academic Journals

Vol. 9(3), pp. 16-21, March, 2017 DOI: 10.5897/JABSD2016.0279 Article Number: 5AB9ABC63511 ISSN 2141-2340 Copyright ©2017 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/JABSD

Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology and Sustainable Development

Full length Research Paper

Aging effect of two decade old technologies of bean stem maggot (*Ophiomyia* spp.) management options in Ethiopia: Baseline information generation for future research direction

Mulatwa Wondimu¹, Emana Getu^{2*}, Sisay Kidanu³ and Amare Fufa¹

¹Melkassa Agricultural Research Center, EIAR, P. O.Box 2003 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
²Addis Ababa University, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, P. O. Box 1176, Ethiopia.
³Jimma Agricultural Research Center, EIAR, P. O. Box 2003 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Received 16 December, 2016; Accepted 1 February, 2017

Haricot beans are the most important food and cash crop for most of the Ethiopian farmers. However, bean stem maggot (BSM) (Ophiomyia species) is limiting the production of the crop particularly in dry areas. Three species are involved in the infestation: Ophiomyia phaseoli, Ophiomyia spencerlla and Ophiomyia centrosematis. Two decades ago, two management options of BSM were developed and used for the last twenty years. However, there is a tendency of decline in effectiveness. Hence, the current experiment was conducted to see the age effect on the efficacy of BSM resistant varieties (Beshbesh and Melkae) and high bean plant population density (400,000 plants ha⁻¹) (narrow spacing). The experiments were conducted at Shalla (southern Ethiopia) and Omonada (Jimma). Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) in three replications was used as the experimental design. The plot size was 10 m x 10 m. The experiment was conducted in 2014 and 2015. The treatments were BSM resistant varieties (Beshbesh and Melkae), high bean plant population, standard check (Awash-1) and Imdalem (seed dressing chemical). For plant population treatment and Imdalem 70% WS seed treatment a bean variety Awash-1 was used as a planting material. Data collected include stand count, seedling percent mortality by BSM, vigorously score, number of larva per plant and grain yield. The data were analyzed using SAS software and mean separation was done by Tukey Standardized Range Test (p<0.05). In all parameters measured, Melkae bean variety become susceptible to BSM and cannot be used any more as a resistant variety. From the result of the experiment, it can be concluded that Beshebeshe bean variety, high plant population and Imdalem seed dressing can be used as integrated management of BSM in Ethiopia in general and study sites in particular.

Key words: Bean stem maggot, Imdalem 70% WS (Imdachloprid), seed dressing, plant population, resistant variety, two decades, proven technology.

INTRODUCTION

Haricot bean is the most important crop grown by African farmers in general and Ethiopian farmers in particular

(Ampofo et al., 1994). Haricot bean production in Africa suffers from various constraints including biotic and

abiotic. From the biotic constraints, the damage caused by insect pest infestations under field condition is tremendous. The most common insect pests which attack common bean in the field are the bean stem maggots (BSM) (Ophiomyia species). African bollworm (Helicoverpa armigera) and Aphids (Aphis fabae). Of the insect pests attacking haricot beans, BSM is considered as a major pest of central and many sub-Saharan African countries especially under poor soils and dry conditions (Ampofo et al., 1994; Ampofo, 2009). Three species of BSM (Ophiomyia phaseoli, Ophiomyia spencerella and Ophiomyia centrosematis) were identified in Ethiopia. O. phaseoli and O. spencerella are the most widely distributed and abundant of the three species. O. centrosematis occurs rarely and represents less than 2% of the total BSM population. The incidence of BSM species is influenced by one or a combination of environmental factors and cultural practices including altitude, sowing date growth stage and type of the host plant. O. phaseoli and O. centrosematis are more prevalent in warmer areas mostly in south and south western part of the country at the elevation of <1800 m above sea level, whereas O. spencerella is dominant at higher altitudes (cooler and wetter conditions). Bean stem maggot attacks the bean plant as soon as the first pair of leaves begins to unfold (Odendo et al., 2005). It is most severe in late or off-season planted crops (Nderitu et al., 1990; Ochilo and Nyamasyo, 2011), hotter drier seasons and in plants under stress such as poor soil fertility (Ampofo et al., 1994; Ojwang et al., 2010). Severe BSM attack especially during the early stages of plant growth causes high yield losses. In Africa, yield losses ranging from 80 to 100% have been reported (Ochilo and Nyamasyo, 2011; Munyasa, 2013).

