

Full Length Research Paper

Host determinants of bovine mastitis in semi-intensive production system of Khartoum state, Sudan

Nuol Aywel Madut¹, Atif Elamin Abdel Gadir^{2*}, Isam Mohamed El Jalii²

¹Department of Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Bahr. Elgazal.

²Department of Preventive Medicine and Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Khartoum, Box 32, Khartoum, Sudan.

Accepted 22 April, 2009

Out of 2283 quarter milk samples, 224 (9.81%) gave positive reactivity to California mastitis test, while 600 (26.28%) and 1459 (63.9%) recorded as doubtful and negative respectively. In this study isolated *Corynebacterium* were *Corynebacterium striatum* 9 (33.3%), *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* 4 (14.8%), *Corynebacterium Pseudotuberculosis* 2 (7.4%), *Corynebacterium ulcerans* 5 (18.5%), *Corynebacterium bovis* 7 (25.9%). The result showed that age, stage of lactation, teat lesion could be a risk factors for presence of bovine mastitis (OR = 1.34, 1.59 and 7.31 respectively).

Key words: *Corynebacterium* spp., California mastitis test, host determinant, semi-intensive production, Sudan.

INTRODUCTION

Livestock production systems in Africa are classified into intensive and semi-intensive systems according to husbandry practice and distribution of pasture that varies with the rainfall, season or cultivated crop (Pyne, 1976; Ruthenberg, 1976; DeBoer, 1977; Jahnke, 1977). In Sudan, 92% of livestock population is possessed by nomads that follow extensive system of husbandry in eastern, western and southern part of the Sudan (Kamal, 1983). Among the Sudanese breeds of cattle, 2 breeds namely Kenana and Butana are known to show high potentiality for milk production (Alim, 1960; Osman, 1970; Osman and El Amin, 1971). Recently Friesian crosses with local breeds were raised.

Mastitis remains the most common and the ambiguity disease of dairy cattle through out most of the world. It probably has been observed since man first domesticated the cow in the thousands of years since and in spite of all kinds of scientific progress, it remains prevalent in most dairy herds. It is estimated that one third of all dairy cows are infected with some form of mastitis in one or more quarters (Philpot and Nickerson, 1999). Mastitis is often the end result of the interaction of several factors such as man, cow, environment, microorganisms and management (Blood et al., 1989).

Quarters infected with *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* always exhibit clinical symptoms and secrete a thick, foul-smelling, greenish fluid. Infections result in a persistent form of mastitis and invariably lead to loss of the quarter and culling of the cow because treatment is ineffective. (Philpot and Nickerson, 1999). In lactating cows, infection may occur as a result of teat injuries or improper treatment procedure. Therefore, the objective of the study to investigate presence of the factors that affected its occurrence of bovine mastitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The study was conducted in east Nile locality - Khartoum north (Hillat Kuku dairy farms), which is considered to be the largest milk producing and marketing area in Khartoum state and regarded as semi-intensive system (small holder) of milk production. Those farms previously belonged to Hillat Kuku dairy project, which consist of 3 barns distributed in vast space each barn composed of small units contain cattle range between few number of cows to large which may reach hundreds of them. The scheme had agricultural field, factory to process the pooled milk that collected from the farms, artificial insemination center, veterinary service unite, animal production institute and banking services.

Sampling Methods

The study animals that were sampled are dairy cows mostly Friesian

*Corresponding author. E-mail: atifvet@yahoo.com.

Table 1. Interpretation of the (C.M.T) results (Quinn et al., 1994).

CMT score	Interpretation	Visible reaction
0	Negative	Milk fluid and normal
±	Trace	Slight precipitation
1	Weak positive	Distinct precipitation but no gel formation
2	Distinct positive	Mixture thickness with a gel formation
3	Strong positive	Viscosity greatly increased strong gel That is cohesive with a convex surface

cross (cross between Frisian and local breeds namely Kenana and Butana). Concerning sampling, one-stage sampling method was employed as described by Thursfield (1995). Data on individual cow mainly breed, age, stage and number of lactations, previous history of mastitis, abnormalities of the udder and milk and other data related to mastitis were recorded using a special form.

