Full Length Research paper

Evaluation of bioactive compounds of *Stevia* rebaudiana leaves and callus

Esmat A. Abou-Arab* and Ferial M. Abu-Salem

Department of Food Technology, National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt.

Accepted 17 May, 2010

Stevia rebaudiana is considered natural as source of antioxidants, which contained phenolic compounds and flavonoides at levels 24.01 and 18.93 mg/g dry weight basis of leaves and 33.99 and 30.03 mg/g dry weight basis of callus, these substances have been suggested to play a preventive role for human health. Antioxidant activity of water and methanolic extracts of stevia leaves and callus equivalent to gallic acid (GA) and butylated hydroxyl anisole (BHA) were determined. GA was the stronger antioxidant in both water and methanol extracts than BHA. Antibacterial and antifungal of stevia leaves and callus extracted by six types of solvent (acetone, chloroform, hexane, methanol, ethyl acetate and water) were studied. Methanol and acetone extracts had greater antibacterial potential for the strains of Listeria monocytogenes, Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli. However, acetone, chloroform, methanol and ethyl acetate had antifungal potential for Asperigillus ochraceus, Asperigillus parasiticus, Asperigillus flavus and Fusarium. The results indicate that stevia leaves and callus extracts may be an ideal candidate for further research into their uses for food preservation and pharmaceutical due to their antioxidants and antimicrobial activities.

Key words: Antioxidants, antimicrobial, *Stevia rebaudiana*, leaves, callus.

INTRODUCTION

Antioxidants are considered important nutraceuticals on account of many health benefits (Valko et al., 2007). They are used to preserve food quality mainly prevention of oxidative deterioration of constituent of lipids. The most commonly used antioxidants at the present time are butylated hydroxyanisol (BHA), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), propyl gallate (PG) and tetra-butylhydroquinone (TBHQ) (Sherwin, 1990). However, BHA and BHT have suspected of being responsible for liver damage and carcinogenesis (Grice, 1988). Therefore, development and utilization of more effective antioxidants of natural origin are desired (Oktay et al., 2003). Natural antioxidants can protect the human body from free radicals and retard the progress rancidity in foods (Gülcin et al., 2003). Consumption of antioxidant constituents

reported to have protection against oxidative damage induced degenerative and pathological processes including ageing and cancer (Tadhani et al., 2007). Antioxidant properties have been studied in several plant species for the development of natural antioxidant formulations in the areas of food, medicine and cosmetics (Miliauskas et al., 2004). However, chemical constituents of medicinal plants depending on several factors such as cultivation area, climatic conditions and genetic modification (Miliauskas et al., 2004).

Plants constitute an important source of active natural products which differ widely in terms of structure and biological properties. They have played a remarkable role in the traditional medicine of various countries. In recent years, the prevention of cancer and cardiovascular diseases has been associated with the ingestion of fresh fruits, vegetables or teas rich in natural antioxidants (Virgili et al., 2001). The protective effects of plant products are due to the presence of several components which have distinct mechanisms of action; some are enzymes and proteins and others are low molecular weight compounds such as vitamins (Head, 1998), carotenoids (Edge et al., 1997), flavonoids (Zhang and

Abbreviations: BHA, butylated hydroxyanisol; **BHT**, butylated hydroxytoluene; **PG**, propyl gallate; **TBHQ**, tetrabutylhydroquinone.

^{*}Corresponding author. E- mail: eabouarab @yahoo.com.

Wang, 2002), anthocyanins and other phenolic compounds (Sanchez-Moreno et al., 1998).

In the past few years, there has been increasing interest in research into antioxidants such as phenolic compounds and antioxidant peptides, since they can protect the human body from free radicals and retard the progress of many chronic diseases (Gőktűrk Baydar et al., 2007).

Phenolic compounds are commonly found in both edible and non-edible plants. They are important in the plant for normal growth development and defense against infection and injury. The presence of phenolic compounds in injured plants may have an important effect on the oxidative stability and microbial safety. Although, phenolic compounds do not have any known nutritional function, they may be important to human health because of their antioxidant potency (Hollman et al., 1996). The importance of the antioxidant constituents in the maintenance of health and protection from coronary heart disease and cancer is also raising interest among scientists, food manufacturers and consumers as the trend of the future is moving toward functional food with specific health effects (Kahkonen et al., 1999). The beneficial health-related effects of certain phenols or their potential antioxidant properties, especially when these compounds are present in large quantities in foods, are of importance to consumers.

Antimicrobial are compounds protect the living organisms from microbial infection. There is a continuous and urgent need to discover new antimicrobial compounds with diverse chemical structures and novel mechanisms of action due to an alarming increase in the incidence of new and reemerging infectious diseases and development of resistance to the antibiotics in current clinical use (Bauer et al., 2003).

The screening of plant extracts has been of great interest to scientists in the search for new drugs for greater effective treatment of several diseases (Dimayuga and Garcia, 1991). Therefore, plant extracts and phytochemicals with known antimicrobial properties can be of great significance in therapeutic treatments (Erdogrul, 2002).