Various control methods have been recommended by different authors. These include cultural control practices such as adjustment of planting date (Muleke et al., 2013), crop rotation and associated cropping (Abate and Ampofo, 1996; Amoabeng et al., 2014). These practices enable the host plant to escape the peak period of pest population. Other methods such as earthing or hilling up soil around the stem of seedlings encourage the development of adventitious roots above the damaged stem resulting in the recovery of plants from the BSM damage (Forbes et al., 2009). Use of chemicals especially seed dressing has also been found effective against BSM (Abate, 1991; Williamson et al., 2008; Uburyo, 2016). Other control methods include mulching and fertilizer applications, which enhance plant tolerance (Ampofo and Massomo, 1994; Gogo et al., 2012), and use of resistant variety (Ampofo, 1993; Kiptoo et al., 2016 (Beshbesh and Melkae) have been identified as resistant to BSM (Tsedeke, 1990). In Ethiopia, as Integrated Pest Management options of BSM Beshbesh and Melkae as resistant bean varieties, high plant populations per hectare and seed dressing with Imdalem 70% WS were under use for the last twenty years. However, there was an indication that some of the options showed a tendency of decline in efficacy. Hence, the current project was initiated to see the aging effect of BSM proven technologies in the management of BSM at the moment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the study site

A field experiment was conducted at Shalla in southern Ethiopia and Omonada in Jimma in 2014 and 2015 main season under rain fed condition.

Shalla district is situated in west Arsi zone about 270 km south west of Addis Ababa. The area is lowland with an altitude of 1550 m above sea level, with a latitude of 38° 27'10.9"E and Longitude of 7°17'08.6"N. The site has a mean maximum temperature of 29.2°C and mean minimum temperature of 14.4°C. The soil texture is sandy loam and the site receives 763 mm mean annual rainfall, but with much variation in distribution and amount of 70% which occurs between the months of May and September.

Omonada district is situated in Jimma zone of Oromia regional state located at about 223 to 258 km south west of Addis Ababa. This Wereda lies between latitudes 7°29'59.99" N and longitudes 37°14'60" E. The elevation of the district ranges from 1000 to 3340 m. a. s. l. The area experienced an annual average rainfall of 1000 mm for 8 to 10 months (Haile and Tolemariam, 2008). The main rainy season extends from May to September and the small rainy season takes place from February to April. The soil type of the area is ento soil. The major crops grown include coffee, maize, teff, sorghum, barely, pulses (beans and peas), root crops (enset-false banana and potato) and fruits (Avocado and Pineapple).

Treatments, experimental design, data collected and data analysis

BSM resistant varieties, Beshbesh and Melake were collected from Melkassa research center lowland pulse division. These two varieties were released by Tsedeke (1990) as BSM resistant and high yielding varieties. These varieties were multiplied in off season and 1 kg of each variety was allotted per plot for each study location.

Imdalem (Imadachlopride WS 70% group) was collected from Addis Ababa chemical company. It was formulated in powder form and dissolved in water at the rate of 500 to 700 ml water/100 g chemical powder. First, 100 g of Imdalem powder and 500 ml of water were prepared and thoroughly mixed with 1 kg of haricot bean seed until all the seeds get wet. The treated seeds were exposed to the sun to help adhere the chemical to the seed. Then the seeds were sown at the end of June. High plant population density (400,000 plants/ha) were recommended for the management of BSM by Tsedeke (1990) used for the experiment. The recommended spacing for the high plant population density

*Corresponding author. E-mail: egetudegaga@yahoo.com.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u>



Figure 1. Effect of different BSM management options on percent of seedling mortality due to BSM in common bean on farmers' field at Shalla in 2014 and 2015.

was 40 cm between rows and 6 cm between plants.

The experiment was laid out in RCBD design in three replications. The plot size was 10×10 m. Percent seedling mortality was assessed by counting dead seedlings per plot and comparing it against the whole plant population per plot. Such exercise was done three times starting two weeks from plant emergence. Percent mortality was calculated using the following formula reported by Ampofo et al. (1987).

PD= NDP×100/(TSC/P)

where PD = Percent damaged plant, NDP = No. of damaged plant per plot, TSC/P = Total stand count per plot.

Severity score were taken by visual observation using 0-5 scales where 0=no infestation, 1=less infested, 2=infested, 3=highly infested, 4=severly infested, 5=dead plants. This rating scale was adopted from work the work of Ampofo et al. (1987).

Ten plants per plot were randomly sampled and cut at ground level using knife. Then, the number of encountered BSM larvae and/or pupae was recorded and put in a petridish and taken to laboratory for further rearing. The pupal color was used for identification such that brown pupa was for *O. phaseoli* where as black pupa was for *O. spencerella*.

Vigorousity of the plant was also estimated by visual observation using 1-5 scale before plant maturity. Yield data (kg/ha) were taken from 20 plants from central rows after the seed dried to the optimum moisture content of 14%.

