

Full Length Research Paper

Ameliorative effect of glucomannan-containing yeast product (Mycosorb) and sodium bentonite on performance and antibody titers against Newcastle disease in broilers during chronic aflatoxicosis

Navid Mogadam¹ and Aidin Azizpour^{2*}

¹Department of Poultry Diseases, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

²Young Researchers Club, Ardabil branch, Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran.

Accepted 31 October, 2011

The aim of this study was to determine the impact of aflatoxin (AF), yeast glucomannan (YG) and sodium bentonite (SB) on performance and immunization against Newcastle disease (ND) in broiler feed with naturally contaminated diet with aflatoxin. For this experiment, 300 7-day-old Ross 308-strain broiler chickens were chosen and randomly assigned to ten dietary treatments in three replicates of ten chicks. Treatments were: 1) diet free from aflatoxin (positive control group); 2) naturally contaminated diet with aflatoxin (negative control group); 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10) naturally contaminated diet with aflatoxin supplemented with 1.5% SB, 3% SB, 0.05% YG, 0.1% YG, 1.5% SB + 0.05% YG, 1.5% SB + 0.1% YG, 3% SB + 0.05% YG and 3% SB + 0.1% YG, respectively. Chicken in each replicate were weighed weekly and feed intake, weight gain and feed conversion ratio were measured. Blood sample was taken from each bird and the titers of antibody against ND were measured by haemagglutination inhibition test. Aflatoxin in contaminated diet was measured and confirmed by thin layer chromatography (TLC) to be 250 ppb. Compared to the positive control diet, growth parameters were significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower in 250 ppb aflatoxin fed chicks during experiment and also the antibody titer against ND was significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower in the negative control diet from 35 to 42 days of age. The addition of yeast glucomannan and sodium bentonite, individually and in combination to the AF-containing diet ameliorated the adverse effects of aflatoxin, but 0.1% yeast glucomannan supplementation to the contaminated diet with aflatoxin proved to be much more effective in the amelioration of the adverse effect of AF on performance and humeral immunity against ND.

Key word: Aflatoxin, broilers, 0.1% yeast glucomannan, performance, Newcastle disease.

INTRODUCTION

Aflatoxins (AF) are a group of closely related biologically active mycotoxins produced by *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus*. They commonly occur as natural contaminants of poultry feeds (Edds and Bortell, 1983). One of the myriad effects of this mycotoxin is the ability to impair the immune system in fowl. Aflatoxin is the best-

known mycotoxin for its ability to impair reticulo-endothelial activity (Michael et al., 1973), primary immune response (Thaxton et al., 1974), complementary system (Richard and Thurston, 1973), phagocytic activity of leukocytes and alveolar macrophages (Richard and Thurston, 1973; Chang and Hamilton, 1997). Aflatoxin can also cause vaccine failure against fowl cholera in turkey and chickens (Pier and Heddleston, 1970). The inhibitory effect of aflatoxin on antibody production has also been demonstrated in chicks (Thaxton et al., 1974) and turkeys (Pier and Heddleston, 1970; Thaxton et al.,

*Corresponding author. E-mail: aidin_azizpour@yahoo.com.
Tel: +98-452 -3823208. Fax: +98-452-3822090.

1974). The inhibitory effect was demonstrated against Newcastle disease vaccination in broiler chickens (El-Zanaty et al., 1989), and layer-breeders (Boulton et al., 1980). In chickens, aflatoxin causes a dose-related regression in the size of both the thymus and bursa, which are the primary determinants of immuno-competence (Thaxton et al., 1974; Ibrahim et al., 1998). The other effects of aflatoxin in poultry including listlessness, anorexia with lowered growth rate, poor feed utilization, decreased weight gain, decreased egg weight and production, increased susceptibility to environmental and microbial stresses, and increased mortality (Leeson et al., 1995; Miazzo et al., 2000; Zaghini et al., 2005; Pasha et al., 2007).

Since the beginning of the 1990s, adsorbents-based studies have been performed for removing AF from contaminated feed and minimizing the toxicity of AF in poultry (Ibrahim et al., 2000). Zeolites (Miazzo et al., 2000), bentonites (Ogaz and Kurtoglu, 2000) and esterified glucomannan (Aravind et al., 2003) were preferred because of their reducing effect on AF absorption from the gastrointestinal tract. A natural organic product, esterified glucomannan - a cell wall derivative of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, has shown considerable binding ability with several commonly occurring mycotoxins and is also found beneficial as a low-inclusion binder in minimizing the adverse effects of aflatoxins present in contaminated livestock and poultry feeds (Raju and Devegowda, 2000; Aravind et al., 2003; Girish and Devegowda, 2006).