Questioner design

Farm data on knowledge related to level of education and occupation of the owner, breed, management, animal health, hygiene status were recorded. The questionnaire survey was done in the study area based on the willingness of the owners. (Non probability sampling method, Thursfield, 1995).

Collection of milk samples

Before the collection of quarter milk samples from the tested cows, the udder was thoroughly cleaned with soap and water, rubbed dried and the teats were disinfected with cotton wool moistened with 70% ethyl alcohol, which is been allowed to be air dried. The first few squirts of milk were discarded. 5 - 20 ml of milk was collected in a sterile universal bottle. The quarter milk samples were kept in ice container and transported as soon as possible to the laboratory at the faculty of veterinary medicine, Khartoum university, (Shambat).

California mastitis test (CMT)

All collected milk samples were examined for mastitis using California mastitis test. (CMT) was carried out using the method described by (Quinn et al., 1994). Briefly, equal volumes (5 ml) of commercial CMT reagent (avatar rapid mastitis test Kit-Alvetera GmbH-Germany) and quarter milk were mixed and the changes in milk fluidity and viscosity were observed. The interpretation of the result was done according to the method described by Quinn et al. (1994). Negative (0) and trace (+/-) were considered as negative and different intensities of positive (1, 2 and 3) were considered as positive (Table 1).

CULTURING METHODS

The bacteriological culture was performed following the standard microbiological technique (Quinn et al., 1994). One loop full of milk was streaked on 5% sheep blood agar and MacConkey agar to detect bacteria that could grow on this medium. The plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 - 48 h. The plates were examined for growth, morphologic features of the colonies and hemolytic characteristic. Presumptive identification of bacteria on pure culture was done on the basis of colony morphology, hemolytic characteristics, Gram-stain and biochemical tests such as, coagulase test,

hemolyses, pigment production, fermentation of maltose (purple agar +1% maltose). Presence of *Streptococcus* spp. and *Enterococcus* spp. was determined according to CAMP reaction, type of hemolyses, growth characteristic on Edward's medium and sugar fermentation.

Corynebacterium spp. and *Bacillus* spp. were identified based on hemolytic characteristics, catalase test, growth on 9% NaCl, CAMP reaction and sugar fermentation tests. Gram-negative isolates were identified based on growth on MacConkey agar, catalase test, oxidase reaction, triple sugar iron agar (TSI), IMVIC test, urease and sugar fermentation tests. The differentiation of microbial isolates was carried out as summarized in Table 1. Identification of the isolated *Corynebacterium* spp. to species level was done using commercial identification kit (API Coryne BIOMERIEUX, FRANCE).

Determination of clinical and sub-clinical mastitis

Clinical mastitis was recognized by abnormal milk and signs of udder infection (abnormalities of the udder). While sub-clinical mastitis refers to the existence of inflammation of the udder in the absence of gross signs, this was established by California mastitis test and bacteriological examinations.

Statistical analysis

Microsoft excel, 2003 and Stata 6.0 for windows 98/95/NT were used for data analysis. Descriptive statistics were used for all the variables. Chi-square (χ^2) was used for assessing the statistical associations of various factors with mastitis. The logistic regression model was employed to obtain the odds ratio (OR) only for those factors which gave statistical significant ($P < 0.05$) with regard to mastitis for Instance, the factor could be a risk factor when the OR > 1 .

RESULTS

Questionnaire survey

The results showed that mastitis is regarded as one of the common diseases in dairy farms in Kuku area (33.3%, $n = 10$). However, most of the dairy farms have access to veterinary services as (100%, $n = 30$). Generally, the house condition was mostly bad (63.3 %, $n = 19$) although in some of them was good (36.7%, $n = 11$). Concerning hygienic status in the dairy farms in the study site, 30 owners did not clean the udder or wash their hands before and between milking or practiced teat disinfection (100%). All the responses to the questionnaire survey are summarized in (Table 2).

