Full Length Research Paper

Photosynthetic responses of pea plants (*Pisum sativum* L. cv. Little marvel) exposed to climate change in Riyadh city, KSA

Akram Ali^{1,5*}, Ahmed Alfarhan¹, Ibrahim Aldjain², Nagat Bokhari¹, Wafa'a Al-Taisan³, Khaled Al-Rasheid⁴ and Saleh Al-Quraishi⁴

¹Botany and Microbiology Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, PO Box: 2455, KSA.
²General Admin. of Gardens and landscape, Riyadh Municipality, P.O Box 156444 Riyadh 11778, KSA.
³Botany Department, College of Science, King Faisal University, Damam 31113, PO Box: 838, KSA.
⁴Zoology Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, PO Box: 2455, KSA.
⁵Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt.

Accepted 10 July, 2008

Pots study was conducted to determine interactive effects of climate change (NO₂, SO₂ and O₃) on photosynthetic responses in pea. The seeds of pea plants (*Pisum sativum* L. cv. Little marvel) were grown full-season in three pots arranged at four localities in Riyadh city, KSA. Photosynthetic rates (P_n) were measured three times during vegetative and reproductive stages with portable gas exchange system (LI-COR 6400). In general, P_n rates were highly stimulated at Elsefarat area and highly reduced at the 2nd Industrial city but variable at other localities in Riyadh city. The data showed continuous increases in P_n rates during pre-flowering and early seed formation and drops during late seed formation stage. This study supports that the agricultural areas could have a protective role against adverse impacts of gases exposure in highly polluted areas.

Key words: Photosynthesis, growth stages, pea, gases, KSA.

INTRODUCTION

Many models are available to assess the impacts of climate change on biochemical processes of crops and their productivity (Stockle et al., 2002; Ali et al., 2002). Green-house gases have abilities to absorb infrared radiation being emitted by Earth resulting in the reemission of this energy into the troposphere. Also, tropospheric gases are vary widely over the earth's surface such as in KSA and are influenced by a number of factors including localized meteorological parameters, levels of solar radiation as influenced by latitude, proximity to carbon emission cen-ters, background levels of O_3 precursors including volatile organic carbons (VOC's) and other reactive organic compounds in the air mass, and long range transport pro- cesses (Krupa and Kickert, 1989; Barnes and Wellburn,

*Corresponding author. E-mail: akram692000@yahoo.com. Tel: 00966557554020, Fax: 0096614675833. 1999).

Global climate change treatments influence leaf photosynthesis rates predominately and have little or no direct effect on structural traits such as height, leaf angles, and vertical leaf distribution; nor do they exert an influence on light transmission through a leaf or through the canopy. However, treatment effects on leaf area can explain the difference in canopy photosynthesis (Teughels et al., 2005; Stockle et al., 2002). All greenhouse gases like nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), sulphur dioxide (SO_2) and ozone (O_3) have fundamental effects on CO₂ exchange by plants. The CO₂ uptake (photosynthesis) may be affected, with the net C gain allocated to different plant processes. The P_n is the most famous parameter affected by gases pollution (Saxe, 1991). Studies of photosynthesis are im-portant to understand the effect of air pollutants including stress on

crops (Miller, 1988). Chronic high levels of exposure to O_3 air pollution may produce responses such as reduced photosynthetic rates and earlier senescence

of leaves, reduced stomatal conductance, reduction in growth and yield of crops and natural vegetation (Krupa and Kickert, 1989; Mulchi et al., 1992; Hakan et al., 1996). Yunus et al. (2006) showed that regional levels of O_3 are likely continuing to increase as major cities (e.g. Riyadh, Cairo, Mexico and Bombay) continue to show rapid population growth and use of fossil fuels in automobiles and industries.