The purpose of this study was to further investigate the ameliorative effect of dietary glucomannan-containing yeast product (Mycosorb) and sodium bentonite on performance and antibody production against Newcastle disease in broiler feed with naturally contaminated diet with aflatoxin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chickens and diet

A total of 325 one-day old Ross-308-strain male broiler chickens were provided from a commercial broiler producer. Chickens were acclimatized for a 7-day period prior to commencement of the study. During this period, the birds were maintained with conventional broiler chicken management and housed in floor pens in an environmentally controlled broiler house with litter floors. At seven days of age, 300 chicks of similar weights were randomly assigned to 30 clean pens in the same broiler house used for the acclimatization period. They were fed with a commercial feed starter [maize and soybean based; 20.84% crude protein (CP) and 2950.29 metabolizable energy (ME)] up to 21 days and thereafter a grower diet (19.68% CP, 3150 ME) up to 42 days; they had access to feed and water *ad libitum* from 1 to 42 days of age. In addition, birds were inspected daily and any health related problems were recorded.

The basal diet was supplemented with amino acids, mineral and vitamins at levels recommended by National Research Council (NRC, 1994), without added antibiotics, coccidiostats or growth promoters. Lighting was provided for 23 h/day.

Experimental design

The birds were assigned to the following treatment groups (in 3 replicates) of 10 chicks: 1) positive control diet, basal diet without additive (control); 2) negative control diet, diet naturally contaminated with mycotoxins (NCD); 3) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB; 4) NCD supplemented with 3% SB; 5) NCD supplemented with 0.05% YG; 6) NCD supplemented with 0.1% YG; 7) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB + 0.05% YG; 8) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB + 0.1 % YG; 9) NCD supplemented with 3% SB + 0.05% YG; and 10) NCD supplemented with 3% SB + 0.1% YG.

Mycotoxin quantification and diet preparation

Individual feed ingredients were analyzed and screened for AF content. AF was extracted according to Romer (1975) and was quantified by thin-layer chromatography (TLC). The basal control diet was formulated and compounded to meet the nutritional requirements of commercial broilers (NRC, 1994) during the starter and grower period. The basal diet did not contain detectable levels of AF (< 1 µg/kg diet). The maize obtained from a private feed mill (that was naturally contaminated with mold) was stored in 20% moisture during two months for increase of mold growth. The naturally contaminated maize which had been rejected due to severe mold growth was obtained from a private feed mill. The presence of aflatoxin in the maize was confirmed by TLC. The contaminated diet treatments were formulated by replacing aflatoxin-free maize with naturally contaminated maize. The samples were selected by quartering technique as aliquot of the whole sample. Upon analysis, the contaminated diet contained 250 ppb AF (detection limit: 1 µg/kg diet). The AF composition consisted of 84.72% AFB1, 5.50% AFB2, 8.20% AFG1 and 1.58% AFG2 based on total AF in the contaminated diet. During the experimental period, the control and contaminated diet were analyzed for AF. The found levels of AF in control diet were below the detection limits.

Performance parameters

On weekly basis, birds were weighed by pen and total feed consumption recorded for each pen. Average feed consumption (FC) and body weight gain (BW) were corrected for mortality while calculating feed conversion ratio (FCR) for each pen.

Vaccination and serology

Before chicks (one-day-old) were separated into groups, blood sample was taken from each bird and the titers of maternal antibody against ND were measured by haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test (Allan and Gough, 1974). All chicks were vaccinated by eye dropper on the 1st day of age with ND + IB vaccines. On day 10, chicks were vaccinated with clone 30 ND vaccine by eye dropper and bivalent killed vaccine (ND plus AI) by inoculation according to the recommendation of the manufacturer. All chicks were vaccinated at day 21 with clone 30 (ND vaccine) administered in drinking water. Blood samples were collected every week from the wing veins of individual chickens in all groups and their sera were separated and inactivated at 56°C for 30 min and kept at -20°C until analysis for the level of ND antibody (Allan and Gough, 1974).

Statistical analysis

The experiment was performed as a completely randomized design

Table 1. Effect of AF-contaminated diet, sodium bentonite (SB) and yeast glucomannan (YG) on feed consumption in broiler chicks fed mycotoxin contaminated feed from 7 to 42 days of age.

Treatment*	Feed intake (g/bird)		
	7 to 21 Days	22 to 42 Days	7 to 42 Days
1	790.83 ± 4.73 ^{ab}	3285.5 ± 85.67	4076.33 ± 104.3 ^{ab}
2	734.58 ± 6.3 ^{6b}	3197.7 ± 35.22	3932.28 ± 44.75 ^b
3	777.08 ± 7.93 ^b	3215.4 ± 99.46	3992.57 ± 102.88 ^{ab}
4	868.75 ± 13.75 ^a	3294.9 ± 88.40	4163.70 ± 72.39 ^{ab}
5	803.75 ± 33.81 ^{ab}	3217.5 ± 200.2	4021.25 ± 184.75 ^{ab}
6	778.58 ± 10.72 ^{ab}	3397.3 ± 66.88	4222.96 ± 53.14 ^a
7	778.58 ± .81 ^{ab}	3369.3 ± 59.06	4177.29 ± 56.11 ^{ab}
8	810.29 ± 11.65 ^{ab}	3247.0 ± 126.3	4057.34 ± 129.07 ^{ab}
9	748.33 ± 43.03 ^b	3386.9 ± 110.0	4135.25 ± 93.88 ^{ab}
10	738.75 ± 34.52 ^b	3360.5 ± 126.3	4099.29 ± 98.97 ^{ab}
Significance	**	NS	**