Data were analyzed using SAS program version 9.0. Percent mortality data were transformed to square root transformation before the analysis using one-way analysis of variance. Significant means (p<0.05) were separated using Tukeys studentized range test (HSD).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of different BSM management options at Shalla

Results obtained at Shalla are presented in Figures 1 and

2 and Table 1. Overall, the severity of BSM was higher in 2014 than 2015 at Shalla as percent seedling mortality ranges from 4 to 22% in 2014 as opposed to 2 to 13% in 2015. The highest percent seedling mortality was recorded on Melkae and the lowest on Awash-1 treated with Imdalem (Figure 1). Larval density per plant at Shalla was higher in 2014 than 2015. The highest larval density per plant was recorded on Melkae, while the lowest was on Awash-1 treated Imdalem. The level of bean plant vigorousity and yield per hectare at Shalla were given in Table 1. Vigorousity of bean plant was significantly lower in Melkae bean variety in both 2014 and 2015. Bean yield was also significantly lower in Melkae.

Effect of different BSM management at Omonada

Results obtained at Omonada are shown in Figures 3 and 4 and Table 2. Percent seedling mortality was significantly higher in Melkae. The highest percent seedling mortality of 15% was recorded in 2014 (Figure 3). BSM larval density per plant was recorded in 2014 on Melkae bean variety (Figure 4). Bean plant vigorousity and yield per hectare was significantly lower in Melkae bean variety (Table 2).

Results of both locations indicated that Melkae, the resistant variety lost its ability of overcoming the attack by BSM lost resistance due to age effect. The other technologies developed against BSM such as Beshebshe bean variety and high plant population remained effective in overcoming BSM damage. Ampofo (1993) and Tsedeke and Ampofo (1996) reported that some bean varieties which are currently resistant to some pests in



Figure 2. Effect of different BSM management options in larval density per-plant of BSM in common bean on farmers' field at Shalla in 2014 and 2015.

Table 1. Effects of different BSM management options on the vigrousity (1-5 score) of bean plants and yield (kg/ha) on farmers' field at Shalla in 2014 and 2015.

Treatments (Management entions)	Vigorousity o	f bean plants	Yield (kg/ha)			
reatments (management options)	2014	2015	2014	2015		
Beshbesh (resistance variety)	5±0.58 ^a	5±0.20 ^a	252 9±0.45 ^a	2100±1.15 ^ª		
Seeds dressed by Imdalem	5±0.00 ^a	5±0.30 ^a	2492±0.23 ^a	2150±1.76 ^a		
Melkae (resistance variety)	2±0.33 ^b	2±0.40 ^b	1781±0.56 ^b	1871±1.2 ^b		
High plant population	5±0.57 ^a	5±0.34 ^a	2626±0.34 ^a	2133.3±2.52 ^a		
Awash-1 (standard check)	4±0.18 ^a	4±0.24 ^a	2425±0.67 ^a	2000.0±0.07 ^a		

Means followed by the same letters within a column are not significantly different from each other at 5%. Level of probability, Tukeys Studentized Range Test (HSD) (5%). *Vigrousity score (1-5) means, 1= vey week, 2= week, 3= good, 4= very good, and 5=excellent.



Figure 3. Effect of different BSM management options on percent of seedling mortality due to BSM in common bean on farmers' field at Omonada in 2014 and 2015.

Table 2	. Effect o	of different	management	options of	on percent	dead	seedling	and severity	/ score	due te	o BSM	at C	Omonada	in 20)14 and
2015.															

Tractmente	Vigorousity o	f bean plants	Yield (kg/ha)			
Treatments	2014	2015	2014	2015		
Beshbesh (resistance variety)	5±0.58 ^a	4±0.12 ^a	1757±12.50 ^a	1477±10.82 ^a		
Seeds dressed by Imdalem	5±0.5 2 ^b	5±0.22 ^a	1412±10.60 ^a	1579±11.87 ^a		
Melkae (resistance variety)	2±0.33 ^b	2±0.52 ^b	1167±7.70 ^b	1056±0.54 ^b		
High plant population	4±0.12 ^a	5±0.27 ^a	1511±11.80 ^a	1573±11.87 ^a		
Awash-1 (standard check)	4±0.11 ^a	4±0.0.13 ^a	1451±10.89 ^a	1563±11.78 ^a		

Means followed by the same letters within a column are not significantly different from each other at 5% level (HSD).



Figure 4. Effect of different BSM management options in larval density per- plant of BSM in common bean on farmers 'field at Omonada in 2014 and 2015.

general and BSM in particular can be susceptible at any time because of the fact that there are only few genes that control resistance which is known as vertical resistance. The fact that Melkae is a variety with vertical resistance as opposed to Beshebshe which looks horizontally, resistant variety became susceptible to BSM.

