 2	**46.12**	**21.37**	**23.10**	**115.75**	**2.37**	**4.07**	**2.67**	**0.51**	**0.44**	**0.79**	**10.01**
	-2.60**	0.72	-0.58	3.75	-0.07	-0.17	1.37**	-0.45**	-0.45**	-0.17**	0.07
	-10.00**	-6.63	15.27**	-15.20**	-55.81**	35.33**	12.04	-71.67**	-86.48**	-40.15**	9.23**
Virudhachalam Local × Arka Suryamukhi	**50.00**	**24.62**	**28.45**	**128.75**	**1.37**	**4.51**	**3.08**	**1.44**	**0.95**	**1.01**	**6.92**
	-3.06**	0.48	0.47	-2.64	0.28	0.09	0.42	0.27**	0.23**	0.02	1.21**
	-2.44	8.84*	41.97**	-3.85	-74.42**	50.06**	29.32**	-20.00**	-70.81**	-23.48**	-24.47**
Virudhachalam Local × Avinashi Local	**56.37**	**23.87**	**28.85**	**134.25**	**1.12**	**4.59**	**3.01**	**1.66**	**1.30**	**0.95**	**6.16**
	2.65**	0.65	0.72	3.39	-0.30	0.26*	3.21**	-0.13**	0.03	0.06	-0.79**
	10.00**	5.52	43.96**	-0.55	-79.07**	52.55**	26.18**	-7.78**	-60.06**	-27.65**	-32.82**
Virudhachalam Local × CO 2	52.62	23.62	26.38	130.87	1.62	4.09	2.98	1.19	0.85	0.89	6.74
	0.40	0.17	-1.18*	-0.75	0.02	-0.36**	-3.63**	-0.14**	-0.26**	-0.08*	-0.42**
	2.68	4.42	31.64**	-4.21*	-69.77**	35.95**	25.13*	-33.89**	-73.89**	-32.58**	-26.49**
Chakor × Arka Suryamukhi	**5.51**	**55.75**	**22.75**	**19.80**	**119.87**	**3.37**	**3.60**	**3.40**	**1.15**	**1.01**	**10.24**
	3.36	-0.19	-0.24	5.78**	0.03	-0.49**	-0.67	-0.15**	0.18**	0.05	-1.01**
	8.78**	0.55	-1.20	-12.18**	-37.21**	19.55**	42.41**	-36.11**	-68.97**	-21.21**	11.67**
Chakor × Avinashi Local	**4.24**	**52.75**	**24.87**	**20.21**	**105.87**	**3.12**	**4.41**	**2.92**	**1.98**	**1.27**	**0.87**
	-0.31	1.56**	0.02	-6.70**	-0.55	0.42**	-1.68**	0.06	-0.11*	-0.02	-0.30**
	2.93	9.94**	0.85	-22.44**	-41.86**	46.74**	22.51*	10.28**	-60.98**	-34.09**	32.88**
Chakor × CO 2	**4.25**	**48.50**	**20.87**	**19.85**	**112.62**	**4.37**	**4.18**	**2.83**	**1.55**	**1.15**	**0.93**
	-0.36**	-1.37*	0.23	0.92	0.52	0.07	2.35**	0.09*	-0.07	-0.03	1.31**
	-5.37**	-7.73*	-0.95	-17.49**	-18.60*	39.06**	18.85	-13.89**	-64.67**	-29.17**	52.75**
Ashoka Farm Aids × Arka Suryamukhi	**3.71**	**46.75**	**25.62**	**20.15**	**122.75**	**2.87**	**4.35**	**3.42**	**1.36**	**0.91**	**0.72**
	-2.51**	1.27*	0.23	10.91**	0.07	0.52**	0.04	0.23**	0.15**	-0.31**	0.95**
	-8.78**	13.26**	0.55	-10.07**	-46.51**	44.67**	43.46**	-24.44**	-72.04**	-45.45**	-5.07**
Ashoka Farm Aids × Avinashi Local	**7.25**	**48.87**	**23.62**	**19.35**	**104.75**	**3.50**	**3.35**	**3.52**	**1.79**	**1.40**	**1.30**
	-1.06	-1.11	-0.73	-5.57**	0.37	-0.39**	1.21*	0.03	0.10*	0.37**	1.32**
	-8.00**	4.42	-3.44	-23.26**	-34.88**	11.25*	47.64**	-0.56	-56.99**	-1.52	12.38**
Ashoka Farm Aids × CO 2	**8.55**	**52.00**	**23.50**	**20.01**	**104.12**	**2.87**	**3.73**	**2.53**	**1.03**	**0.89**	**0.96**
	3.57**	-0.16	0.50	-5.33**	-0.44	-0.13	-1.26*	-0.26**	-0.25**	-0.05	-2.27**
	1.46	3.87	-0.15	-23.72**	-46.51**	23.95**	6.28	-42.50**	-72.66**	-27.27**	-24.47**

Table 2. Contd.