Unsworth and Black (1981), on soybean, noted that O_3 caused seed yield losses which were related to the reduction in leaf area (LA) and leaf area duration (LAD). In addition to the reduction in LA (less photosynthetic leaf tissue) with increasing O_3 concentration, leaves became less efficient in converting atmospheric CO_2 into seed yield as was suggested by the reduced seed yield to LAD ratio. Also, the P_n rates of wheat plants were reduced when they exposed during senescence to several concentrations of O_3 such as 15, 30, 70 and 100 nmol O_3 mol⁻¹ full seasons for 8 h per day (Lehnherr et al., 1988)

Soybean plants exposed to chronic O₃ doses also had reduced leaf Pn rates with increased O3 concentrations (Reich et al., 1986; Mulchi et al., 1992). Chernikova (1998) found only minimal responses in P_n to increased O₃ exposures for soybean cultivars during pre-flowering; however, during podfill, P_n rates declined in a linear fashion over the range of O₃ levels 27 to 60 nmol O₃ mol⁻ ¹. The reduction in P_n rates of bean plants during chronic O_3 exposure observed early in the growing season (0 to 44 days after emergence), but recovered over night. Later in the season, (i.e. 60 days after emergence), photosyn-thetic capacity and stomatal conductance gradually decreased as the severity of O₃ injury increased (Sanders et al., 1992). The P_n is stimulated in species under increased intercellular C_3 CO₂ concentration due to increas-ed carboxylation of Rubisco (Bowes, 1991). However, sensitivity to high CO_2 concentrations might be reduced over time due to saturated CO₂ binding to Rubisco and limited regeneration of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) and/or inorganic phosphorus (Pi) (Stitt, 1991). Barnes and Pfirrmann (1992) exposed radish plants to two levels of O_3 (24 h mean of 20 and 73 nL L⁻¹ O_3) and two levels of CO_2 (around 380 and 760 μ L L⁻¹ CO_2) and measured photosynthesis rates at 14, 22 and 27 days after treatment exposure. They observed that O₃ decreases the P_n rates after 14 and 22 days exposure.

This study aims to investigate the possible interactive effects of NO_2 , SO_2 and O_3 on photosynthetic rates of pea plants grown in pots at four localities in Riyadh city, KSA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design

This study was initially carried out at the greenhouse of Botany and Microbiology Department, College of Sciences, King Saud Univer-sity, KSA. The soils of 24 pots were seeded at the beginning of December, 2007 with pea plants (*Pisum sativum* L. cv. Little marvel) and amended with fertilizers at the rates recommended for

Ali et al. 2631

plants and pre- or post-emergence herbicide was applied to control weeds. Irrigation units were utilized to maintain soil moisture levels near field capacity of all pots at the greenhouse. Pea plants were grown in pots two weeks until reaching maturity of vegetative growth. Then, 6-pots were transferred to four localities in Riyadh city namely: Elsefarat area, King Abdullah road area, Alsahafah area and the 2nd Industrial city area. Two moisture regimes well-watered (3-pots) and restricted water (3-pots) conditions are included for each locality.

Climate

Air and soil temperatures were recoded. Monthly concentrations of ambient NO_2 , SO_2 and O_3 were measured using AEROQUAL series-200 Monitor with multi-heads (Air Monitors Limited, UK).

Leaf photosynthesis rates

Leaf photosynthesis rates (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) under different exposures from four localities emissions were measured from all pots with a portable closed gas exchange system (Model LI-6400 primer, LI-COR, Lincolin, NE). Photosynthesis measurements where taken three times during vegetative, flowering and reproductive growth stages of pea plants on expanded leaves of the upper canopy under direct sun light. The P_n rates were measured on three plants per pot three times per day 10.00 am, 12 and 2.00 pm.

Pea yield

Pea yield was calculated for harvested plants after reaching the maturity and removing pods and seeds from each plant. Pods were lengthed and weighed. Seeds were left until reaching the constant weight. The collected seeds were weighed for each pot and expressed as g/pot.

Statistical analysis

Treatments mean were separated using least significant difference comparisons. Data analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures. Significant was tested at the P \leq 0.05 levels. The software developed by the SPSS (ver. 11) was used to perform all analysis.

RESULTS

Changes in climate

The measurements of temperature for the air surrounding pea plants and soil temperature are shown in Table 1. Gradual increase occurred in air temperature for all growth stages till reaching 2 pm. The soil temperature for all growth stages was not taking clear manner.