Conclusion

From the current experiment, we came to know that Beshbesh bean variety, use of high plant population and Imdalem seed dressing can be used as integrated management of BSM in Ethiopia in general and study sites in particular.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are very much grateful to Melkassa Research Center, Lowland Pulse and Crop Protection Teams for their both technical and fincial support for executing the experiment.

REFERENCES

- Abate T (1991). Seed dressing insecticides for Bean fly (*Ophiomia Phaseoli* (Tryon) Diptera: *Agromyzidae*) control in Ethiopia. Trop. Pest Manage (U.K) 37(4):334-337
- Abate T, Ampofo JKO (1996). Insect pests of Beans in Africa: Their ecology management. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 41:45-73
- Ampofo JKO (1993) Host plant resistance and cultural strategies for bean stem management. In: Ampofo, J.K.O (ed). Proceedings of the second meeting of the Pan- African working group on bean entomology. CIAT African workshop Ser. No 25. Cali, Colombia: CIAT.
- Ampofo JKO, Massomo SMS (1994). Some cultural strategies for Bean fly (Diptera: *Agromyzidae*) management in Beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris*) fields in Tanzania. Afr. Crop Sci. J. 6:351-356.
- Ampofo K (2009). A Brief on Bean Stem Maggot (Bean fly) in Africa

http://ipmnet.org/cicp/beanfly.html.

- Amoabeng BW, Gurr GM, Gitau CW, Stevenson PC (2014) Cost benefit analysis of botanical Insecticide use in Cabbage: Implications for smallholder farmers in developing countries. Crop Prot. 57:71-76.
- Forbes VE, Hommen U, Thorbek P, Heimbach F, Van den Brink PJ, Wogram J, Grimm V (2009). Ecological models in support of regulatory risk assessments of Pesticides: Developing a Strategy for the Future. Integrated Environ. Assessment Manage. 5:167-172.
- Gogo EO, Saidi M, Itulya FM, Martin T, Ngouajio M (2012). Microclimate modification using eco-friendly nets for high quality Tomato transplant production by small-scale farmers in East Africa. Hort. Technol. 22:292-298.
- Haile A, Tolemariam T (2008) The feed values of indigenous multipurpose trees for sheep: the case of Vrnonia amygdalina (Girawa), Buddelija polystachya (Anfare) and Maesa lanceolata Kelewa). Livest. Res. Rural Dev. 20(3):145-157.
- Kiptoo M, Kinyua O, Kiplagat F, Wanjala ME, Kiptoo JJ, Cheboi JJ, Kimno SK, Rotich G, Ngurwe JK (2016) Evaluation of Common Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) varieties for resistance to Bean Stem Maggot (*Ophiomyia* spp.) in Kenya Am. J. Exp. Agric. 12(3):1-7.
- Munyasa AJ (2013) Evaluation of drought tolerance mechanisms in Meso american Dry Bean genotypes. University of Nairobi.
- Muleke EM, Saidi M, Itulya FM, Martin T, Ngouajio M (2013). The Assessment of the use of eco-friendly nets to Ensure Sustainable Cabbage Seedling Production in Africa. Agron. 3:1-12.
- Nderitu JH, Kayumbo HY, Mueke JM (1990). Bean fly infestation on common beans *Phaseolus vulgaris* in Kenya. Insect. Sci. App. 11:35-41.
- Ochilo WN, Nyamasyo GH (2011) Pest Status of Bean Stem Maggot (*Ophiomyia* spp.) and Black Bean Aphid (*Aphis fabae*) in Taita District, Kenya [Situación de las plagas Del frijol: Gusano del Tallo. Trop. Subtrop. Agro Ecosyst. 13:91-97.
- Odendo M, David S, Otsyula R (2005). Impact of Root-Rot resistant Bean varieties in Western Kenya: Application of impact diagramming. *PABRA* Millennium Workshop.
- Ojwang PPO, Melis R, Songa JM, Githiri M (2010). Genotypic response of Common Bean genotypes to natural field populations of Bean fly (Ophiomyia phaseoli) under diverse environmental conditions. Field Crops Res. 117:139-145.

- Tsedeke Abate (1990) Studies on genetics, cultural and insecticidal control against the bean fly, *Ophiomyia phaseoli* (Tryon) Diptera: Agromyzidae), in Ethiopia. PhD thesis, Simon Fraser University, Burraby, BC, Canada 177 p.
- Uburyo I, Isaziyibish G (2016). Bean Stem Maggot control (Uburyo isazi yibishyimbo irwanywa) – EU pesticides database www.ec.europa.eu/sanco_pesticides/public/index.cfm
- Williamson S, Ball A, Pretty J (2008). Trends in Pesticide use and drivers for safer Pest management in four African Countries. Crop Prot. 27:1327-1334.