

Full Length Research Paper

On sandwich theorems of analytic functions involving Noor integral operator

R. W. Ibrahim and M. Darus*

School of Mathematical Sciences Faculty of Science and Technology Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia.

Accepted 7 July, 2009

In this paper, we introduce sufficient conditions for subordination and superordination for subclass of analytic functions containing Noor integral operator. On the other hand, we prove the subordination and superordination results by some theorem studies and proofs. There are also obtained a sandwich results by different ways.

Key words: Noor integral operator, subordination, superordination.

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{H} be the class of functions analytic in U and $\mathcal{H}[a, n]$ be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form;

$$f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$$

Let \mathcal{A} be the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions of the form;

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$$

Let F and G be analytic functions in the unit disk U . The function F is subordinate to G , written $F \prec G$, if G is univalent, $F(0) = G(0)$ and $F(U) \subset G(U)$. In general terms, given two functions F and G , which are analytic in U , the function F is said to be subordinate to G in U if there exists a function h , analytic in U with $h(0) = 0$ and $|h(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$ such that

$$F(z) = G(h(z)) \text{ for all } z \in U.$$

Let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and let h be univalent in U . If p is analytic in U and satisfies the differential subordination

$\phi(p(z), zp'(z)) \prec h(z)$ then p is called a solution of the differential subordination. The univalent function q is called a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination if $p \prec q$. If p and $\phi(p(z), zp'(z))$ are univalent in U and satisfy the differential superordination $h(z) \prec \phi(p(z), zp'(z))$ then p is called a solution of the differential superordination. An analytic function q is called subordinant of the solution of the differential superordination if $q \prec p$.

Denote by $D^\alpha : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ the operator defined by

$$D^\alpha := \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\alpha+1}} * f(z), \quad \alpha > -1,$$

Where; (*) refers to the Hadamard product or convolution. Then implies that;

$$D^n f(z) = \frac{z(z^{n-1} f(z))^{(n)}}{n!}, \quad n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}.$$

We note that $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$ and $D^1 f(z) = z f'$. The operator $D^n f$ is called Ruscheweyh derivative of n th order of f . Noor (1999) defined and studied an integral operator $I_n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ analogous to $D^n f$ as follows:

Let $f_n(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}}$, $n \in N_0$ and let $f_n^{(-1)}$ be defined

*Corresponding author. E-mail: maslina@ukm.my.

such that;

$$f_n(z) * f_n^{(-1)}(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}. \quad (1)$$

Then

$$I_n f(z) = f_n^{(-1)}(z) * f(z) = \left[\frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}} \right]^{(-1)} * f(z). \quad (2)$$

Note that $I_0 f(z) = z f'(z)$ and $I_1(z) = f(z)$. The operator I_n is called the Noor Integral of n th order of f . Using (1), (2) and a well known identity for $D^n f$, we have

$$(n+1)I_n f(z) - nI_{n+1} f(z) = z(I_{n+1} f(z))'. \quad (3)$$

Using hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1$, (2) becomes

$$I_n f(z) = [z {}_2F_1(1, 1; n+1, z)] * f(z).$$

The following definitions can be found in (Noor and Noor, 1999):

Definition 1.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $f \in S^*$ (the starlike subclass of \mathcal{A}) if and only if for $z \in U$

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad n \in N_0.$$

Definition 1.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $f \in N_{(n)}^*$, $n \in N_0$ if and only if $I_n f \in S^*$ (the starlike subclass of \mathcal{A}) for $z \in U$.

Definition 1.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $f \in M_{(n)}^*$ for $n \in N_0$ if and only if there exists $g \in N_{(n)}^*$ such that, for $z \in U$,

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \right\} > 0.$$

In the present work, we apply a method based on the differential subordination in order to obtain subordination results involving Noor Integral operator for a normalized analytic function f

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \prec q_2(z).$$

In order to prove our subordination and superordination

results, we need to the following lemmas in the sequel.