Changes in mean concentrations of NO₂, SO₂ and O₃ during the growth stages of pea at four localities, Riyadh, KSA are listed in Table 2. Mean concentrations of O_3

	Growth	Air te	emperatu	ires	Soil temperatures			
Localities	stages	10	12	2	10	12	2	
		am	pm	pm	am	pm	pm	
Elsefarat area	Vegetative	18	20	21	11	12	13	
	Flowering	20	21	22	13	14	14	
	Reproductive	23	24	26	14	15	16	
King Abdullah road area	Vegetative	19	20	21	12	12	13	
	Flowering	20	21	22	13	14	14	
	Reproductive	23	24	26	15	16	18	
Alsahafah area	Vegetative	18	19	20	11	12	13	
	Flowering	20	21	22	13	14	14	
	Reproductive	23	24	26	14	15	16	
2 nd Industrial city area	Vegetative	22	23	24	12	14	17	
	Flowering	25	26	27	14	14	18	
	Reproductive	26	28	29	15	15	18	
LSD (<i>P< 0.05</i>)		3.2	3.1	3.4	2.9	3.5	4.3	

Table 1. Mean values of air and soil temperatures (^oC) for surroundings and pots of pea at different growth stages under four air quality localities treatments in Riyadh city, KSA.

Table 2. Mean values of NO₂, SO₂ and O₃ concentrations (nL L^{-1}) for pea grown in pots at different growth stages under four air quality localities treatments in Riyadh city, KSA.

	Growth		NO ₂ concentrations			SO ₂ concentrations			O ₃ concentrations		
Localities	stages	10 am	12 pm	2 pm	10 am	12 pm	2 pm	10 pm	12 pm	2 pm	
Elsefarat area	Vegetative	12	13	14	11	12	13	22	23	23	
	Flowering Reproductiv e	13 15	14 16	14 16	13 14	14 15	14 16	24 26	24 27	25 26	
King Abdullah road area	Vegetative	18	23	25	22	24	23	44	65	73	
	Flowering Reproductiv e	20 23	25 24	23 24	23 25	24 26	25 23	54 55	64 66	77 78	
Alsahafah area	Vegetative Flowering Reproductiv e	17 19 21	19 22 24	17 22 22	18 25 22	19 32 22	17 34 23	43 52 56	44 54 60	45 54 54	
2 nd Industrial city area	Vegetative Flowering Reproductiv e	24 28 32	25 29 34	24 33 33	23 28 32	24 31 34	27 32 37	77 82 88	84 88 94	88 98 108	
LSD (<i>P< 0.05</i>)		5.5	3.4	4.2	4.3	5.3	4.8	9.8	11.4	12.1	

vegetative stage of Elsefarat area being 22 nL L⁻¹. Also, gradual increase in SO₂ and NO₂ concentrations was observed. For both gases, high values were recorded in the 2nd Industrial city area during hot months being 34 nL L⁻¹ at 12 pm and 37 nL L⁻¹ at 2 pm for NO₂ and SO₂, respectively.

Responses of leaf photosynthesis

Effects of NO₂, SO₂ and O₃ on leaf P_n measured at three growth stages under two soil moisture regimes are summarized in Table 3. Generally, the P_n values were higher under wet conditions comparing to that at dry treat-

Ali et al. 2633

Table 3. Mean values of leaf photosynthesis rates (µmol m ⁻² s	s^{-1}) for pea at different growth stages under four air quality
localities treatments and two soil regimes in Riyadh city, KSA.	

		P _n rates Well-watered			P _n rates Restricted-watered			
Localities	Growth stages	10 am	12 pm	2 pm	10 am	12 pm	2 pm	
Elsefarat area	Vegetative	250	270	290	230	250	220	
	Flowering	420	440	400	360	390	380	
	Reproductive	330	350	330	250	260	230	
King Abdullah road area	Vegetative	220	230	200	190	200	200	
	Flowering	290	300	260	230	250	220	
	Reproductive	220	250	210	200	210	200	
Alsahafah area	Vegetative	240	270	250	210	240	220	
	Flowering	300	330	300	290	300	280	
	Reproductive	230	250	200	200	230	190	
2 nd Industrial city area	Vegetative	200	230	200	180	200	190	
	Flowering	250	260	270	200	230	220	
	Reproductive	190	220	210	170	200	180	
LSD (<i>P< 0.05</i>)		35	41	55	42	34	23	

Table 4. Mean values of pods and seeds characters for pea at different growth stages under four air quality localities treatments and two soil regimes in Riyadh city, KSA.