^{a,B}Means presented in a column with different superscripts differ significantly ($P < 0.05$). *1) Positive control diet, basal diet without additive (control); 2) negative control diet, diet naturally contaminated with mycotoxins (NCD); 3) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB; 4) NCD supplemented with 3% SB; 5) NCD supplemented with 0.05% YG; 6) NCD supplemented with 0.1% YG; 7) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB + 0.05% YG; 8) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB + 0.1% YG; 9) NCD supplemented with 3% SB + 0.05% YG; and 10) NCD supplemented with 3% SB + 0.1% YG. SB, Sodium bentonite; YG, yeast glucomannan; NS, not significant, ** ($P < 0.05$).

with 3 replicates of 10 chickens assigned to each of ten dietary treatments. Data were subjected to statistical analysis using the general linear models procedure of statistical analysis software (SAS) (SAS Institute, 1996). The treatment means showing significant differences in the one-way ANOVA were compared using Duncan's multiple-range test. All statements of significance were based on the 0.05 level of probability.

RESULTS

The effects of dietary treatments on performance are presented in Tables 1 and 2. FCR (kg of feed per kg of gain), FC and BW gain during the experiment were affected by treatments ($P < 0.05$). When compared with treatment 1 and other treatments, the chickens fed with the negative control diet had lower feed consumption, with no statistically significant differences between treatments 1 and 2. During day 7 to 21, chickens fed with treatment 4 diet had higher feed consumption than those receiving treatments 2, 3, 9 and 10 ($P < 0.05$). Treatments 1, 5, 6, 7 and 8 were not different from treatment 4 ($P > 0.05$). Moreover, treatments 4, 6, 8, 7, 5, 3, 9 and 10 increased the FC by 18, 12, 10, 10, 9, 6, 2 and 0.6%, respectively, compared to the treatment 2. During days 22 to 42, treatment 6 had the highest feed consumption (3397.3); there was no statistically significant differences among treatments ($P > 0.05$). There were 6.2, 5.9, 5.3, 5.1, 3, 1.5, 0.6 and 0.5% increase in weight gain for treatments 6, 9, 7, 10, 4, 8, 5 and 3, respectively, as compared to the negative control group. During day 7 to 42, treatment 6 had a higher feed consumption (4222.96; 7.4%) than the negative control group (3932.28) ($P < 0.05$), with no differences among treatments 3, 4, 5, 7,

8, 9, 10 and treatment 1. There were 7.4, 6.2, 5.8, 5.1, 4.2, 3.1, 2.2 and 1.5% increase in feed consumption for treatments 6, 7, 4, 9, 10, 8, 5 and 3, respectively, during day 7 to 42, as compared with the treatment 2.

For day 7 to 21, birds in treatment 2 had significantly lower BW gain (30%) than the positive control group ($P < 0.05$), and also had differences between treatment 2 and treatments 6, 7 and 10. However, treatments 3, 4, 5, 8 and 9 were not different from the negative control group ($P < 0.05$). Treatments 6, 10, 8, 5, 4, 9, 7 and 3 increased the BW gain by 45, 28, 24, 22, 22, 20, 19 and 18%, respectively, compared to the treatment 2. During day 22 to 42, birds in treatment 1 had higher BW gain (14%) than the positive control group. There was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) among treatments in BW gain; there were 17, 13, 11, 11, 10, 9, 6 and 3% increase in weight gain for treatments 6, 9, 10, 8, 3, 4, 5 and 7, respectively, as compared to the negative control group. During the experiment at day 7 to 42, birds in treatment 2 had significantly lower BW gain (18%) than the positive control group ($P < 0.05$). There were no differences among treatments 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and treatment 1, except a difference between treatments 2 and the rest of the treatments in BW gain; only treatment 7 was not different from the negative control group ($P < 0.05$). The body weight gain for treatments 6, 9, 10, 8, 3, 4, 5 and 7 was increased by 24.1, 14.9, 14.6, 14.3, 12.3, 11.9, 9.5 and 6.6%, respectively, during day 7 to 42, compared with the negative control group. As a result, treatment 6 (0.1% YG) had the highest BW gain (45, 17 and 24%) among others.

Furthermore, for day 7 to 21, treatment 1 had a better feed conversion ratio (24%) than the negative control

Table 2. Effect of AF-contaminated diet, sodium bentonite (SB) and yeast glucomannan (YG) on BW gain and FCR in broiler chicks fed mycotoxin contaminated feed from 7 to 42 days of age.