Definition 1.4. (Miller and Mocanu, 2003) Denote by Q the set of all functions $f(z)$ that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} - E(f)$ where;

$$E(f) := \{\zeta \in \partial U : \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} f(z) = \infty\}$$

and are such that

$$f'(\zeta) \neq 0 \text{ for } \zeta \in \partial U - E(f).$$

Lemma 1.1. (Miller and Mocanu, 2000) Let $q(z)$ be univalent in the unit disk U and θ and ϕ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(U)$ with $\phi(w) \neq 0$

When $w \in q(U)$.

$$\text{Set } Q(z) := z q'(z) \phi(q(z)), \quad h(z) := \theta(q(z)) + Q(z).$$

Suppose that

1. $Q(z)$ is starlike univalent in U , and
2. $\Re \frac{z h'(z)}{Q(z)} > 0$ for $z \in U$.

$$\text{If } : \theta(p(z)) + z p'(z) \phi(p(z)) \prec \theta(q(z)) + z q'(z) \phi(q(z))$$

then

$$p(z) \prec q(z) \text{ and } q(z) \text{ is the best dominant.}$$

Lemma 1.2. (Shanmugam et al., 2006) Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in the unit disk U and ψ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{z q''(z)}{q'(z)} + \frac{\psi}{\gamma} \right\} > 0. \quad (4)$$

If; $p(z)$ is analytic in U and

$$\psi p(z) + \gamma z p'(z) \prec \psi q(z) + \gamma z q'(z),$$

then

$$p(z) \prec q(z) \text{ and } q \text{ is the best dominant.}$$

Lemma 1.3. (Bulboaca, 2002) Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in the unit disk U and ϑ and φ be analytic in a domain D containing $q(U)$. Suppose that

1. $z q'(z) \varphi(q(z))$ is starlike univalent in U ,
2. $\Re \left\{ \frac{\vartheta'(q(z))}{\varphi(q(z))} \right\} > 0$ for $z \in U$.

If $\square p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $p(U) \subseteq D$ and $\vartheta(p(z)) + z p'(z) \varphi(p(z))$ is univalent in U and

$$\vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) \prec \vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z))$$

then $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant.

Lemma 1.4. (Miller and Mocanu, 2003) Let q be convex univalent in the unit disk U and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Further, assume that $\Re\{\bar{\gamma}\} > 0$. If $\square p(z) \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with $p(z) + \gamma zp'(z)$ is univalent in U then

$$q(z) + \gamma zq'(z) \prec p(z) + \gamma zp'(z)$$

Implies $q(z) \prec p(z)$ and q is the best subordinant.

SANDWICH RESULTS

By making use of Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, we prove the following subordination results.

Theorem 2.1. Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be univalent in U such that $\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike univalent in U and

$$\Re\left\{1 + \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}q(z) + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}\right\} > 0, \quad (5)$$

for $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \gamma \neq 0$.

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the subordination

$$\alpha\left\{\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)}\right\} + \gamma\left\{1 + \frac{z[I_n f(z)]''}{[I_n f(z)]'} - \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)}\right\} \prec \alpha q(z) + \frac{\gamma zq'(z)}{q(z)}, \quad (6)$$

then

$$\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \prec q(z) \text{ and } q(z) \text{ is the best dominant.}$$

Proof. Our aim is to apply Lemma 1.1. Setting

$$p(z) := \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)}.$$

By a simple computation shows that

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = 1 + \frac{z[I_n f(z)]''}{[I_n f(z)]'} - \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)}$$

which yields the following subordination

$$\alpha p(z) + \frac{\gamma zp'(z)}{p(z)} \prec \alpha q(z) + \frac{\gamma zq'(z)}{q(z)}, \quad \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By setting

$$\theta(\omega) := \alpha\omega \text{ and } \phi(\omega) := \frac{\gamma}{\omega}, \quad \gamma \neq 0,$$

It can be easily observed that $\theta(\omega)$ is analytic in \mathbb{C} and $\phi(\omega)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and that $\phi(\omega) \neq 0$ when $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Also, by letting

$$Q(z) = zq'(z)\phi(q(z)) = \gamma z \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)} \text{ and}$$

$$h(z) = \theta(q(z)) + Q(z) = \alpha q(z) + \gamma z \frac{q'(z)}{q(z)},$$

We find that Q is starlike univalent in U and that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)}\right\} = \left\{1 + \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}q(z) + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} - \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}\right\} > 0.$$

Then the relation (6) follows by an application of Lemma 1.1.

Corollary 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and assume that (5) holds then

$$1 + \frac{z[I_n f(z)]''}{[I_n f(z)]'} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} + \frac{(A - B)z}{(1 + Az)(1 + Bz)}$$

implies

$$\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$$

and $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ is the best dominant.

Proof. By setting $\alpha = \gamma = 1$ and $q(z) := \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$.