		Pods characters			Seeds characters			
Localities	Water treatments	Fresh wt (gm/pot)	Length (cm)	No./pot	No./ pot	%	Yield (gm)	
Elsefarat area	Well-	52.4	7.98	12	75	18.3	854.5	
	Restricted-	45.5	7.11	10	71	17.2	8.41.2	
King Abdullah road area	Well-	35.4	5.23	8	49	12.7	701.0	
	Restricted-	33.3	5.01	6	44	11.0	700.2	
Alsahafah area	Well-	42.3	6.91	9	55	15.7	778.3	
	Restricted-	38.2	6.11	7	51	14.3	741.3	
2 nd Industrial city area	Well-	31.5	4.47	6	25	10.1	676.1	
	Restricted-	30.5	4.12	5	22	9.2	655.5	
LSD (<i>P< 0.05</i>)		9.21	1.43	2	15	5.61	42.5	

ments. The P_n rate values were increased gradually starting from 10 am of vegetative growth stage reaching up at 12 pm of flowering stage but decreased slightly at the stage of reproductive. Pea plants grown in pots at studied localities exhibited big difference in P_n values. The data showed that Elsefarat area had the highest values of P_n being 440 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 12 pm, while the

 2^{nd} Industrial city area recorded the lowest values of P_n being 190 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 10 am of well-watered conditions. In general, leaves of pea plants grown under enriched NO₂, SO₂ and O₃ at King Abdullah road and 2^{nd} Industrial city areas had lower P_n rates than other ones grown under less gas pollutants. In few cases, the gases enrichment had no significant effect on P_n rates under

dry conditions, while significant differences between all studied localities and the time of measurements. Chronic NO₂, SO₂ and O₃ exposure tended to more reduce P_n rates at 10 am but these results were significant when compared to that ones measured at 2 pm. Significant lower P_n rates were observed at 10 am and 2 pm for all growth stages and highly variations between localities of restricted water conditions.

Responses of yield quality

The NO₂, SO₂ and O₃ induced a significant (P < 0.01) decline in pea plant grain yields in both soil moisture regimes (Table 4). The ambient-air treatments of highly polluted localities (2^{nd} Industrial city area) also reduced the number of fresh weight of pod, length of pod, number of pos per pot and pods per pot. The treatments of 2^{nd}

Afr. J. Biotechnol.

Industrial city area and King Abdullah road area reduced the pod length by 44 and 34%, respectively. The number of seeds per pod of pea plants exposed to Elseferat area air pollution was significantly higher than that of plants treated with air pollution of other localities. In case of number, pea seeds per pot recorded the highest values of well-watered treatments at Elsefarat area being 75, while the lowest values recorded at 2nd Industrial city area being 22. Maximum and minimum losses in pea seed % are similar to number of pea seeds per pot.

DISCUSSION

Climate changes (NO₂, SO₂, O₃), predominantly a warming of the ecosystems, caused soil carbon to decrease overall, especially in desert. They alone project a carbon loss after 50 years (Hall et al., 1995). Also, global climate change treatments influence leaf photosynthesis rates predominantly by decreased production of CO₂ (Teughels et al., 2005). The pea plant (C₃) species exhibited significant responses to the atmospheric treatments. The mechanism(s) involved a possible protective role via CO₂ production. In addition, the results from the present study support a hypothesis that these CO_2 concentrations somehow protects the pea's ability to partition the photosynthates to developing sinks as grains.

The collected data from this work supported that the elevated CO_2 can increase the productivity of pea plant in less polluted areas (Elsefarat, Alsahafah). DaCosta et al. (1986) suggested that CO_2 release or uptake of a full crop in the field could be predicted with reasonable accuracy from knowledge of the air temperature and soil moisture content. On the other hand, cultivated pea plants at highly polluted areas (King Abdullah, 2nd Industrial city) may produce less CO_2 which lead to less photosynthesis. Electron microscope examination of

climate change (NO₂, SO₂ and O₃) injury revealed that the considerable disruption including tonoplast rupture may have caused a complete disruption of the osmotic balance within the cell inactivating the photosynthetic process (Sanders et al., 1992). Also, the sensitivity of P_n to air pollutants is affected by genotypes (Reich and Amundson, 1985; Miller, 1987), development stage (Lehnherr et al., 1988), and various environmental factors such as light intensity, ambient CO₂ levels, nutrient status and water availability (Runeckles, 1992). Leaves of pea grown in pots at all study localities recorded less P_n rates in compared to Elsefarat locality. This reduction in Pn rates of pea plants during senescence are might be associated with increased stomatal conductance and decrease in various components of the photosynthetic apparatus such as chlorophyll concentration, soluble protein, adenylates, RuBP regeneration, and Rubisco (ribulose 1,5-biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase) activity. Farage et al. (1991) concluded that the first inhibitory effect of NO₂, SO_2 and O_3 on P_n is a loss of carboxylation efficiency (i.e. CO₂ uptake/internal leaf CO₂ concentration) due to de-

creased activity of Rubisco. Also, this may be attributed to the fact that plants were fully acclimated to the CO_2 enriched environment (Allen, 1990).