Vadhalagundu Local × Arka Suryamukhi	**3.31**	**45.50**	**20.75**	**18.12**	**103.87**	**4.25**	**2.50**	**1.71**	**1.47**	**1.22**	**0.87**
	0.11	*1.64**	*0.15*	*-0.80*	*-0.64**	*0.14*	*1.25**	*-0.30***	*-1.17***	*0.05*	*-1.46***
	-11.22**	-8.29*	-9.58**	-23.90**	-20.93**	-16.69**	-28.27**	-18.33**	-62.52**	-34.09**	-8.87**
Vadhalagundu Local × Avinashi Local	**2.89**	**46.75**	**20.87**	**19.23**	**105.62**	**3.87**	**2.58**	**1.73**	**2.08**	**1.78**	**0.82**
	0.69	*1.39**	*1.11**	*2.47*	*-1.34***	*0.31**	*-2.33***	*-0.32***	*-1.15***	*0.11***	*-4.81***
	-8.78**	-7.73*	-4.04	-22.62**	-27.91**	-14.28*	-27.23**	15.56**	-45.31**	-37.50**	-32.03**
Vadhalagundu Local × CO 2	**4.36**	**43.75**	**15.37**	**16.30**	**100.62**	**8.50**	**1.94**	**3.22**	**2.56**	**5.10**	**0.64**
	-0.81	*-3.03***	*-1.25**	*-1.67*	*1.98***	*-0.44***	*1.08**	*0.62***	*2.32***	*-0.16***	*6.26***
	-14.63**	-32.04**	-18.66**	-26.28**	37.21**	-35.28**	35.08**	42.22**	56.68**	-51.52**	90.99**
Karamadai Local × Arka Suryamukhi	**4.17**	**46.62**	**22.87**	**19.23**	**107.12**	**4.25**	**3.32**	**2.80**	**1.95**	**1.53**	**0.75**
	0.40	*0.64*	*-0.35*	*-5.93***	*-0.01*	*0.39***	*-0.79*	*0.04*	*0.19***	*-0.28***	*0.78***
	-9.02**	1.10	-4.04	-21.52**	-20.93**	10.42	17.28	8.61**	-53.00**	-43.18**	3.16**
Karamadai Local × Avinashi Local	**6.27**	**45.25**	**22.37**	**19.45**	**117.12**	**5.50**	**2.39**	**2.48**	**2.93**	**2.11**	**0.92**
	*-1.64**	*-0.23*	*-0.28*	*5.59***	*0.91***	*-0.45***	*-0.99**	*0.39***	*0.23***	*-0.01*	*0.13*
	-11.71**	-1.10	-2.94	-14.19**	2.33	-20.51**	4.19	62.78**	-35.18**	-30.30**	9.47**
Karamadai Local × CO 2	**3.77**	**46.75**	**21.12**	**19.80**	**111.00**	**3.87**	**3.02**	**3.00**	**1.65**	**1.30**	**1.31**
	1.24	*-0.41*	*0.64*	*0.33*	*-0.90***	*0.06*	*1.79***	*-0.43***	*-0.42***	*0.30***	*-0.90***
	-9.02**	-6.63	-1.20	-18.68**	-27.91**	0.46	25.65*	-8.33**	-59.91**	-0.76	0.53
Karwar Local × Arka Suryamukhi	**3.50**	**50.37**	**23.25**	**20.95**	**120.87**	**2.62**	**3.66**	**1.93**	**1.83**	**1.60**	**1.25**
	-0.60	*-0.52*	*-0.02*	*5.07**	*0.11*	*0.46***	*0.29*	*-0.58***	*-0.64***	*0.20***	*0.53***
	-1.71	2.76	4.54	-11.45**	-51.16**	21.63**	-18.85	1.67	-50.84**	-5.30	-27.21**
Karwar Local × Avinashi Local	**5.31**	**54.50**	**24.75**	**21.75**	**114.75**	**2.87**	**2.66**	**2.50**	**3.05**	**3.24**	**0.93**
	*2.86***	*0.60*	*0.63*	*0.47*	*0.04*	*-0.45***	*-2.04***	*0.02*	*0.46***	*-0.02*	*1.40***
	6.34**	9.39*	8.53*	-15.93**	-46.51**	-11.58*	4.71	69.44**	-0.46	-29.55**	-4.33**
Karwar Local × CO 2	**5.53**	**47.87**	**23.00**	**19.95**	**107.87**	**2.87**	**3.21**	**2.47**	**3.13**	**2.80**	**0.86**
	*-2.26***	*-0.08*	*-0.60*	*-5.54***	*-0.15*	*-0.01*	*1.74***	*0.56***	*0.18***	*-0.17***	*-1.93***
	-6.59**	1.66	-0.45	-20.97**	-46.51**	6.68	3.66	74.17**	-13.98**	-34.85**	-38.36**
Kasi Harit × Arka Suryamukhi	**3.79**	**44.62**	**22.12**	**18.91**	**109.12**	**3.12**	**2.09**	**2.46**	**2.54**	**2.16**	**1.17**
	0.40	*2.68***	*1.83***	*2.53*	*-1.14***	*-0.17*	*-4.96***	*-0.02*	*-0.34***	*0.13***	*-3.86***
	-12.93**	-2.21	-5.64	-20.05**	-41.86**	-30.47**	3.14	41.39**	-33.64**	-11.36**	-34.54**
Kasi Harit × Avinashi Local	**5.81**	**42.00**	**13.87**	**13.31**	**101.75**	**7.37**	**2.22**	**3.55**	**3.07**	**3.57**	**0.77**
	*-2.89***	*-5.94***	*-3.92***	*-3.32*	*1.79***	*0.05*	*6.09***	*-0.12***	*0.54***	*-0.17***	*6.92***
	-18.05**	-38.67**	-33.58**	-25.46**	18.60*	-26.28**	48.69**	70.56**	9.83**	-41.67**	96.44**
Kasi Harit × CO 2	**4.97**	**45.87**	**22.00**	**18.75**	**105.00**	**4.12**	**2.40**	**2.28**	**2.88**	**2.68**	**1.05**
	*2.49***	*3.26***	*2.09***	*0.79*	*-0.65**	*0.12*	*-1.13**	*0.15***	*-0.20***	*0.04*	*-3.05***
	-10.49**	-2.76	-6.44	-23.08**	-23.26**	-20.22**	-4.19	60.00**	-17.67**	-20.45**	-10.01**