Treatment*	Day 7 to 21		Day 22 to 42		Day 7 to 42	
	BW gain (g)	FCR (kg)	BW gain (g)	FCR (kg)	BW gain (g)	FCR (kg)
1	583.96 ± 20.80 ^a	1.35 ± 0.04 ^c	1766.12 ± 50.00	1.86 ± 0.004 ^b	2350.29 ± 32.71 ^a	1.73 ± 0.02 ^c
2	410.58 ± 11.20 ^c	1.79 ± 0.06 ^a	1522.00 ± 93.79	2.10 ± 0.13 ^a	1927.73 ± 43.87 ^c	2.04 ± 0.10 ^a
3	458.66 ± 12.35 ^{bc}	1.60 ± 0.04 ^{abc}	1670.91 ± 114.97	1.92 ± 0.08 ^{ab}	2165.58 ± 107.4 ^{ab}	1.85 ± 0.05 ^{bc}
4	500.00 ± 16.90 ^{bc}	1.73 ± 0.04 ^{ab}	1657.66 ± 44.24	1.98 ± 0.06 ^{ab}	2157.66 ± 29.82 ^{ab}	1.92 ± 0.04 ^{abc}
5	502.08 ± 21.15 ^{bc}	1.60 ± 0.07 ^{abc}	1620.00 ± 53.66	1.99 ± 0.78 ^{ab}	2112.08 ± 59.07 ^{ab}	1.90 ± 0.04 ^{abc}
6	595.84 ± 35.90 ^a	1.39 ± 0.03 ^c	1797.66 ± 66.57	1.89 ± 0.08 ^{ab}	2393.39 ± 83.82 ^a	1.76 ± 0.06 ^c
7	490.12 ± 111.50 ^{ab}	1.64 ± 0.04 ^{abc}	1565.33 ± 97.58	2.15 ± 0.16 ^a	2055.46 ± 86.08 ^{bc}	2.03 ± 0.10 ^{ab}
8	509.33 ± 38.95 ^{bc}	1.59 ± 0.11 ^{abc}	16944.66 ± 39.37	1.91 ± 0.04 ^{ab}	2204.00 ± 72.97 ^{ab}	1.84 ± 0.02 ^{bc}
9	494.00 ± 8.48 ^{bc}	1.51 ± 0.08 ^{abc}	1723.33 ± 57.11	1.96 ± 0.08 ^{ab}	2216.33 ± 53.77 ^{ab}	1.86 ± 0.05 ^{bc}
10	525.33 ± 41.64	1.41 ± 0.17 ^{bc}	1685.000 ± 98.37	1.99 ± 0.17 ^{ab}	2210.33 ± 64.76 ^{ab}	1.85 ± 0.05 ^{bc}
Significance	**	**	NS	**	**	**

^{a,b,c}Means presented in a column with different superscripts differ significantly ($P < 0.05$). *1) Positive control diet, basal diet without additive (control); 2) negative control diet, diet naturally contaminated with mycotoxins (NCD); 3) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB; 4) NCD supplemented with 3% SB; 5) NCD supplemented with 0.05% YG; 6) NCD supplemented with 0.1% YG; 7) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB + 0.05% YG; 8) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB + 0.1% YG; 9) NCD supplemented with 3% SB + 0.05% YG; and 10) NCD supplemented with 3% SB + 0.1% YG. SB, Sodium bentonite; YG, yeast glucomannan; NS, not significant, ** ($P < 0.05$). FCR, Feed conversion ratio; BW, body weight gain.

group ($P < 0.05$), with no differences among treatments 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and treatment 1, except a difference between treatments 2 and treatments 1, 6 and 10 in FCR. There were 22, 21, 16, 11, 11, 11, 8 and 4% improvement in feed conversion ratio for treatments 6, 10, 9, 8, 5, 3, 7 and 4, respectively as compared with the negative control group. At day 22 to 42, FCR was lower or better in treatments 1 (1.86) and 6 (1.89) and higher in treatments 7 (2.15) and 2 (2.10). Treatment 1 had a better feed conversion ratio (11.4%) than the negative control group ($P < 0.05$). There were no statistical differences ($P > 0.05$) among other treatments in FCR, except a difference between treatment 1 and treatments 2 and 7; here treatments 6, 8, 3, 9, 5, 4, 10 and 7 improved by 10, 9, 8.5, 7, 6, 6, 5 and -2%, respectively, compared with treatment 2. More also, treatment 1 for day 7 to 42 had a better feed conversion ratio (1.73; 15%) than the negative control group (2.04) ($P < 0.05$), with no differences among treatments 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and treatment 1, except a difference between treatments 2 and other treatments; only treatments 4, 5 and 7 were not different from the negative control group. There were 13.7, 10, 9, 9, 9, 7, 6 and -0.5% improvement in feed conversion ratio for treatments 6, 8, 10, 9, 3, 5, 4 and 7, respectively as compared with the negative control group. As a result, treatment 6 (0.1% YG) had the best FCR (22, 10 and 13.7%) among chickens fed with diet containing aflatoxin.