The medicinal value of plants lies in some chemical substances that produce a definite physiological action on the human body. The most important of these bioactive compounds of plants are alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, essential oils and other aromatic compounds (Kumar and Singh, 1984).

In addition, many biological activities and antibacterial effects have been reported for plant tannins and flavonoids (Scalbert, 1991; Chung et al., 1998). Plants have an almost limitless ability to synthesize aromatic substances, most of which are phenols or their oxygen-substituted derivatives (Geissman, 1963). These compounds protect the plant from microbial infection and deterioration (Cowan, 1999).

Some of these phytochemicals can significantly reduce the risk of cancer due to polyphenol antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects. Some preclinical studies suggest that phytochemicals can prevent colorectal cancer and other cancers (Birt et al., 2001).

The use of natural products with therapeutic properties has a long history, plant, animal, and mineral products were the main source of medicines (Kao, 1980). There are growing interests in using natural antioxidant and antimicrobial compounds, especially extracted from plants, for the preservation of foods. The plant used in the present study was *Stevia rebaudiana*, which is used traditionally for the source of natural sweetener. The dry extract from the leaves of *S. rebaudiana Bretoni* contains sweet diterpene glycosides, flavonoids, water-soluble chlorophylls and xanthophylls, hydroxynnamic acid (caffeic, chlorogenic, etc.), neutral water- soluble oligosaccharides, free sugars, amino acids, lipids, essential oils, and trace elements (Komissarenko et al., 1994; Esmat and Ferial 2009).

Stevia sweetener extractives have been suggested to exert beneficial effects on human health, including antihypertensive (Lee et al., 2001), antihyperglycemic (Jeppesen et al., 2002) and anti-human rotavirus activities (Das et al., 1992). The best of our knowledge, there is no previous reported work on the antimicrobial activity of *S. rebaudiana* for comparison, except that of Tadhani and Subhash (2006). Therefore, it is of great interest to evaluate the total phenolic compounds, flavonoids, total antioxidant as well as antimicrobial and antifungal activity of *S. rebaudiana* due to their beneficial effects on human health and their important in different industrial uses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Stevia plant leaves

Stevia plant leaves (S. rebaudiana Bertoni) were obtained from Stevia International Company from Agro-industry Product (SICAP), Cairo, Egypt. Stevia plant leaves were dried in either direct sunlight at temperature ranged 25 - 30 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 - 48 h and packed in polyethylene bags and stored at -18 $^{\circ}$ C in refrigerator until used.

Reagents

Antioxidant standards of gallic acid (GA), butylated hydroxyl anisole (BHA), 1-naphtaleneacetic acid (NAA), 6-benzyladenine (BA), sucrose, agar, sodium carbonate, ethyl alcohol, mercuric chloride and formaldehyde as well as organic solvents (acetone, chloroform, hexane, methanol and ethyl acetate) were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, Mo). Dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). For bacterial and fungal assay, nutrient broth and agar, Mueller Hinton agar and potato dextrose agar oxide were used.

Bacterial strains

Bacterial strains of *Listeria monocytogenes* V7 strain syrotype 1 milk isolated was obtained from the Government of Food Science,

University, Madison, USA. *Escherichia coli* 0157: H7 ETCC 6933, *Bacillus cereus* ETCC 33018, *Staphylococcus aureus* ETCC 20231, *Salmonella typhymerium* ETCC 14028 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ETCC 9027 were obtained from American type culture collection (ATCC), Rockville, Maryland 20852, USA.

Fungal strains

Fungal strains of Aspergillus ochraceus, Aspergillus parasiticus, Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus niger and Fusarium were obtained from the Microbiological Research Center (MIRCEN), Faculty of Agricultural Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

Induction of callus

Fresh leaves of *S. rebaudiana* were surface sterilized which treated with 70% ethyl alcohol for 2 min followed by treatment with 0.1% mercuric chloride for 2 min. Finally, the explants were washed with sterile distilled water successively three times and were inoculated on Murashige and Skoog (1962) medium supplemented with 2.0 mg/L NAA (1-napthaleneacetic acid), 0.3 mg/L BA (6-benzyladenine), 30 g/L sucrose, 8 g/L agar at pH 5.8 in glass tubes for the production of the callus according to Murashige and Skoog (1962). The cultures were incubated at 25 °C \pm 2 for 16 h photoperiod. Callus was subcultured onto fresh medium of the same composition for a period of six weeks before being analyzed. The callus were dried in air oven at temperature 40 °C for 8 h then grounded in a Braun milled (KMM 30) to a powder and stored in plastic bags until required for further analysis.