Per se values are in bold, *sca* values are in italics and standard heterosis values are in normal font.

Table 3. Analysis of variance for combining ability of different traits of pumpkin genotypes.

Source	df	Days to first female flower appearance	Node no. for first female flower appearance	Sex ratio	Days to first harvest	Fruit number per vine	Fruit weight	FT	Total carbohydrate content	Total carotenoid content	Crude fiber content	Fruit yield per vine
Hybrids	35	22.56	14.71	26.09	215.97	3.86	1.24	0.47	1.05	1.81	0.06	21.65
Lines	11	50.20	26.20	74.92	579.59	8.64	3.02	0.60	2.44	3.48	0.03	18.91
Testers	2	13.55	7.11	2.08	35.00	1.59	0.09	0.07	2.50	1.86	0.06	14.50
Line x Testers	22	9.56	9.66	3.85	50.61	1.68	0.45	0.44	0.23	0.97	0.08	23.66
Error	35	0.93	0.66	0.50	8.41	0.16	0.02	0.05	0.002	0.004	0.002	0.009

best hybrid, Vadhalagundu Local × CO 2 (L$_9$ × T$_3$) could also be justified as the better combination through less node number for first female flower appearance, fruit number per vine, sex ratio, FT, total carotenoid content and fruit yield per vine (Table 2). Jha et al. (2009) endorsed the same results in pumpkin.

Evaluation of hybrids based on the three criteria *viz.,* mean, *sca* and standard heterosis would lead to the identification of different sets of cross combinations for each of these criteria. However, the scope for exploitation of hybrid vigour in a heterosis breeding programme depends not only on the extent of heterosis for individual traits but also on the mean performance and *sca* effects of hybrids. Hence, it would be more appropriate to evaluate the hybrids based on all these criteria.

Such an evaluation had revealed that none of the hybrid was found to exhibit superiority for all the three criterions for all the characters under study. Evaluation based on *per se* performance, *sca* effects and standard heterosis had revealed that the hybrid Kasi Harit × Avinashi Local was adjudged as the best hybrid as it excelled in exhibiting its superiority on more number of traits *viz.,* earliness in terms of days for first female appearance, sex ratio, days to first harvest, fruit

numbers per vine, FT, quality trait like total carotenoid content besides yield per vine. Next to this hybrid, Vadhalagundu Local × CO 2 was adjudged as the next best one as the hybrid surpassed in *per se*, *sca* effect and heterosis for traits *viz.,* earliness in terms of node number for first female flower appearance, sex ratio, fruit number per vine, FT, Total carotenoid content and fruit yield per vine (Table 2). Concomitant reports were also given by Vidya et al. (2002) in pumpkin.