Corollary 2.2. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and assume that (5) holds then

$$1 + \frac{z[I_n f(z)]''}{[I_n f(z)]'} \prec \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} + \frac{2z}{1 - z^2}$$

Implies

$$\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + z}{1 - z},$$

and $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is the best dominant.

Proof: By setting $\alpha = \gamma = 1$ and $q(z) := \frac{1+z}{1-z}$.

Corollary 2.3: If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and assume that (5) holds then

$$1 + \frac{z[I_n f(z)]''}{[I_n f(z)]'} \prec e^{Az} + Az$$

implies

$$\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \prec e^{Az},$$

and e^{Az} is the best dominant.

Proof. By setting $\alpha = \gamma = 1$ and $q(z) := e^{Az}$, $|A| < \pi$.

Theorem 2.2: Let $q(z)$ be convex univalent in the unit disk U and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$\Re\left\{1 + \frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right\} > 0, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

If $f \in M_{(n)}^*$ for $n \in N_0$ and exists $g \in N_{(n)}^*$ such that

$\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)}$ is analytic in U and the subordination

$$\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \left\{1 + \left[1 + \frac{z(I_n f(z))''}{(I_n f(z))'} - \frac{z(I_n g(z))'}{I_n g(z)}\right]\right\} \prec q(z) + \gamma zq'(z), \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$$

holds, then

$$\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \prec q(z) \quad (7)$$

and q is the best dominant.

Proof: Our aim is to apply Lemma 1.2. Setting

$$p(z) := \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)}.$$

A computation shows that

$$zp'(z) = \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \left[1 + \frac{z(I_n f(z))''}{(I_n f(z))'} - \frac{z(I_n g(z))'}{I_n g(z)}\right]$$

which yields the following subordination

$$p(z) + \gamma zp'(z) \prec q(z) + \gamma zq'(z), \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Thus in view of Lemma 1.2, (7) is held.

Theorem 2.3: Let $q(z) \neq 0$ be convex univalent in the unit disk U . Suppose that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} q(z)\right\} > 0, \quad \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for } z \in U \quad (8)$$

and $\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}$ is starlike univalent in U . If

$$\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[q(0)] \cap Q$$

Where; $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$\alpha\left\{\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)}\right\} + \gamma\left\{1 + \frac{z[I_n f(z)]''}{[I_n f(z)]'} - \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)}\right\}$ is univalent in U and the subordination

$$q(z) + \frac{\gamma zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \alpha\left\{\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)}\right\} + \gamma\left\{1 + \frac{z[I_n f(z)]''}{[I_n f(z)]'} - \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)}\right\}$$

holds, then

$$q(z) \prec \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \quad (9)$$

and q is the best subdominant.

Proof: Our aim is to apply Lemma 1.3. Setting

$$p(z) := \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)}.$$

A computation shows that

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = 1 + \frac{z[I_n f(z)]''}{[I_n f(z)]'} - \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)}$$

which yields the following subordination

$$\alpha q(z) + \frac{\gamma zq'(z)}{q(z)} \prec \alpha p(z) + \frac{\gamma zp'(z)}{p(z)}, \quad \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By setting

$$\vartheta(\omega) := \alpha\omega \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\omega) := \frac{\gamma}{\omega}, \quad \gamma \neq 0,$$

It can be easily observed that $\theta(\omega)$ is analytic in \mathbb{C} and $\phi(\omega)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and that $\phi(\omega) \neq 0$ when $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Also, we obtain

$$\Re\left\{\frac{\vartheta(q(z))}{\varphi(q(z))}\right\} = \Re\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} q(z)\right\} > 0.$$

Then (9) follows by an application of Lemma 1.3.

Theorem 2.4: Let q be convex univalent in the unit disk U and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Further, assume that $\Re\{\bar{\gamma}\} > 0$.

If $\square \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q$, with

$$\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \left\{ 1 + \left[1 + \frac{z(I_n f(z))''}{(I_n f(z))'} - \frac{z(I_n g(z))'}{I_n g(z)} \right] \right\}$$

is univalent in U then

$$q(z) + \gamma z q'(z) \prec \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \left\{ 1 + \left[1 + \frac{z(I_n f(z))''}{(I_n f(z))'} - \frac{z(I_n g(z))'}{I_n g(z)} \right] \right\}$$

implies

$$q(z) \prec \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \tag{10}$$

and q is the best subordinate.