Methods

Total phenolic compounds

Total phenolic compounds were estimated according to the method described by Meda et al. (2005). Known quantity of stevia leaves or callus powder was taken in 100 mL conical flask and 25 mL 0.3 N HCl in methanol was added and then kept on shaker at 150 rpm for an hour. After shaking, crude extract was filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper. The filtrate obtained was evaporated to dryness in a boiling water bath. To the residue, hot water was added and final volume was adjusted to 100 mL with distilled water. From this, 1 mL aliquot was taken in a test tube and 1mL each of Folin-Ciocalteu reagents (diluted 1:2) and 35% sodium carbonate were added and then mixed. After 10 min, 2 mL of distilled water was added and intensity of the colour was recorded at 620 nm in the UV spectrophotometer (model T80 x UVNIS Spectrometer PG Instruments Ltd) against the reagent blank. The content of total phenolic compounds was determined using a standard curve prepared with gallic acid.

Total flavonoids

Total flavonoids compounds were determined according to the method described by Meda et al. (2005). Known quantity of stevia leaves or callus powder was taken in 100 mL conical flask. To this, 25 mL 0.3 N HCl in methanol was added and kept on shaker at 150 rpm for an hour. After shaking, crude extract was filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper. The filtrate obtained was evaporated to dryness in a boiling water bath. To the residue, hot water was added and final volume was adjusted to 100 mL with distilled water. From this, 1 mL aliquot was taken in a test tube and 1 mL of 20% HCl and 0.5 mL formaldehyde were added and the tubes were allowed to stand for overnight. After 24 h the content was

centrifuged (Model 2300 Herma) at 3000 x g for 20 min. and 1 mL from supernatant was taken and treated as described for phenolic compounds.

Total antioxidant activities

Sample preparation

For the preparation of sample, 150 mg of fine ground powder of stevia leaves or callus was taken in 250 mL conical flasks and 50 mL water added then was kept on shaker at 150 rpm for an hour. After removing flask, content were filtered using filter paper (Whatman No.1). Similarly, methanol extracts were also prepared. The filtrate was used directly for ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) and 1, 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay without storage.

Determination of DPPH radical scavenging activity

The method was adopted from Sharma and Bhat (2009). Methanolic and water extracts of stevia leaves and callus were evaluated in terms of their hydrogen donating or radical scavenging ability using DPPH radical. For assay, 200 μL filtrate was taken in test tubes and volume made up to 1 mL with methanol. Three milliliters of the freshly prepared solution of DPPH (200 mM) in methanol was added to the sample tube and mixed vigorously for 15 s. The sample tube was then kept in a water bath at 37 $^{\circ}{\rm C}$ for 20 min. The absorbance of the sample was measured at 517 nm by UV Spectrophotometer (model T80 x UVNIS Spectrometer PG Instruments Ltd)). Gallic acid and BHA were used as standard references. The DPPH radical scavenging effect was calculated as "inhibition of percentage" according to the following formula:

Inhibition of percentage (%) = $[A_{c (0)} - A_{a (t)}/A_{c (0)}] \times 100$

Where: $A_{c\ (0)}$ is an absorbance of control DPPH solution at 0 min and $A_{a\ (t)}$ is an absorbance of test sample after 20 min.

FRAP assay

Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) was determined according to the procedure described by Benzie and Strain (1996). The principle of this method is based on the reduction of a ferric-tripyridyl-triazine complex to its ferrous, colored form in the presence of antioxidants. Briefly, the FRAP reagent contained 2.5 mL of a 10 mmol/L TPTZ (2,4,6- tripyridyl-s-triazine) solution in 40 mmol/L HCl plus 2.5 mL of 20 mmol/L FeCl3 6H₂O and 25 mL of 0.3 mol/L acetate buffer, pH 3.6 and was prepared freshly and warmed at 37 °C. Aliquots of 40 mL sample filtrate were mixed with 0.2 mL distilled water and 1.8 mL FRAP reagent and the absorbance of reaction mixture at 593 nm was measured spectrophotometrically after incubation at 37 °C for 10 min. Gallic acid, and BHA were used as the standard. The final result was expressed as the concentration of antioxidants having a ferric reducing ability equivalent to that of mg of standard used per gram stevia leaves and callus on dry weight basis.

Antimicrobial and antifungal activity

Test organisms

L. monocytogenes, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, S. typhymerium, B. cereus and Escherichia coli were used to test antibacterial activity while A. ochraceus, A. parasiticus, A. flavus, A. niger and Fusarium were used to assess antifungal activity.

Table 1. Total phenolic compounds and flavonoid contents of leaves and callus *S. rebaudiana*.

| Itom | Contents (mg/ | LSD at 5% | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Item | Stevia leaves | Stevia callus | L3D at 3% | | |
| Total phenolic | 24.01 ^b ± 0.29 | 33.99 ^a ± 0.29 | 0.66 | | |
| Flavonoids | 19.93 ^b ± 0.25 | 30.03 a ±0.44 | 0.80 | | |

⁻ All values are means of triplicate determinations \pm standard deviation (SD). - Means within columns with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

Preparation of plant extract

A 25 g of air-dried powder of *S. rebaudiana* leaves and callus was immersed in 100 mL organic solvent (acetone, chloroform, hexane, methanol, ethyl acetate) and water separately in conical flask. It was incubated at room temperature ($25 \pm 2 \,^{\circ}$ C) for 48 h at 150 rpm in orbital shaker. The suspension was filtered and concentrated to dryness at 40 $\,^{\circ}$ C in hot air oven. The extract was dissolved in 0.25% Dimethyl Sulphoxide (DMSO, Merck) to concentration of 100 mg/mL (Jayaraman et al., 2008).