During the later stages of vegetative growth, perhaps CO₂ was no longer a limiting growth factor. During this period, sink capacity becomes limited and Pn rates are likely become reduced due to a possible accumulation of starch grains in the chloroplast which triggers feedback mechanisms that inhibit photosynthesis (Stitt, 1991). However, during the late handling process and during the early handing process, significant CO₂ effects were again observed which might be attributed to the greater demand for carbohydrates in response to increased sink capacity by the plant (Woodword et al., 1991; Stitt, 1991). Later in the season, when plants were in the ripening stage, no significant difference was found but plants grown under enriched CO₂ presented higher P_n rates for each of the two last readings (early seed formation and late seed formation), respectively. This can be attributed to a small delay in leaf senescence observed for plants grown under enriched CO₂. Barnes et al. (1995) reported that interac-tions between carbon assimilation. carbohydrate status and chemical composition (nutrient status) may dictate the manner in which plants respond to rising CO₂ concentrations, and governed the ability of the plant to sustain its positive response to CO₂ enrichment.

Pea plants recorded less photosynthetic rates and low yield under low irrigated water for all studied localities. Restricted water in soil affect vegetation during reproductive stages for cotton where yields were lower in response to increase in the rate of boll abscission (Grimes et al., 2007). Early stress caused square shedding and a subsequent depression in bloom rate; midseason stress decreased boll retention and hastened cutout (i.e. temporary cessation of growth and blooming); and late stress caused abscission of almost all young bolls but not of older bolls (Grimes et al., 2007). On the other hand, well-watered conditions gave the best yield. This is due to its influence soil properties, and hence physiological and internal biochemical activities of plants. Water stress induces a decrease in leaf water potential, which causes reductions in the rates of photosynthesis assimilation (Havaux and Lannoye, 1985). Photosynthesis in alfalfa plant was inhibited by about 35% (Nicolodi et al., 1988) and in soybean by 71% (Dornbos et al., 1989) during severe moisture deficits. The effect of short-term water stress on photosynthesis in sunflower hybrids differing in productivity under field conditions was examined by Gimenez et al. (1992). They found that the amount of chlorophyll and soluble protein did not differ significantly between hybrids. Water stress developed over four days decreased the assimilation rates of both hybrids by a similar degree. Changes in the amounts of chlorophyll and soluble protein were small and were not sufficient to explain the decrease in photosynthesis; neith-er was observed decreases in stomatal conductance.

Agriculture soils at Elsefarat area may also increase the root growth of pea plants. This may lead to increase plant

efficiency for retrieval of nutrients from soil. Timlin et al. (1992) suggested that a major factor in nutrient transport mechanisms in soil is root distribution of plants. The response to elevated CO_2 involve increasing the rates of photosynthesis and growth, especially increased allocation of carbon below ground, particularly root exudates, sloughing of root tissues, death of fine roots and mycorrhizae readily available carbon in soil (Zak et al., 1993). Varvel (1994) indicated that C could be sequested at 10 to 20 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ in some cropping systems with sufficient levels of N fertilizer. Greater storage of C in soil suggests CO_2 emissions from agriculture soils could be increased in the long term and may have significant effect on CO_2 in the atmosphere under current climatic conditions.