Proof: Our aim is to apply Lemma 1.4. Setting

$$p(z) := \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)}.$$

A computation shows that

$$z p'(z) = \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \left[1 + \frac{z(I_n f(z))''}{(I_n f(z))'} - \frac{z(I_n g(z))'}{I_n g(z)} \right]$$

which yields the following subordination

$$q(z) + \gamma z q'(z) \prec p(z) + \gamma z p'(z), \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Thus in view of Lemma 1.4, we obtain (10).

Combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.3, and also combining Theorems 2.2 and 2.4, we get the following Sandwich theorems:

Theorem 2.5: Let $q_1(z) \neq 0, q_2(z) \neq 0$ be convex univalent in the unit disk U satisfy (8) and (5) respectively.

Suppose that and $\frac{z q_i'(z)}{q_i(z)}, i = 1, 2$ is starlike univalent in

U . If $\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[q(0)] \cap Q$ where $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\alpha \left\{ \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \right\} + \gamma \left\{ 1 + \frac{z[I_n f(z)]''}{[I_n f(z)]'} - \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \right\}$$

is univalent in U and the subordination

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(z) + \frac{\gamma z q_1'(z)}{q_1(z)} \\ \prec \alpha \left\{ \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \right\} + \gamma \left\{ 1 + \frac{z[I_n f(z)]''}{[I_n f(z)]'} - \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \right\} \\ \prec \alpha q_2(z) + \frac{\gamma z q_2'(z)}{q_2(z)} \end{aligned}$$

holds, then

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n f(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and $q_1(z)$ is the best subordinate and $q_2(z)$ is the best dominant.

Theorem 2.6. Let $q_1(z), q_2(z)$ be convex univalent in the unit disk U such that

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{z q_2''(z)}{q_2'(z)} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right\} > 0, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \Re \{\bar{\gamma}\} > 0.$$

If $f \in M_{(n)}^*$ for $n \in N_0$ and exists $g \in N_{(n)}^*$ such that

$$\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \in \mathcal{H}[q_1(0), 1] \cap Q, \text{ with}$$

$$\frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \left\{ 1 + \left[1 + \frac{z(I_n f(z))''}{(I_n f(z))'} - \frac{z(I_n g(z))'}{I_n g(z)} \right] \right\}$$

is univalent in U then

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(z) + \gamma z q_1'(z) \\ \prec \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \left\{ 1 + \left[1 + \frac{z(I_n f(z))''}{(I_n f(z))'} - \frac{z(I_n g(z))'}{I_n g(z)} \right] \right\} \prec \\ q_2(z) + \gamma z q_2'(z) \end{aligned}$$

Implies

$$q_1(z) \prec \frac{z[I_n f(z)]'}{I_n g(z)} \prec q_2(z)$$

and $q_1(z)$ is the best subordinate. and $q_2(z)$ is the best dominant.

Conclusions

There are too many other results have been obtained by embedding the Noor integral in various other new form of classes, namely can be found in (Ibrahim and Darus, 2008a, b). Many other results can be obtained by using simple technique of differential subordination introduced by Miller and Mocanu (2000), and present study have provide sufficient conditions for subordination and superordination for subclass of analytic functions containing Noor integral operator. On the other hand, we prove the subordination and superordination results by some theorem studies and proofs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work presented here was supported by SAGA: STGL-012-2006, Academy of Sciences, Malaysia.

REFERENCES

Noor KI (1999). On new classes of integral operators, J. Nat. Geomet.

- 16: 71-80.
- Noor KI (1999). M.A. Noor, On integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 238: 341-352.
- Miller SS, Mocanu PT (2003). Subordinants of differential superordinations, *Complex Variables* 48(10): 815-826.
- Miller SS, Mocanu PT (2000), *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*. Pure and Applied Mathematics No.225 Dekker, New York.
- Shanmugam TN, Ravichangran V, Sivasubramanian S (2006). Differential sandwich theorems for some subclasses of analytic functions, *Austral. J. Math, Anal. Appl.* 3(1): 1-11.
- Bulboaca T (2002). Classes of first-order differential superordinations, *Demonstr. Math.* 35(2): 287-292.
- Ibrahim RW, Darus M (2008a). New classes of analytic functions involving generalised Noor integral operator. *J. Inequalities Appl.* Volume 2008, Article ID 390435, 13 pages. doi:10.1155/2008/390435.
- Ibrahim RW, Darus M (2008b). Subordination and superordination for univalent solutions for fractional differential equations. *J. Mathe. Anal. Appl.* doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.05.017. 345(2): 871-879.