Table 2. Summary of the questionnaire survey responses by thirty owners of the diary farms in Kuku area.

Unit	Frequency (%)
Common disease	
mastitis	10 (33.3)
tick borne diseases	5 (16.7)
other diseases	15 (50.0)
Veterinary services	
yes	30(100)
no	0(00.0)
Clean teat and udder	
yes	0(00.0)
no	30(100)
Water hands before milking	
yes	0(00.0)
no	30(100)
Level of hygiene	
excellent	1 (3.3)
good	14(46.7)
poor	15(50)
Housing condition	
excellent	0(00.0)
good	11(36.7)
poor	19(63.3)

Table 3. The prevalence of clinical and sub-clinical mastitis based on CMT in examined farms at cow level.

Total number of animal examined	Prevalence (%)	
	Clinical	Sub-clinical
585	(21)3.58	(118)20.17

Clinical mastitis based on detection of udder and milk

Sub-clinical mastitis based on (CMT)

Cut off level of CMT: ++++, ++, + ≡ +ve ±, - ≡ -ve

California mastitis test

Out of 2283 quarter milk samples, 224 (9.81%) gave positive reactivity to California mastitis test, while 600 (26.28%) and 1459 (63.9%) recorded as doubtful and negative respectively.

Clinical and sub-clinical mastitis

Prevalence (9.81%) of sub-clinical mastitis according to CMT was obtained from farms examined while low prevalence (3.58%) of clinical mastitis was reported (Table 3).

Bacteriological examination

205 bacterial isolates were recovered from milk samples examined. The isolated bacteria were *Staphylococcus*

spp. 107 (52.5%), *Streptococcus spp.* 25 (12.3%), *Enterobacterium spp.* 4 (2%), *Lactobacillus spp.* 4 (2%), *Coryneform bacteria* 27 (13.2%), *Micrococcus spp.* 10 (4.9%), *Pseudomonas spp.*, 11 (5.9%), *Bacillus spp.*, 10 (4.9%) and *Aerococcus spp.*, (Table 4). In this study isolated *Corynebacterium* were *Corynebacterium striatum* 9 (33.3%), *Arcanobacterium pyogene* 4 (14.8%), *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* 2 (7.4%), *Corynebacterium ulcerans* 5 (18.5%), *Corynebacterium bovis* 7 (25.9%).

Risk factors analysis

Factors such age, stage of lactation, tick infestation, confirmation of udder, teat lesion and previous history of mastitis were found statistically significance with regard to occurrence of bovine mastitis in Kuku area (Table 5). To quantify these relationships, the logistic regression model was adopted. The result showed that age, stage of lactation, teat lesion could be a risk factors for presence of bovine mastitis (OR = 1.34, 1.59 and 7.31 respectively) (Table 6). On the other hand, strong relationship was found between milk production and occurrence of bovine mastitis. (t - test = 51.32, P < 0.01).

DISCUSSION

Mastitis can be defined as an inflammation of the mammary glands caused by physical or chemical agent, but the majority of the infections are usually caused by bacteria (Quinn et al., 1994). Bovine mastitis is of great economic importance to dairy industry world wide (Miller et al., 1984). Those farms previously belonged to Hillat kuku dairy project. The area was chosen in accordance to the result that obtain from the Khartoum state ministry of agriculture and animal resources (2003) which conducted survey on milk hygiene in Kuku area at the farm level, bulk milk and venders. The survey proved that Kuku area is the mostly bad in this concern.