Highly significant variances were observed for both general and specific combining ability for all the characters under study (Table 3). Findings indicated that parents and crosses differed significantly with regard to their general and specific combining ability, respectively. The ratio of *gca / sca* variance exhibited additive and non-additive gene action, for all traits (Table 4). The ratio of *gca*: sca variance indicated higher magnitude for sca variance than *gca* variance for the following characters viz., days to first female flower appearance, node number for first female flower appearance, sex ratio, days for first harvest, number of fruits per vine, fruit weight, total carotenoid content, total carbohydrate content, crude fibre content of the fruit and fruit yield per vine implying the preponderance of non-

additive gene action (Table 5). This indicated the limited scope of population improvement for these characters and heterosis breeding could be adopted for exploiting the genetic variations. Similar results in respect of these characters were obtained by Srinivasan (2003) and Jha et al. (2009) in pumpkin.

The hybrids Kasi Harit × Avinashi Local and Vadhalagundu Local × CO 2 can be well exploited through heterosis breeding to obtain higher yield with quality fruits. Moreover, these hybrids could be better utilized for the improvement of the characters concerned and intermating among superior segregants resulting from these heterotic hybrids, is likely to throw desirable progenies in the subsequent later generations. On the basis of the above findings, it can be concluded that improvement in pumpkin for earliness and yield related characters would be brought out through hybridization (heterosis breeding).

Conflict of Interest

The authors have not declared any conflict of interest.

Table 4. Magnitude of genetic variance of yield and yield components of pumpkin parents and hybrids.

Character	*gca* variance	sca variance	Ratio *gca*: sca
Days to first female flower appearance	0.3070	4.3151	0.07114
Node number for first female flower appearance	0.1194	4.4988	0.02654
Sex ratio	0.5251	0.6767	0.7759
Days to first harvest	3.9052	21.1021	0.1850
Fruit number vine	0.0516	0.7582	0.06805
Fruit weight	0.0186	0.2136	0.0870
FT	0.0006	0.1972	0.003
Total carbohydrate content	0.0195	0.1145	0.17030
Total carotenoid content	0.0199	0.4837	0.04114
Crude fibre content	-0.0004	0.0400	-0.01
Fruit yield per vine	-0.047	11.830	0.0039

gca – General combining ability: sca – Specific combining ability.

REFERENCES

Allard RW (1960). Principles of plant breeding. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York.

Anon (2012). Socio-economic statistical information about india. www.indiastat.com

Gowen JW (1952). Heterosis. Iowa State College, Iowa, U.S.A.

Hedge JE, Hofreiter BT (1962). Carbohydrates, In: Whistler, R.L.and BeMiller, J.N. (eds.). Methods in carbohydrate chemistry, P. 17, Academic Press, New York. pp. 17-22.

Jha A, Pandey S, Rai M, Yadav DS, Singh TB (2009). Heterosis in relation to combining ability for flowering behaviors and yield parameters in pumpkin. Veg. Sci. 36(3):332-335.

Kempthrone O (1957). An introduction to genetical statistics. John Willey and Sons, Inc., New York. pp. 458-471.

Lawande KE, Patil AV (1990). Studies on combining ability and gene action in bitter gourd. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 15(1):24-28.

Majumder PK, Bhowal JG (1988). Combining ability in a few varieties of T.aestivum,T.compactum and T.sphaeroccum. Indian J. Genet. 48(1):43-48.

Mohanty BK, Mohanty SK (1998). Genetics of certain flowering characters in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch. ex Poir.). Orissa J. Hort. 26(2):1-3.

Roy SK (1973). A simple and rapid method of estimation of total carotenoid pigment in mango. J. Food Sci. Technol. 10(1):45.

Sharma JR (1994). In: Principles and practice of plant breeding, Tata Mc Graw Hill pub. Co. Ltd., New Delhi.

Sharma RL, Chauhan BPS (1985). Combining ability in sesame. Ind. J. Genet. 45(1):45-59.

Singh P, Narayanan SS (1993). Biometrical techniques in plant breeding. Kalyani publishers , New Delhi. P. 187.

Sirohi PS, Ghorui S (1993). Gene effects of certain quantitative characters in pumpkin. Veg. Sci. 20(2):158-162.

Srinivasan M (2003). Studies on genetic parameters and characterization in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch ex. Poir). M.Sc. Thesis. Tamil Nadu Agric.Univ., Coimbatore.

Sundaram V (2006). Studies on genetics of yield and yield components in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) under salinity. Ph.D (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

Vidya H, Mahajan, Sirohi PS (2002). Heterosis in pumpkin (*Cucurbita moschata* Duch). PKV Res. J. 26(1-2):36-39.