The effects of NO₂, SO₂ and O₃ were found to be the cause of large changes in grains quality. Reduction of grain yield in pea in response to gases levels induced stress was attributed to reduced P_n due to early senescence and reduced capacity of plants to provide photosynthetic assimilate to grains (Lehnherr et al., 1987; Miller, 1987). Also, the decrease in photosynthetic rates paralleled the content of Rubisco (Lehnherr et al., 1987) in response to premature senescence of the flag leaf triggered by O₃-induced stress (Lehnherr et al., 1987). Kull et al. (1996) explained the impact of O₃ on plants by depression of photosynthetic activity and the accelerated senescence of leaves. Moreover, a genotype considered tolerant with normal CO₂ levels appears to have decreased O₃ tolerance with elevated CO₂.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study is part of the research activities of the Primary Center of Excellence of Biodiversity Research program, developed and funded by the Ministry of Higher Education. Thanks are expressed to anonymous referees for their constructive comments on the first draft of this paper.

REFERENCES

- Ali AA, Mulchi CL, Hassan IA, El-Zawahry YA (2002). Reversibility of photosynthetic inhibition after long-term exposure of wheat plants (*Triticum aestivum* L. Cvs. Sesquehanna and Gore) to elevated levels of ozone. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 5(7): 798-801.
- Allen LH (1990). Plant responses to rising carbon dioxide and potential interactions with air pollutants. J. Environ., Qual., 19: 15-34.
- Barnes JD, Pfirrmann T, Steiner K, Lutz C, Busch U, Kuchenhoff H, Payer HD (1995). Effects of elevated CO₂, Elevated O₃ and potassium deficiency on Norway spruce [*Picea abies* (L.) Karst]: seasonal changes in photosynthesis and non-structural carbohydrate content. Plant, Cell Environ. 18: 1345-1357.
- Barnes JD, Wellburn A (1999). Air pollutant combinations. In: De Kok LJ, Stulen I (eds.) Responses of plant metabolism to air pollution and global change. SBP Academic Publication. The Leiden, The Netherlands.
- Barnes JD, Pfirrmann T (1992). The Influence of CO₂ and O₃, Singly and in Combination, on Gas Exchange, Growth and Nutrient Status of Radish. New Phytol., 121: 403-412.

Ali et al. 2635

- Bowes G (1991). Growth at elevated CO₂: photosynthetic responses mediated through Rubisco. Plant, Cell Environ. 14: 795-806.
- Chernikova T (1998). Ozone effect on growth, physiological characteristics and antioxidant enzymes in soybean cultivars exposed to ambient and elevated carbon dioxide. Ph.D. Dissertation. University of Maryland, College Park, MD.
- DaCosta JMN, Roseberg NJ, Verma SB (1986). Joint influence of air temperature and soil moisture on CO₂ release by a soybean crop. Agric. Forest Meteorol., 37: 219-227.
- Dornbos A, Fangmeir S, Taylor A (1989). Drought Stress Effects During Seed Fill on Soybean Seed Germination and Vigor. Crop Sci., 29(2): 476-480.
- Farage PK, Long SP, Lechner EG, Baker NR (1991). The sequence of change within the photosynthetic apparatus of wheat following short-term exposure of ozone. Plant Physiol., 95: 529-535.
- Gimenez J, Grandjean A, Tschannen W (1992). Regulation of Photosynthetic Rate of Two Sunflower Hybrids Under Water Stress. Plant Physiol., 98(2): 516-524.
- Grimes A, Gruters U, Vermehren B (2007). Water Stress During Flowering of Cotton. Calif. Agric. 24: 4-6.
- Hakan A, Ashmore MR, Bell BN (1996). Exposure of a Grass-clover Mixture to Ozone in Open-top Chambers Effects on Yield, Quality and Botanical Composition. Agric. Ecosys. Environ., 59: 55-62.
- Hall DO, Ojima DS, Parton WJ, Scurlock JMO (1995). Response of Temperature and Tropical Grasslands to CO₂ and Climate Change. J. Biogeo., 22: 537-547.
- Havaux M, Lannoye R (1985). Effects of Dehydration on the Photochemical Function of Thulakoids in Bean Leaves. Photosynthetica, 19(3): 388-396.
- Krupa SV, Kickert RN (1989). The greenhouse effect: impact of ultraviolet-B (UV-B) radiation, carbon dioxide (CO_2) ozone (O_3) on vegetation. Environ. Pollut. 61: 263-396.
- Kull O, Sober A, Coleman MD, Dickson RE, Isebrands JG, Gagnon Z, Karnosky DG (1996). Photosynthetic responses of aspen clones to simultaneous exposures of ozone and CO₂. Can. J. For. Res., 26: 639-648.