The questionnaires survey revealed that, most of the owners were poorly managed their farms because they didn't know the basic of farm production management and also they have not consulted professionals to help them on managing their farms. This resulted in a poor performance of dairy production. (Saluiemi, 1980) stated that current knowledge on the impact of the production environment on udder health is considerable. Moreover, practical experience of mastitis control has confirmed the importance of the stand structures, ventilation, milking machine, management practices, milking technique in particular and hygiene on udder health. Also Abdullah (2002) claimed that good management is the key factor on controlling the environment for protection and hence mastitis occurrence.

According to our findings, mastitis is one of the common diseases in dairy farms (33%), although most of the farmers had access to veterinary services. (Miller et al., 1984) reported that mastitis is the most common disease

Table 4. Gram positive and negative bacteria isolated.

Isolates	Clinical No (%)	Sub-clinical No (%)	Total No (%)
<i>Staphylococcus spp.</i>	8 (38.1)	99(53.8)	107 (52.5)
<i>Streptococcus spp.</i>	4(19)	21(11.4)	25(12.3)
<i>Enterbacterium spp.</i>	---	4(2.2)	4(2)
<i>Lactobacillus spp.</i>	---	4(2.2)	4(2)
<i>Coryneform bacterium</i>	4(19)	23(12.5)	27(13.2)
<i>Micrococcus spp.</i>	1(4.8)	9(4.9)	10(4.9)
<i>Pseudomonas spp.</i>	3(14.3)	8(4.3)	11(5.9)
<i>Bacillus spp.</i>	1(4.8)	9(4.9)	10(4.9)
<i>Aercoccus spp.</i>	----	7(3.8)	7(3.2)
Total	21(100.0)	184(100.0)	205(100.0)

Table 5. The association between the occurrence of mastitis and various factors.

Factors	χ^2	P - value
Age	6.50	0.039*
Stage of lactation	12.58	0.002**
Tick infestation	89.95	0.000**
Conformation of udder	79.87	0.000**
Teat lesion	70.91	0.000**
Previous history of mastitis	175.58	0.000**

χ^2 Chi square P - value = probability.

* The difference was significant ($p < 0.05$).

** the difference was high significant ($p < 0.01$).

Table6. The logistic regression module to demonstrate the association between the presence of bovine mastitis and some factors.

Factor	SE	OR	95% CI
Age	0.19	1.34*	(1.02 - 1.76)
Stage of lactation	0.22	1.59 *	(1.22 - 2.08)
Tick infestation	0.03	0.15	(0.10-0.23)
Conformation	0.03	0.08	(0.04-0.15)
Teat lesion	1.90	7.31*	(4.39-12.17)
Previous history of mastitis	0.01	0.06	(0.04-0.10)

SE \equiv Standard Error OR \equiv odd ratio CI \equiv Confidence Interval.

* indicated OR > 1 and could be considered a risk factor.

that affects adult dairy cows.

In the farms surveyed, the housing condition were mostly bad (63.3%), this means that they do not even adopt to minimal standard in hygiene and all of the farms ground surface were clay (100%) that reflects on the hygiene status. Also ventilation, the space allows for the cows and wetness in the farm reflected in poor production. Saluiemi (1980) reported that in loose housing, the movements of the cows getting up and down are less restricted than when cows are confined, the lying area is

usually contaminated with ample layer bedding and is therefore soft. In all farms surveyed, milkers didn't clean udders and teats before and after milking which may lead to milk contamination and udder infection. Saluiemi (1980) reported that if there is mastitis problem with cows in a loose house the cause is often poor milking hygiene or a faulty milking machine. Muddy outside pen or faulty ventilation, often combined with wet cubicles, which lead to mastitis problem caused by environmental pathogens (Radostits et al., 2000).

60% of the owners explained the absence of recording system in their farms, although records are an important part in monitoring the incidence of any disease. Mastitis is one of the few diseases where detailed analysis of the data can be used to help in the control of infection, that according to (Philpot et al., 1991). At the same time, they did not adopt culling chronically infected cows; Culling is used in mastitis control because infected udders are sources of new infection (Radostits et al., (2000).