The effects of dietary treatments on antibody production against ND in broilers from day 21 to 42 are presented in Table 3. On the 21st day of the study, antibody production against ND in treatments 2 had lower (14.3%) antibody titer than the positive control group, with

no differences among treatments. There were 25, 22, 19.4, 13.6, 11.1, 5.6, 2.8 and -2.7 % increased in antibody production against ND for treatments 6, 7, 4, 3, 8, 9, 5 and 10, respectively as compared with the negative control group. At 28 days, antibody production against ND in the negative control group had lower (12.4%) than the positive control group and there was no statistically significant differences among treatments ($P > 0.05$); there were 17.9, 14.4, 8.9, 8.8, 7.1, 5.3, 1.5 and 0.04% increase in antibody titer for treatments 6, 3, 9, 7, 4, 10, 8 and 5, respectively as compared to the treatments 2. Antibody production against ND was significantly decreased by 19.9% in treatment 2 at days 35 compared with the positive control group ($P < 0.05$), with no differences among treatments. The antibody titer for treatments 6, 7, 9, 8, 3, 10, 5 and 4 was increased by 12.3, 12.2, 11.9, 10.1, 5.5, 5.2, 1.5 and -0.08%, respectively, compared with the negative control group. On day 42 of age, birds in treatments 2 had significantly lower (26.8%) antibody titer than the positive control group. Also there were statistical differences ($P < 0.05$) between treatments 2 and 1, 3, 6; the rest of the treatments were not different from the positive control group. The antibody titer for treatments 6, 3, 7, 10, 4, 8, 5 and 9 was increased by 31.4, 28, 21, 14, 5.1, 3.4, 3.4 and 2%, respectively compared with the treatment 2.

DISCUSSION

AFs are important to the poultry industry because of their toxicity and frequency of occurrence in food stuffs (Tessari et al., 2006). The toxicity with AFs in poultry has

Table 3. Effect of AF-contaminated diet, sodium bentonite (SB) and yeast glucomannan (YG) on ND antibody titers in broiler chicks fed mycotoxin contaminated feed from 7 to 42 days of age.

Treatment*	Antibody titer			
	Day 21	Day 28	Day 35	Day 42
1	4.663 ± 0.33	7.106 ± 0.50	7.773 ± 0.19 ^a	8.663 ± 0.33 ^{ab}
2	3.996 ± 0.34	6.220 ± 0.19	6.226 ± 0.38 ^b	6.335 ± 0.11 ^d
3	4.543 ± 0.35	7.116 ± 0.55	6.573 ± 0.76 ^{ab}	8.112 ± 0.19 ^{abc}
4	4.773 ± 0.50	6.663 ± 0.33	6.221 ± 0.38 ^b	6.662 ± 0.23 ^{cd}
5	4.110 ± 0.19	6.223 ± 0.49	6.320 ± 0.33 ^b	6.551 ± 0.95 ^{cd}
6	4.996 ± 0.23	7.338 ± 0.39	6.996 ± 0.35 ^{ab}	8.330 ± 0.67 ^{ab}
7	4.883 ± 0.69	6.771 ± 0.83	6.980 ± 0.88 ^{ab}	7.668 ± 0.19 ^{abcd}
8	4.443 ± 0.50	6.319 ± 0.45	6.883 ± 0.69 ^{ab}	6.556 ± 0.36 ^{cd}
9	4.220 ± 0.38	6.776 ± 0.38	6.973 ± 0.35 ^{ab}	6.463 ± 0.57 ^{cd}
10	3.886 ± 0.51	6.553 ± 0.50	6.551 ± 0.19 ^{ab}	7.223 ± 0.69 ^{abcd}
Significance	NS	NS	**	**

^{a-d}Means presented in a column with different superscripts differ significantly ($P < 0.05$). *1) Positive control diet, basal diet without additive (control); 2) negative control diet, diet naturally contaminated with mycotoxins (NCD); 3) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB; 4) NCD supplemented with 3% SB; 5) NCD supplemented with 0.05% YG; 6) NCD supplemented with 0.1% YG; 7) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB + 0.05% YG; 8) NCD supplemented with 1.5% SB + 0.1% YG; 9) NCD supplemented with 3% SB + 0.05% YG; and 10) NCD supplemented with 3% SB + 0.1% YG. SB, Sodium bentonite; YG, yeast glucomannan; NS, not significant, ** ($P < 0.05$).

been well documented (Huff et al., 1988). In current study the lower food intake, body weight gain and higher food conversion ratio observed in chickens fed with AF alone (the negative control group) as compared with the positive control feed, were consistent with previous reports on the performance depressing effects of AF (Kubena et al., 1990; Ledoux et al., 1999; Raju and Devegowda, 2000; Afzal and Saleem, 2004; Girish and Devegowda, 2006; Arab Abousadi et al., 2007). AF contaminated food decreases the activities of several enzymes important to the digestion of carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, and nucleic acid in broiler chicks (Campbell et al., 1983). AF has been found to induce nutritional deficiency, resulting in depressed BW gain, hepatomegaly and proventriculus (Huff et al., 1986; Kubena et al., 1990).