Assay for antibacterial activity

Preparation of inoculum

Stock cultures were maintained at 4° C on nutrient agar slants. Active cultures for experiments were prepared by transferring a loopful of culture to 10 mL of nutrient broth and incubated at 37° C for 24 h for bacterial proliferation.

Agar -well diffusion method

Agar –well bioassay was employed for testing antibacterial activity of *S. rebaudiana* leaves (Lindat, 1962). Each extracts were made to a final concentration of 50 mg/mL 24 h old cultures of test organisms (0.05 mL) were seeded onto Mueller Hinton agar plate and uniformly spread with a spreader. Wells (5 mm) were made in the agar plate with a sterile cork borer. The plant extract was introduced into the well and the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The antibacterial activity of the plant extract was determined by measuring the diameter of the inhibition zone. Controls contained only DiMethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO). The antibacterial assay for each of the extracts against all microorganisms tested was performed in triplicates.

Assay for antifungal activity

Potato dextrose agar was prepared and 1 mL (50 mg/mL) of plant extract was added to the medium. After solidification a loopful of culture was placed in the centre of the plates and incubated at 25 °C for 4 days (Lindat, 1962). The growth of the fungal cultures was measured and compared with the respective control plates. The antifungal assay for each of the extracts against all microorganisms tested was performed in triplicates.

Statistical analysis

The results were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance and least significant difference (L.S.D.) at 0.05 levels according to the method described by Snedecor and Cochran (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Antioxidant activity of S. rebaudiana

The total phenolic compounds and flavonoids content of stevia leaves and callus were determined and data shown in Table 1. It could be noticed that the content of total phenolic compounds and flavonoids were higher in callus compared to the leaves. These results confirmed by statistical analysis which proved that highly significant differences (p < 0.05) were observed between the leaves and callus contents of total phenolic and flavonoids. Phenolic compounds are commonly found in both edible and non-edible plants, and they have multiple biological effects, including antioxidant activity (Kahkonen et al., 1999). Besides, the contents of flavonoid and other phenolic substance have been suggested to play a preventive role in the development of cancer and heart disease (Kahkonen et al., 1999).

There are many different antioxidants present in plants and it is very difficult to measure each antioxidant component separately. Therefore, several methods have been developed to evaluate the total antioxidant activity of fruits or other plants (Van den Berg et al., 1999), total radical absorption potentials (Evelson et al., 2001) and oxygen radical absorption capacity assays (Cao and Prior, 1999; Ou et al., 2001). The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) assay (Benzie and Strain, 1996) are commonly used and are the representative method frequently used in various investigations. The selected the FRAP and DPPH assay to evaluate the antioxidant activities of the leaves and callus stevia. Table 2 show the absorbance at 593 nm against various concentrations of gallic acid and butylated hydroxyl anisole (BHA) as standards in water and methanol leaves and callus extracts, respectively. Gallic acid was the strongest antioxidant in both water and methanol extracts whereas, BHA was proved to be a weak antioxidant in water and methanol extracts.

To the best of the knowledge and from the cited literature, this is first time that the antioxidant activity of stevia leaves and callus has been reported. Methanolic extract of stevia leaves showed significantly (p < 0.05) high antioxidant activity equivalent to gallic acid. Water extract of stevia leaves and callus did not show

Table 2. Antioxidant activity of water and methanolic extracts of *S. rebaudiana* leaves and callus equivalent to gallic acid and butylated hydroxyl anisole (BHA).


| | mg | | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Item | Leave | extract | Callus | LSD at 5% | |
| | Water | Methanolic | Water | Methanolic | |
| Gallic acid | $8.72^{c} \pm 0.13$ | 10.77 ^a ± 0.17 | $8.52^{\circ} \pm 0.25$ | 9.65 ^b ± 0.26 | 0.32 |
| ВНА | 19.52 ^b ± 0.49 | $34.75^{a} \pm 0.18$ | 18.77°± 0.13 | 17.60 ^d ± 0.21 | 0.54 |

⁻ All values are means of triplicate determinations ± standard deviation (SD). - Means within columns with different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Table 3. The percent inhibition of 1, 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical with different extracts of Stevia leaves and callus.

| Item | Leave e | xtract | Callus | - LSD at 5% | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | Water | Methanolic | Water | Methanolic | LSD at 5% |
| Inhibition (%) of DPPH | 37.36 ^b ± 1.47 | 31.61° ± 0.90 | 53.76 ^a ± 0.88 | 54.8 ^a ±1.12 | 2.10 |
| IC ₅₀ μg of sample | 626.37 ^b ± 14.67 | $683.90^{a} \pm 8.79$ | 462.38° ± 8.79 | 451.38° ±11.23 | 2.03 |

⁻ All values are means of triplicate determinations ± standard deviation (SD). - Means within columns with different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05). - IC₅₀: Concentration required for 50 % inhibition.