Milk hygiene could also be evaluated by bacterial isolation from the milk samples. In the present study, the bacteria isolated from the milk were predominated, by *Staphylococcus* species and *Streptococcus* species, these bacteria may originate from udder infection or contamination due to mismanagement practices such as poor milk system (hand milking). Earlier studies showed that this method does not only reflect infection but also the possible contamination of milk in its passage through the milking process. (Nyaga et al., 1982 and Gonzalez et al., 1988). Comparable results were reported by (Elliot et al., 1976; El Tayeb and Habiballa, 1978; Hinckely et al., 1988; Gonzalez et al., 1988; Zingeser et al., 1991).

The predominant bacteria isolated in the present study were *Staphylococcus* and *Streptococcus*. *Staphylococcus* species are known to cause sub clinical mastitis among dairy cattle (Shalalli et al., 1982; Zingeser et al., 1991; Aydin et al., 1995). *Staphylococcus* species may cause high incidence of sub clinical mastitis as well as out breaks of clinical mastitis among dairy cows (Keskuntepe et al., 1992). However the frequent isolation of this organism may be due to surface contamination of milk (Ku-

mar et al., 1974). Radostits et al. (2000) reported that, coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* (CNS) are commonly isolated from normal milk samples, teats canals and teats skin. He also explained that *streptococcus agalactia* is a highly contagious obligate microorganism of bovine and a major cause of udder infections. While, Todhunter et al. (1995) reported that the proportion of intra-mammary infections caused by environmental *Streptococcus* such as *Streptococcus uberis* has markedly increased. In addition, these authors indicated that this pathogen is the leading cause of both sub clinical and clinical mastitis in dairy cattle worldwide. The frequency of isolation of other organisms such as *Enterobacterium*, *lactobacilli*, was less significant than *Staphylococcus* species.

In this study, isolated *Corynebacterium* were *Corynebacterium striatum* 9 (33.3%), *Arcaobacterium pyogene* 4(14.8%), *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* 2 (7.4%), *Corynebacterium ulcerans* 5(18.5%), *Corynebacterium bovis* 7 (25.9%).

Corynebacterium species isolated from bovine udder include *Corynebacterium pyogenes*, *Corynebacterium bovis*, *Corynebacterium mursiseptium*, *Corynebacterium xerosis*, *Corynebacterium alcerance* and *Corynebacterium striatum*. (Higgs et al., 1967). *Corynebacterium* spp. alone was found to be associated with clinical mastitis (Kurek, 1973; Jha et al., 1994). And in some areas they were incriminated as the second most common bacteria isolated from bovine mastitis (Shalalli et al., 1982).

Although *Corynebacterium bovis* is usually thought to be saprophytic, it has been incriminated as disease producing organism that is associated with mild udder infection (Bourland et al., 1967; Timms and Schultz, 1987). Moreover, Costa et al. (1998) isolated *Corynebacterium bovis* alone or mixed with *streptococcus* spp. from clinical and sub clinical bovine mastitis. The main reservoir is the infected gland or teats duct (Radostits et al. (2000). They also reported that in contrast to contagious mastitis, environmental mastitis caused by coli forms bacteria for example *Escherichia Coli*, is primarily associated with clinical mastitis, rather than sub-clinical mastitis.

The present study also revealed a close positive relationship between isolation of bacteria from mastitic milk samples and California mastitis test. As all milk samples that positive to CMT, specific bacteria was isolated. This means that CMT was a good diagnostic tool in the detection of sub-clinical mastitis hence it could be most reliable test to be conducted to investigate sub-clinical mastitis in the dairy farms of the Sudan. On the other hand the culture method may be used to confirm and aid for proper treatment (Sharma and Rajani, 1969; Adlan et al., 1980; Shuklaad Sypekar, 1982), these observation are similar to that of (Motie et al., 1985; Bekele and Molla, 2001). Who reported a strong positive correlation between the CMT scores and bacteriological results?