- Lehnherr B, Grandjean A, Machler F, Fuhrer J (1987). The effects of ozone in ambient air on ribulosebiphosphate carboxylase/ oxygenase activity decreases photosynthesis and grain yield in wheat. J. Plant Physiol., 130: 189-200.
- Lehnherr B, Machler F, Grandjean A, Fuhrer J (1988). The regulation of photosynthesis in leaves of field-grown spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., cv Albis) at different levels of ozone in ambient air. Plant Physiol., 88: 1115-1119.
- Miller JE (1987). Effects of ozone and sulfur dioxide stress on growth and carbon allocation in plants. In: Saunders JA, Kosak-Channing L, Conn EE (eds.) Recent advances in physiochemistry. Plenum Press, New York. 21: 55-100.
- Miller JE (1988). Effects on photosynthesis, carbon allocation, and plant growth. In: Heck WW, Taylor OC, Tingey DT (eds.) Assessement of crop loss from air pollutants. Proceedings of International Conference, Raleigh, NC, USA. Elseiver Applied Science, London, pp. 285-314.
- Mulchi CL, Slaugter L, Saleem M, Lee EH, Pausch R, Rowland R (1992). Growth and physiological and physiological characteristics of soybean in open-top chambers in response to ozone and increased atmospheric CO₂. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 38: 107-118.
- Nicolodi A, Rogers S, Reich D (1988). Water Status Effects on Net Photosynthesis in Field-grown Alfalfa. Crop Sci., 28(6): 944-948.
- Reich PB, Amundson RG (1985). Ambient levels of ozone reduce net photosynthesis in tree and crop species. Science, 230: 566-570.
- Reich PB, Schoettle AW, Raba RM, Amundson RG (1986). Response of soybean to low concentrations of ozone: I. Reductions in leaf and whole plant net photosynthesis and leaf chlorophyll content. J. Environ. Qual., 15(1): 31-36.
- Runeckles VC (1992). Uptake of ozone by vegetation. In: Lefhon AS (ed.) Surface level ozone exposures and their effects on vegetation. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI., pp. 157-270.
- Sanders GE, Colls JJ, Clark G (1992). Physiological changes in *Phaseolus vulgaris* in response to long-term ozone exposure. Ann. Bot. 69: 123-133.
- Saxe H (1991). Photosynthesis and stomatal responses to polluted air,
- Afr. J. Biotechnol.

and the use of physiological and biochemical responses for early detection and diagnostic tools. In: Callow JA (ed) Advances in botanical research. Academic press, San Diego, CA. 18: 2-127.

- Stitt M (1991). Risinng CO₂ levels and their potential significance for carbon flow in photosynthetic cells. Plant, Cell Environ. 14: 741-762.
- Stockle CO, Dyke PT, Williams JR, Jones CA, Rosenberg NJ (2002). A method for estimating the direct and climate effects of rising atmosphere carbon dioxide on growth and yield of crops. II. Sensitivity analysis at three sites in the Midwestern USA. Agric. Syst. 38: 239-256.
- Teughels H, Nijs I, Van Hecke P, Impens I (2005). Competition in A Global Change Environment: The Importance of Different Plant Traits for Competitive Success. J. Biogeo., 22: 297-305.
- Timlin A, Nijs D, Impens D (1992). Solute Leaching in Crop Row vs. Interrow Zones. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 56: 384-392.
- Unsworth MH, Black VJ (1981). Stomatal Responses to Pollutants. In: Jarvis PE, Mansfield TA (eds.) Stomatal Physiology. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, pp. 187-203.

- Varvel GE (1994). Rotation and Nitrogen Fixation Effects on Change in Soil Carbon and Nitrogen. Agron. J. 86(2): 319-325.
- Woodword FI, Thompson GB, Mckee IF (1991). The effects of elevated concentration of CO_2 on individual plants, populations, communities and ecosystems. Ann. Bot. 67: S-1, 23-38.
- Yunus M, Singh N, Iqbal M (2006). Global Status of Air Pollution: An Overview. In Yunus M, Iqbal M (eds.) plant responses to air pollution. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., New York, pp. 1-34.
- Zak DR, Pregitzer KS, Curtis PS, Teeri JA, Fogel R, Randlett DI (1993). Elevated Atmospheric CO₂ and Feed-Back Between Carbon and Nitrogen Cycles. Plant Soil, 151: 105-117.