Stevia leaves and callus

Figure 1. Scavenging activity of water and methanolic extracts of *Stevia rebaudiana* leaves and callus against DPPH radical.

significant difference in antioxidant activity when expressed in terms of BHA but it was significantly (p < 0.05) high compared to the methanolic extract of leaves and callus. On expressing the antioxidant activity in terms of gallic acid as standards the methanolic extracts of leaf and callus showed slightly higher values whereas, on expressing in terms of BHA the methanolic leaf extract showed higher value compared to the water extract.

DPPH radicals are widely used in the model system to investigate the scavenging activities of several natural compounds. When DPPH radical is scavenged, the color of the reaction mixture changed from purple to yellow with decreasing of absorbance at wave length 517 nm. The inhibition percent of DPPH radical and IC_{50} with different extracts (water and methanolic) of stevia leaves and callus were recorded (Table 3 and Figure 1).

Table 4. Antibacterial activity of the *S. rebaudiana* leaves extracts.

| Test organism | Zone of Inhibition (mm) | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------|-------------|
| | Acetone | Chloroform | Hexane | Methanol | Ethyl acetate | Water | LSD at 0.05 |
| Listeria monocytogenes | 17.0 ^b ±0.01 | - | - | 20.0 ^a ±0.01 | - | - | 0.10 |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 14.0 ^b ±0.01 | - | - | 16.0 ^a ±0.01 | - | - | 0.10 |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 15.0 ^a ±0.01 | 11.0 ^b ±0.01 | 11.0 ^b ±0.01 | 15.0 ^a ±0.01 | - | - | 0.15 |
| Salmonella typhymerium | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bacillus cereus | 20.0 ^b ±0.01 | - | - | 25.0 ^a ±0.01 | - | - | 0.10 |
| Escherichia coli | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

⁻ All values are means of triplicate determinations ± standard deviation (SD). - Means within columns with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

Table 5. Antibacterial activity of the *S. rebaudiana* callus extracts.

| Test organism - | Zone of Inhibition (mm) | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------|--|
| | Acetone | Chloroform | Hexane | Methanol | Ethyl acetate | Water | LSD at 0.05 | |
| L. monocytogenes | $3.0^{b} \pm 0.01$ | - | - | 6.0 ^a ± 0.01 | - | - | 1.03 | |
| S. aureus | $2.0^{b} \pm 0.01$ | - | - | 9.0 ^a ± 0.01 | $3.0^{b} \pm 0.01$ | - | 1.26 | |
| P. aeruginosa | - | - | - | 8.0 ± 0.01 | - | - | 0.73 | |
| S. typhymerium | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| B. cereus | $2.0^{b} \pm 0.01$ | - | 10.0 ^{bc} ± 0.01 | $5.0^{a} \pm 0.01$ | - | - | 1.03 | |
| E. coli | 10.0 ^a ± 0.01 | 2.0 ^b ± 0.01 | - | - | - | - | 1.03 | |

⁻ All values are means of triplicate determinations ± standard deviation (SD). - Means within columns with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

Inhibition percent of DPPH radical by water extract of stevia leaf was significantly (p <0.05) differ from methanol extract of leaf. Water and methanolic extract of callus showed a significantly (p < 0.05) higher inhibition percent of DPPH radical compared to water and methanolic extract of stevia leaves In the DPPH assay, callus showed higher antioxidant activity compared to the stevia leaves. On the other hand, concentration required for 50% inhibition (IC $_{50}$) was highly significant differences in methanolic leave extract than water extract. However, no significant differences observed between water and methanolic callus extracts.

Antibacterial activity of S. rebaudiana

The antibacterial activities of the solvents (acetone, chloroform, hexane, ethyl acetate and water) of *Stevia rebaudiana* leaves and callus extracts were showed significant variations (p < 0.05) as shown in Table 4 and 5. Among the sixth extracts tested, methanol extract had greater antibacterial potential for the strains of *L. monocytogenes, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa* and *B. cereus* followed by acetone extract with the same strains. The inhibitory activity was measured by zone diameter (mm) of inhibition. Data in Table 4 showed that in leaves extracts, the largest zones of inhibition were observed against *B. cereus* and *L. monocytogenes*. However, *S.*

aureus and P. aeruginosa were inhibition zone lower. On the other hand, leaves extracted by chloroform and hexane affected only on P. aeruginosa. In contrast the other extracted leaves (by ethyl acetate and water) didn't affect on the studied strains. These results confirmed by statistical analysis which data showed highly significant differences (p < 0.05) with methanol extract than others.