Prevalence of 20.17% of sub-clinical mastitis was obtained, where as 3.58% were clinical mastitis, this based on the California mastitis test.

Risk factors such as age difference, stage of lactation,

tick infestation, confirmation of the udder, teat lesion and previous history of mastitis were highly significant in the mastitis prevalence ($p < 0.01$), this result found to be agreed with Saluiemi (1980), who stated that the concentration of antibacterial factors in udder secretion are under genetic control and depend on the lactation stage and udder health. Moreover the teat canal represent a physical barrier to the penetration of bacteria when dilated, the risk of ascending infection is high. The teat canal remains open after milking for approximately 2 h, in that time the cow may lye down during this critical period. Tick infestation and teat lesions findings were in contrast with the observation of Bekele and Molla (2001), who suggested that heavy tick infestation and teat lesions might be responsible for udder infection and also lead to udder abnormalities and deformities and blind in teats.

The relationship between occurrence of mastitis and milk production was found significant (P - value 0.00) when the milk production increase also the risk of the infection increase and the animal been very susceptible to the disease, similar result were found by Gröhn (2000) contracted the disease, compared to their health and general lower yield herd. In contrast to our findings, Eberhart and Guss, (1970) reported that the rate of new intra mammary infections is significantly high in the dry period than during lactation period, also Bush and Oliver (1987) mentioned that the greatest increase in susceptibility is during the first 3 weeks of the dry period in which the new infection rate is higher than during the preceding lactation and the second period of heightened susceptibility occurs just prior to calving and in the immediate post partum period.

Conclusions

- i.) The prevalence of mastitis found to be high (20.17%) for the sub-clinical mastitis while low prevalence (3.58%) for clinical mastitis in farms examined.
- ii) Poor farms management affect occurrence of mastitis in the study farms.
- iii) California mastitis test is a good for epidemiological survey of sub-clinical mastitis.
- iv) Different *Corynebacterium* species were isolated from the clinical cases of mastitis.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah SA (2002). Milk production and management of its schemes in Sudan, chapter four pp. 59- 62.
- Adlan AM, Shommein AM, El Amin ED (1980). A survey of bovine mastitis in four dairy farms in the Sudan. *Sud. J. Vet. Res.* 2:37-38.
- Annual Report of the Sudan veterinary service (1953). Ministry of Animal Res. Sudan.
- Aydine F, Leloglu N, Sahin M, ColaK A, Otlı S, (1992). Identification and antibiotic sensitivity of microorganism causing clinical and sub-clinical mastitis in dairy cows in the Kars district. *Pandik Veteriner Mikrobiyoloji- Dergisi* 26: 55-65.
- Bagadi HO (1970a). The etiology of bovine mastitis in three areas in the Sudan, *Top. Anim. Hlth. Prod.* 2: 28-34.
- Bagadi HO (1970b). The incidence of mastitis organisms in relation to