Boden and Jensen (1985) stated that the nutritional deficiency induced by AF could have disrupted the activity of the digestive enzymes and the absorption of essential nutrients.

Most of the previous works on the effect of Mycosorb are the studies where aflatoxin was added to the diet at required concentrations. In contrast, present experiment was conducted to study the effect of Mycosorb on a naturally aflatoxin contaminated diet.

It is reported that adding Na-bentonite and yeast glucomannan to the diet is effective in reducing AF-toxicity in terms of growth performance, hematological-serum biochemical, and macroscopic-histopathological analyses (Rosa et al., 2001; Aravind et al., 2003; Miazzo et al., 2005; Kamaizadeh et al., 2009).

In this study, 0.1% yeast glucomannan significantly increased food intake compared with the negative control

group from 7 to 42 days of age ($P < 0.05$). Also, the addition of yeast glucomannan and Na-bentonite were effective on BW gain and the highest result was significantly obtained compared with the negative control group during day 7 to 42 ($P < 0.05$) when yeast glucomannan was added at of 0.1% of feed to the AF-containing diets. In addition, the best FCR was observed in 0.1% yeast glucomannan compared with 1.5% Na-bentonite during the experiment. Higher food intake (7.4%), body weight gain (24%) and better feed conversion ratio (13.7%) were obtained with 0.1% yeast glucomannan (1 g Mycosorb kg^{-1}), as compared with the negative control group in the during experiment which showed a better performance than with other treatments during starter and finisher phases. This results were in agreement with previous reports (Raju and Devegowda, 2000; Aravind et al., 2003; Karaman et al., 2005; Girish and Devegowda, 2006; Kamaizadeh et al., 2009).

The immunotoxic effects of AF in poultry have been well-documented by other researchers (Michael et al 1973; Richard and Thurston, 1973; Chang and Hamilton, 1997). Therefore, we particularly aimed to assess the impact of 250 ppb AF, which naturally occurred in field conditions, on antibody production against ND in the present study. The results of this study indicate that the antibody titers against ND is significantly decreased in negative control group (NCD) as compared to positive control group at days 35 and 42 ($p < 0.05$). This was similar to the results in other studies (Ghosh et al., 1991; Hegazy et al., 1991; Gabal and Azzam, 1998) showing the immunotoxic effects of AF with 100 to 2500 ppb AF in the diet. It is important to consider poor humoral immunity (HI) in chicks caused by this AF-level (250 ppb) in this

study. This level of AF can be found in broiler feed in field conditions without showing significant clinical signs in boilers during the rearing period (Oguz et al., 2000). The immunosuppressive effect of AF has been related to its direct inhibition of protein synthesis (Oguz et al., 2000) including those with specific function such as immunoglobulin G (IgG) and A (IgA), inhibition of migration of microphages (Ibrahim et al., 2000), interference with the haemolytic activity of complement, reduction of number of lymphocytes (Ghosh and Chauhan, 1991), toxic effect on the bursa of Fabricius (Ortatatli and Oguz, 2001) and impairment of cytokines formation by lymphocytes (Gabal and Azzam, 1998). Our study agrees with previous findings by Oguz et al. (2003), who reported that ND titers were significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) in 100 ppb AF fed chicks compared to the control group ($P < 0.05$).

It was also observed that 1.5% Na-bentonite and 0.1% yeast glucomannan significantly ameliorated the adverse effect of AF on the humoral immunity against ND at day 42 compared with other treatments ($P < 0.05$). This finding is in agreement with other studies performed by previous researchers (Ibrahim et al., 2000; Raju and Devegowda, 2000; Girish and Devegowda, 2006). The addition of 0.1% yeast glucomannan was more effective in ameliorating the suppressive effect of AF on the HI-titer in chicks vaccinated against Newcastle disease than 1.5% Na-bentonite, and the best result was obtained on day 42 of age ($P < 0.05$) when yeast glucomannan was added at of 0.1% of feed to the AF-containing diets.

Conclusion

The performance and humeral immunity against ND were significantly affected by AF (250 ppb) treatment. The addition of yeast glucomannan and sodium bentonite, individually and in combination to the AF-containing diet ameliorated the adverse effects of aflatoxin, but 0.1% yeast glucomannan supplementation to the contaminated diet with aflatoxin proved to be much more effective in the amelioration of the adverse effect of AF on growth performance and antibody production against ND.