The antibacterial activities (the same strains) of the S. rebaudiana callus extracts showed significant variations as shown in Table 5. Methanol extract had greater antibacterial potential followed by acetone extract than other extracts. The inhibitory activity measured by zone of inhibition showed that the largest zone of inhibition was observed with methanol extract against S. aureus followed by P. aeruginosa, L. monocytogenes and B. cereus. Regarding to acetone extract, it was very effective against E. coli followed by L. monocytogenes, S. aureus and Bacillus cereus. However, P. aeruginosa didn't affect callus extracted by acetone. In contrast as methanol extract. In chloroform, hexane and ethyl acetate, they affected only on E. coli, B. cereus and S. aureus, respectively. The higher antibacterial activities of the methanol and acetone extracts may be due to the greater solubility of the extract in these organic solvents (De Boer et al., 2005). On the other hand, Tadhani and Subhash (2006) showed that methanol extracts was effective against S. aureus and E. coli which zones of inhibition were 8.33 and 13.0 mm, respectively. These

Table 6. Antifungal activity of the *S. rebaudiana* leaves extracts.

| Took ownerious | Zone of Inhibition (mm) | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------|--|--|
| Test organism | Acetone | Chloroform | Hexane | Methanol | Ethylacetate | Water | LSD at 0.05 | | |
| Aspergillus ochraceus | 15.0 ^a ±0.01 | 12.0 bc ±0.01 | - | 13.0 ^b ±0.01 | 11.0°±0.01 | - | 0.15 | | |
| Aspergillus parasiticus | 10.0 ^b ±0.01 | 11.0 ^b ±0.01 | - | 13.0 ^a ±0.01 | 10.0 ^b ±0.01 | - | 0.15 | | |
| Aspergillus flavus | - | 10.0 ^b ±0.01 | - | 13.0 ^a ±0.01 | 10.0 ^b ±0.01 | - | 0.13 | | |
| Aspergillus niger | 10.0 ^b ±0.01 | 10.0 ^b ±0.01 | - | 14.0 ^a ±0.01 | 10.0 ^b ±0.01 | - | 0.15 | | |
| Fusarium | 9.0 ^b ±0.01 | 10.0 ^b ±0.01 | - | 15.0 ^a ±0.01 | - | - | 0.13 | | |

⁻ All Val values are means of triplicate determinations ± standard deviation (SD). - Means within columns with different letters are significantly different (p < 0.05).

Table 7. Antifungal activity of the *Stevia rebaudiana* callus extracts.

| Took owner iom | Zone of Inhibition (mm) | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------|-------------|--|
| Test organism | Acetone | Chloroform | Hexane | Methanol | Ethylacetate | Water | LSD at 0.05 | |
| Aspergillus ochraceus | - | 19.0 ^a ± 0.01 | 4.0°±0.01 | 16.0 ^b ± 0.01 | - | - | 1.26 | |
| Aspergillus parasiticus | 3.05 ^a ± 0.01 | 15.0 ^b ± 0.01 | $3.0^{\circ} \pm 0.01$ | - | - | - | 1.26 | |
| Aspergillus flavus | - | - | 10.0 ^a ± 0.01 | 4.0 ^b ± 0.01 | - | - | 1.03 | |
| Aspergillus niger | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Fusarium | = | - | - | 7.0 ± 0.01 | - | - | 0.73 | |

⁻ All values are means of triplicate determinations ± standard deviation (SD). - Means within columns with different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).

variations in diameter zone as well as effects on *E. coli* with the results may be due the type of strains and their activities. The water extract of *S. rebaudiana* leaves or callus were practically ineffective against the test organisms. This finding in similar to that of Tadhani and Subhash (2006) who also recorded very low antibacterial activity for water extracts of *S. rebaudiana* leaves. Several workers (Martin, 1995; Vlietinck et al., 1995) have reported that water extracts do not have much activity against bacteria. However, the growth media also seems to play an important role in the determination of antibacterial activity (Lin et al., 1999).

Antifungal activity of S. rebaudiana

The antifungal activities of the solvent extracts by (acetone, chloroform, hexane, ethyl acetate and water) of *Stevia rebaudiana* leaves and callus were varied significantly among the tested organisms (*A. ochraceus*, *A. parasiticus*, *A. flavus*, *A. niger* and *Fusarium* as shown in Tables 6 and 7. The inhibitory activity measured by zone of inhibition. In leaves extract. Data in Table 6 showed that the largest zones of inhibition were observed with methanol extract against *Fusarium* and *A. niger*. However, *A. ochraceus*, *A. parasiticus* and *A. flavus* were the same inhibition zones. This may be due to the greater solubility of the active principles in the solvent (Jayaraman et al., 2008). Acetone, chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts showed variable inhibitory activity

against different organisms. The highest inhibition zones were 15, 12 and 11 mm with *A. ochraceus*, in stevia leaves extracted by acetone, chloroform and ethyl acetate, respectively. The other strains revealed inhibition zone ranged from 9 to 11 mm. Similar results obtained by Tadhani and Subhash (2006) who reported that the methanol and ethyl acetate extracts showed inhibitory activity against *A. niger*. Zones of inhibition were found to be 10 and 8.33 mm, respectively.