- advancement of lactation. *Bri. Vet. J.* 130: 33-35.
- Bekele T, Molla B (2001). Mastitis in lactating camels (Camels *dromedarius*) in Afar Region, North-East Ethiopia. *Berl. Munch. Tierarztl. Wochenschr.* 114: 169-172.
- Blood DC, Radostitis OM, Henderson JA (1989). *Veterinary Medicine.* 7th ed., Balliere Tindall London pp. 501-550.
- Blood DC, Radostitis OM, Henderson JB (1983). *Veterinary medicine, a text Book of diseases of cattle, sheep, pigs and horses.* 6th Ed, Balliere Trindal London pp. 495-500.
- Bourland CT, Marshal RT, Hindery GA, Turner CW (1967). Mastitis due to *Corynebacterium bovis* and estragon interaction. *J. Dairy. Sci.* 50:978
- Costa EO, Ribeiro AR, Watanabe ET, Melville PA (1998). Infections of bovine mastitis caused by environmental organisms, *ZL BI .Vet. B. (B)* 45: 65 -71.
- Cousins C L, Higgs T M, Jackson E R, Neav F K, Dodd F H (1980). Susceptibility of the bovine udder to bacterial infection in the dry period. *J. Dair. Res.* pp. 47-11.
- DE Boer AJ (1977). A classification and scheme for African production system and it is use for resource allocation program design and project monitoring. O cc. Econ. Paper No. 7, ulca: Iddis Ababa cited by pyre, W. J. A. (1986). *An introduction of Animal Husbandry in tropics.* 4th ed. Longman pp. 256-417.
- Eberhart R J (1986). Management of dry cow to reduce mastitis. *J. Dairy. Sci.* 69: 1721.
- Eberhart R J, Guss S B (1970). Group G Streptococci in the udder of a Pennsylvania dairy herd. *J. Anim. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 157: 1195- 1199.
- El Tayeb A, Habiballa N (1978). Persistent mastitis in a dairy herd of a breeding centre. Sudan. *J. Vet. Sci. Anim. Husb.* 19: 96- 101.
- Elliot REW, Jeain GT, Brookbanks EO (1976). New Zealand National mastitis. The microflora of bovine composite milk samples. *New Zeal. J. Vet.* 24: 80-84.
- FAO (1989). Milking, milk production, hygiene and udder health. *Animal production* 78: 34.
- Galton DM (1984). Dairy herd management. *Year Book of Agric. Anim. Hlth. Livestock and Pets, USA.* pp. 113- 117.
- Gonzalez RN, Jasper DE, Bushnell RB, Farver TB (1988). Relationship between mastitis pathogen number in bulk tank milk and bovine udder infection in a California dairy herd. *J. Anim. Vet. Med. Asso.* 189: 442- 445.
- Gröhn YT (2000): Milk yield and disease: towards optimizing dairy health and management decisions *bovine practitioner* 34(1): 32-40.
- Hafez PM, Razig SA, EL Amarusi's (1987). Studies on mastitis in farm animal in El Hassa. *Assuit. Vet. Med. J.* 19:140-145.
- Hagan W, Bruner D (1988). *Microbiology and infectious disease of domestic animal.* 8th Ed, Gillspie J F, Scatl F W, Bariough J F, Ithaca. Cornell University Press, Ithaca.
- Hamid ME, El Sanousi SM, Minnikin DE, Goodfellow M (1998). Isolation of *Nocardia farcinica* from zebu cattle suffering from mastitis in Sudan. *Sud. J. Sci. Anim. Husb.* 37: 66-71.
- Higgs TM, Smith A, Cleverly L, Neave FK (1967). *Corynebacterium ulceranse* infection in a dairy herd. *Vet. Res.* 72: 497- 498.
- Hinckley LS, Benson RH, Post JE (1988). How management affect the control of Staphylococcal mastitis. *Dairy Sci.* pp. 250-256.
- Honkanen T, Pyörälä S (2000). Monitoring and management of udder health at the farm: bovine udder and mastitis, printed by Gummerus Kir Japaino press, Finland p.252
- Howell D (1972). Survey on mastitis caused by environmental bacteria. *Vet. Res.* 90: 654- 657.
- Ibrahim A E (1968). Milk hygiene and bacteriology in the Sudan: A survey of mastitis and brucellosis in dairy cows. *Bull. Anim. Hlth. Prod. Afr.* pp. 51-55.