Abbreviations

AF, Aflatoxin; **YG**, yeast glucomannan; **SB**, sodium bentonite; **ND**, Newcastle disease; **NCD**, naturally contaminated with mycotoxins; **TLC**, thin layer chromatography; **HI**, haemagglutination inhibition; **CP**, crude protein; **ME**, metabolizable energy; feed consumption (FC) and **BW**, body weight gain; **FCR**, feed conversion ratio.

REFERENCES

Allan WH, Gough RE (1974). A standard haemagglutination inhibition

- test for Newcastle disease. 1. A comparison of macro and micro methods. *Vet. Rec.* 95: 120-123.
- Afzal M, Saleem Z (2004). Effects of addition of a mycotoxin detoxifier in poultry feed containing different levels of aflatoxins on the performance of broilers. *Asi-Austral. J. Anim. Sci.* 17: 990-994.
- Arab Abovadi M, Rowghani E, Ebrahimi Honarmand M (2007). The efficacy of various additives to reduce the toxicity of aflatoxin B1 in broiler chicks. *Iran. J. Vet. Res.* 19: 144-150.
- Aravind KL, Patil VS, Devegowda G, Umakantha B, Ganpule SP (2003). Efficacy of esterified glucomannan to counteract mycotoxicosis in naturally-contaminated feed on performance, serum biochemical and haematological parameters in broilers. *Poult. Sci.* 82: 570-576.
- Boden S, Jensen L (1985). The effect of marginal levels of calcium, fish meal, torulas yeast and alfalfa meal on feed intake, hepatic lipid accumulation, plasma estradiol and egg shell quality among laying hens. *Poult. Sci.* 64: 937-946.
- Boulton SL, Dick JW, Huges BL (1980). Effects of dietary aflatoxin and ammonia-inactivated titers in layer breeders. *Avian. Dis.* 1: 1-16.
- Chang CF, Hamilton PB (1997). Refractory phagocytosis by chicken thrombocytes during aflatoxicosis. *Poult. Sci.* 58: 559-561.
- Campbell ML, May JD, Huff WE, Doevr JA (1983). Evaluation of immunity of young broiler chickens during aflatoxicosis and ochratoxicosis. *Poult. Sci.* 62: 2138-2144.
- Edds GT, Bortell RA (1983). Biological effects of aflatoxin in poultry. In: *Aflatoxin and Aspergillus flavus in Corn*. Diener U, Asquith R, Dickens J. USA, South. Cooper. Seri. Bull. 279: 56-61.
- El-zanaty K, Maraghy SSM, Abdulmotaleb T, Salem R (1989). Effect of aflatoxin on Newcastle disease vaccination in broiler chicks. *Assiut. Vet. Med. J.* 43: 184-189.
- Gabal MA, Azzam AH (1998). Interaction of aflatoxin in the feed and immunization against selected infectious diseases in poultry. II. Effect on one-day-old layer chicks simultaneously vaccinated against Newcastle disease infectious bronchitis and infectious bursal disease. *Avian. Pathol.* 27: 290-295.
- Ghosh C, Chauhan HVS, Jha GJ (1991). Suppression of cell-mediated immunity by purified aflatoxin B1 in broiler chicks. *Vet. Immun. Immunopathol. J.* 28: 165-172.
- Girish CK, Devegowda G (2006). Efficacy of Glucomannan-containing Yeast Product (Mycosorb) and Hydrated Sodium Calcium Aluminosilicate in Preventing the Individual and Combined Toxicity of Aflatoxin and T-2 Toxin in Commercial Broilers. *Asian-Australian. J. Anim. Sci.* 19: 877-883.
- Hegazy SM, Azzam AH, Gabal MA (1991). Interaction of naturally occurring aflatoxins in poultry feed and immunization against fowl cholera. *Poult. Sci.* 70: 2425-2428.
- Huff WE, Harvey RB, Kubena LF, Rottinghaus GE (1988). Toxic synergism between aflatoxin and T-2 toxin in broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 67: 1418-1423.
- Ibrahim IK, Jubory KMT, Shareef AM (1998). Reducing aflatoxicosis in growing chicks by dietary sodium bentonite. *J. Agr. Res.* 8: 130-138.
- Ibrahim IK, Shareef AM, Al-Joubory KMT (2000). Ameliorative effects of sodium bentonite on phagocytosis and Newcastle disease antibody formation in broiler chickens during aflatoxicosis. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 69: 119-122.
- Ledoux DR, Rottinghaus GE, Bermudez AJ, Alanso-Debolt M (1999). Efficacy of hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate to ameliorate the toxic effects of aflatoxin in broiler chicks. *Poult. Sci.* 78: 204-210.
- Leeson S, Diaz G, Summers JD (1995). Aflatoxins. In: *Poultry Metabolic Disorders and Mycotoxins*. Leeson S, Diaz G, Summers JD. Canada University Books. pp. 248-279.
- Miazzo R, Peralta MF, Magnoli C, Salvano M, Ferrero S, Chiacchiera SM, Carvalho EC, Rosa CA, Dalcero A (2005). Efficacy of sodium bentonite as a detoxifier of broiler feed contaminated with aflatoxin and fumonisin. *Poult. Sci.* 84: 1-8.
- Miazzo R, Rosa CA, De Queiroz Carvalho EC, Magnoli C, Chiacchiera SM, Palacio G, Saenz M, Kikot A, Basaldella E, Dalcero A (2000). Efficacy of synthetic zeolite to reduce the toxicity of aflatoxin in broiler chicks. *Poult. Sci.* 79: 1-6.
- Michael GY, Thaxton JP, Hamilton PB (1973). Impairment of the reticuloendothelia systems of chickens during aflatoxicosis. *Poult. Sci.* 52: 1206-1207.
- Kamaizadeh A, Hosseini A, Moradi S (2009). Effects of Yeast