The antifungal activities of the solvents S. rebaudiana callus extracts also varied significantly (p < 0.05) among the test organisms (Table 7). The largest zone of inhibition was observed with acetone extract against A. parasiticus. The chloroform extract showed higher inhibitory activity against A. ochraceus followed by A. parasiticus. This may be due to the greater solubility of the active principles in the solvent (Jayaraman et al., 2008). The methanol extract showed higher inhibitory activity against A. ochraceus followed by Fusarium, and A. flavus. The hexane extract showed higher inhibitory activity against A. flavus, followed by A. ochraceus. Similar results reported by Tadhani and Subhash (2006) who showed that the methanol extract caused inhibitory activity against *A. niger*. Zones of inhibition were found to be 10 and 8.33 mm, respectively.

Conclusion

It could be concluded that S. rebaudiana is considered

natural antioxidants, which contained phenolic compounds, flavonoids as well as gallic acid. These substances have been suggested to play a preventive role for human health. Also, the results of the present work indicate that stevia leaf and callus extracts may be an ideal candidate for further research into their uses for food preservation as well as pharmaceutical and natural plant-based products due to their antimicrobial and antioxidant activities.

REFERENCES

- Bauer J, Rojas R, Bustamante B (2003). Antimicrobial activity of selected Peruvian medicinal plants. J. Ethnopharmacol., 88: 199-204.
- Benzie IFF, Strain JJ (1996). The ferric reducing ability of plasma as a measure of "antioxidant power": the FRAP assay. Anal. Biochem., 239: 70–76.
- Birt DF, Hendrich S, Wang WQ (2001). Dietary agents in cancer prevention: flavanoids and isoflavonoids. Pharmacol. Ther., 90: 157-177.
- Cao G, Prior RL (1999). Measurement of oxygen radical absorbance capacity in biological samples. Methods Enzymol., 299: 50–62.
- Das S, Das AK, Murphy RA, Punwani IC, Nasution MP, Kinghorn AD (1992). Evaluation of the cariogenic potential of the intense natural sweeteners stevioside and rebaudioside A. Caries Res., 26: 363– 366.
- De Boer HJ, Kool A, Broberg A, Mziray WR, Hedberg I, Leventors JJ (2005). Antifungal and antibacterial activity of some herbal remedies from Tanzania. J. Ethnopharmacol., 96: 461-469.
- Dimayuga RE, Garcia SK (1991). Antimicrobial screening of medicinal plants from Baja Clifornia sur, Mixico. J. Ethnopharmacol., 31: 181-192.
- Chung KT, Wong TY, Wei Y, Huang YW, Lin Y (1998). Tannins and human health. A review. Crit Rev. Food Sci. Nutr., 8: 421-464.
- Cowan MM (1999). Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Clin Microbiol Rev., 12: 564-582.
- Edge R, Mcgarvey DJ, Truscott TG (1997). The carotenoids as anti-oxidants a review. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B, Biol., 41: 189–200.
- Erdogrul OT (2002). Antibacterial activities of some plant extracts used in folk medicine. Pharm. Biol., 40: 269-273.
- Esmat A Abou-Arab, Ferial M Abu-Salem (2009). Physico-chemical assessment of natural sweeteners steviosides produced from *stevia rebaudiana Bertoni* plant. J. Agric. Mansoura Univ., 34(12): 11037-11057.
- Evelson P, Travacio M, Repetto M (2001). Evaluation of total reactive antioxidant potential of tissue homogenates and their cytosols. Archives Biochem. Biophys., 388: 261–266.
- Geissman TA (1963). Flavonoid compounds, tannins, lignins and related compounds. In: Florkin M and Stotz E. H. (eds). Pyrrole Pigments, Isoprenoid Compounds and Phenolic Plant Constituents, New York, USA: Elsevier Press, pp. 265.
- Gőktűrk Baydar N, Őzkan G, Yaşar S (2007). Evaluation of the antiradical and antioxidant potential of grape extracts. Food Control, 18(9): 1131-1136.
- Grice HC (1988). Safety evaluation of butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) in the liver, lung and gastrointestinal tract. Food Chem. Toxicol., 24: 1127-1130.
- Gülcin I, Büyükokuroglu ME, Oktay M, Küfrevioglu Ö (2003). Antioxidant and analgestic activities of turpentine of Pinus nigra arn. Subsp. Pallsiana (Lamb) Homboe. J. Ethno-pharmacol., 86: 51-58.
- Head KA (1998). Vitamin C: antioxidant or pro-oxidant *in vivo*. Free Radical Res., 25: 439–454.
- Hollman PCH, Hertog MGL, Katan MB (1996). Analysis and health effects of flavonoids. Food Chem., 57: 43–46.
- Jayaraman S, Manonharan MS, Illanchezian S (2008). *In-vitro* antimicrobial and antitumor activities of *Stevia rebaudiana* (Asteraceae) leaf extracts. Trop. J. Pharm. Res., 7 (4): 1143-1149.
- Jeppesen PB, Gregersen S, Alstrupp KK, Hermansen K (2002). Stevioside induces antihyperglycaemic, insulinotropic and glucagonostatic effects *in vivo*: studies in the diabetic goto-Kakizaki

- (GK) rats. Phytomedicine, 9: 9-14.
- Kahkonen MP, Hopia AI, Vuorela HJ, Rauha JP, Pihlaja K, Kujala TS, Robards K, Prenzler PD, Tucker G, Swatsitang P, Glower W (1999). Phenolic compounds and their role in oxidative processes in fruits. Food Chem., 66: 401–436.
- Kao MJ (1980). Encyclopedia of Chinese Material Medica; Shin Wen Fend Press: Taibei, China.
- Komissarenko NF, Derkach AI, Kovalyov IP, Bublik NP (1994). Diterpene glycosides and phenylpropanoids of *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni: Rast. Res., 1(2): 53–64.
- Kumar R, Singh M (1984). Tannins, their adverse role in ruminant nutrition. J. Agric. Food Chem., 32: 447-453.
- Lee CN, Wong K, Liu J, Chen Y, Chen J, Chan P (2001). Inhibitory effect of stevioside on calcium influx to produce anti-hypertension. Planta Medica, p. 67.
- Lin J, Opoku AR, Geheeb-Keller M, Hutchings AD, Terblanche SE, Jager AK, Van Staden J (1999). Preliminary screening of some traditional zulu medicinal plants for anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial activities. J. Ethnopharmacol., 68: 267-274.
- Lindat EM (1962). Practical Introduction to Microbiology, E and FN spon Ltd, p. 77.
- Martin GJ (1995). Ethnobotany: A Methods Manual. London. England, Chapman H, Meda A, Lamien CE, Romito M, Milloga J, Nacoulma OG (2005). Determination of the total phenolic, flavonoid and praline contents in Burkina Fason honey, as well as their radical scavenging activity. Food Chem., 19: 571-577.
- Miliauskas G, Venskutonis PR, Van Beek TA (2004). Screening of radical scavenging activity of some medicinal and aromatic plants. Food Chem., 85: 231-237.
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassay with tobacco tissue culture. Physiol. Plant, 15: 473–497.
- Oktay M, Gülcin I, Küfrevioglu OI (2003). Determination of in-vitro antioxidant activity of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) seed extracts. Lebensmittel- Wissenchaft und Technologie. 36: 263-271.
- Ou B, Hampsch-Woodill M, Prior RL (2001). Development and validation of an improved oxygen radical absorbance capacity assay using fluoresce in as the fluorescent probe. J. Agric. Food Chem., 49: 4619–4626.
- Sanchez-Moreno C, Larrauri JA, Saura-Calixto F (1998). A procedure to measure the antiradical efficiency of polyphenols. J. Sci. Food Agric., 76: 270–276.
- Scalbert A (1991). Antimicrobial properties of tannins. Phytochemistry, 30: 3875-3883.
- Sharma OP, Bhat TK (2009). DPPH antioxidant assay revisited. Food Chem., 113: 1202-1205.
- Sherwin ER (1990). In A. L. Branen, P. M. Davidson and S. Salminen (Eds.), Food additives (pp. 139-193). New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG (1980). "Statistical Methods", 7 th Ed Oxford and IBIT Public. Co.
- Tadhani MB, Subhash R (2006). In Vitro Antimicrobial Activity of *Stevia rebaudiana Bretoni* Leaves. Trop. J. Pharm. Res., 5(1): 557-560
- Tadhani MB, Patel VH, Subhash R (2007). In vitro antioxidant activities of Stevia rebaudiana leaves and callus. J. Food Composit. Anal., 20: 323-329
- Valko M, Leibfritz D, Moncol J, Cronin MT, Mazur M, Telser J (2007). Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions and human disease. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol., 39: 44-84.
- Van den Berg R, Haenen GRMM, Van den Berg H (1999). Applicability of an improved trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity assay for evaluation antioxidant capacity measurements of mixtures. Food Chem., 66: 511–517.
- Virgili F, Scaccini C, Packer L, Rimbach G (2001). Cardiovascular disease and nutritional phenolics. In: Pokorny, J., Yanishlieva, N., Gordon M (Eds.), Antioxidants in Food. Wood head Publishing Ltd., Cambridge, pp. 87–99.
- Vlietinck AJ, Van Hoof L, Totte J, Lasure A, Vanden Berghe D, Rwangabo PC, Mvukiyumwami J (1995). Screening of hundred Rwandese medicinal plants for antimicrobial and antiviral properties. J. Ethnopharmacol., 46: 31-47.
- Zhang HY, Wang LF (2002). Theoretical elucidation on structure—antioxidant activity relationships for indolinonic hydroxylamines. Bioorganic Medicinal Chem. Lett., 12: 225–227.