- Glucomannan on Performance of Broiler Chickens. *Int. J. Agr. Biol.* 11: 49-53.
- Karaman M, Basmacioglu H, Ortatati M, Oguz H (2005). Evaluation of the detoxifying effect of yeast glucomannan on aflatoxicosis in broilers as assessed by gross examination and histopathology. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 46: 394-400.
- Kubena LF, Harvey RB, Huff WE, Corrier DE, Phillips TD, Rottinghaus GE (1990). Efficacy of a hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate to reduce the toxicity of aflatoxin and T-2 toxin. *Poult. Sci.* 69: 1078-1086.
- Oguz H, Hadimli HH, Kurtoglu V, Erganis O (2003). Evaluation of humoral immunity of broilers during chronic aflatoxin (50 and 100 ppb) and clinoptilolite exposure. *Rev. Med. Vet.* 7: 483-486.
- Oguz H, Kurtoglu V (2000). Effect of clinoptilolite on fattening performance of broiler chickens during experimental aflatoxicosis. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 41: 512-517.
- Oguz H, Kecec T, Birdane YO, Onder F, Kurtoglu V (2000a). Effect of clinoptilolite on serum biochemical and haematological characters of broiler chickens during experimental aflatoxicosis. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 69: 89-93.
- Ortatati M, Oguz H (2001). Ameliorative effects of dietary clinoptilolite on pathological changes in broiler chickens during aflatoxicosis. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 71: 59-66.
- Pasha TN, Farooq MU, Khattak FM, Jabbar MA, Khan AD (2007). Effectiveness of sodium bentonite and two commercial products as aflatoxin absorbents in diets for broiler chickens. *Anim. Fe. Sci. Tech.* 10: 103-110
- Pier AC, Heddleston KL (1970). The effect of aflatoxin on immunity in turkeys. Impairment of actively acquired resistance to bacterial challenge. *Avian. Dis.* 14: 797-808.
- Raju M V L N, Devegowda G (2000). Influence of esterified glucomannan on performance and organ morphology, serum biochemistry and haematology in broilers exposed to individual and combined mycotoxicosis (aflatoxin, ochratoxin and T-2 toxin). *Br. Poult. Sci.* 41: 640-650.
- Richard JL, Thurston JR (1973). Effects of aflatoxin on phagocytosis of *Aspergillus fumigatus* spores by rabbit alveolar. *Appl. Microbiol.* 30: 44-47.
- Romer RT (1975). Screening methods for the detection of aflatoxins in mixed feeds and other agricultural commodities with subsequent confirmation and quantitative measurement of aflatoxins in positive samples. *J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem.* 58: 500-506.
- Rosa CA, Miazzo R, Magnoli C, Salvano M, Chiac SM, Ferrero S, Saenz M, Carvalho EC, Dalcerro A (2001). Evaluation of the efficacy of bentonite from the south of Argentina to ameliorate the toxic effects of aflatoxin in broilers. *Poult. Sci.* 80: 139-144.
- SAS (1996). *Statistical Analysis System*: Cary, NC, USA: SAS Institute
- Thaxton JP, Tung HT, Hamilton PB (1974). Immunosuppression in chickens by aflatoxin. *Poult. Sci.* 35: 721-725.
- Tessari ENC, Oliveria CAF, Cardoso ALSP, Ledoux DR, Rottinghaus GE (2006). Effects of aflatoxin B1 and fumonisin B1 on body weight, antibody titres and histology of broiler chicks. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 47: 357-364.
- Zaghini A, Martelli G, Roncada P, Simioli M, Rizzi L (2005). Yeast Glucomanan and Aflatoxin B1 in Feed for Laying Hens: Effects on Egg Quality, Aflatoxins B1 and M1 Residues in Eggs, and Aflatoxin B1 Levels in Liver. *Poult. Sci.* 84: 825-832.