- Isam MM (1986). Methods for assessing the bacteriological quality of raw milk and for hygiene from dairy farms at Aedamer Province. M.V.Sc Thesis. University of Khartoum, Sudan.
- Jha VC, Hakur RP, Yadar JN (1994). Bacterial species isolated from bovine mastitis and their antibiotic sensitivity patterns. *Sud. Vet. J. Anim. Sci.* 9: 21- 23.CAB.
- Jahnke HE (1977). Classification and description of livestock system with special reference to the identification of development possibilities, working paper. ILCA, Iddis, Cited by Payne JA (1986). *An Introduction to Animal Husbandry in the Tropics* 4th ed. pp. 256- 417.
- Kamal A (1983). The prospect of dairy farm developed in Khartoum Province. Diploma thesis University of Khartoum. Sudan.
- Kaneen J, Bandhard HS (1990). The national animal health monitoring system in Michigan cost estimates of selected dairy cattle diseases. *J. Vet. Med.* 8: 127-140.
- Keskintepe L, Vural R, Erdeger J, Sarac S, Kilicoglu C (1992). Studies in the use of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as a carier in the treatment of sub clinical mastitis. *Turkish, Etlik, Veteriner Mikrobioloji Deryisi,* 7:137-144, CAB.
- Kitchen BJ, Sengkwee W, Middle- TG, Andrews RJ (1984). Relationship between the level of NAEase in bovine milk and the presence of bovine pathogen. *J. Dairy Res.* 51: 11- 16.
- Kurek C (1973). *Corynebacterium* organism in the udder of dairy cows. Laboratory diagnosis, survey of cases and seasonal occurrence. *Medicine Waterayina* 29: 164-166. Cited by Mamoun TC ,(1981). *Aerobic bacteria of bovine milk in the Sudan.* M. V. Sc. Thesis, University of Khartoum Sudan.
- Kumar A, Misa DS, Sethi MS, Singh R (1974). Sanitary analysis of milk in Tarai Region. *Indian. Vet. J.* 51: 113- 118.
- Leslie K (2003): Mastitis prevention strategies for the dry Period. University of Giuetph.
- Longo F, Salt O, Van GF (2001). Incidence of clinical mastitis in fresh dairy herd: epidemiological data and economic cost. *Folia Vet.* 1: 45.
- McFadden TB, Alers AM, Capuce AV (1984). Relationship of milk protein. with SCC in milk of dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 71: 826.
- Messerli J (1993). *Yersinia pseudo tuberculosis*, the causal agent of mastitis in cow. *Vet. Bull.* 43.
- Miller RH, Emanuels V, Bradund L, Person E, Funke H, Pillipson J (1984). Relationship of current bacteriological status of the mammary gland to dairy milk yield and composition. *Dairy Sci.* 46: 6212.
- Ministry of Agriculture and animal Resources, Khartoum state (2003). Survey on milk hygiene on dairy farms, markets and Venders.
- Motie A, Ramndit S, Mohabir R (1985). Sub clinical mastitis in dairy cattle in Guyana- Trop. *Anim. Hlth Prod.* 17: 245- 246.
- Nazik E, Sanaa AM, Yagoub O, Ibtisam EM (2004). Isolation and identification of bacteria associated with raw milk production and distribution at Hillat Kuku. *Sud. J. Vet. Anim. Husb.* 43: 40-45.
- Nyaga PN, Kagiko MM, Gathuma JM (1982). Milk hygiene in nomadic herds in Kenya evaluated by bacterial isolation and bacterial viability trials in traditionally fermenting milk and drug sensitivity. *Bull. Anim. Hlth. Prod. Afr.* 30:19-24
- O'Sullivan BM, Bauer JJ, Stranger RS (1971). Bovine mastitis caused by *Pasteurella multocida*. *Aust. J. Vet.,* 47: 576-578.
- Obeid A I (1983). Field investigation, clinical and laboratory findings of camel mastitis. M. V. Sc. Thesis, University of Khartoum.
- Osman AH (1970). Genetic analysis of daily milk yield in a dairy herd of Northern Zebu cattle. *Trop. Agri.* 47: 205-213.
- Osman AH, El Amin FM (1971). Some dairy characteristics of Northern Zebu cattle. II Inheritance of some reproductive and milk production traits. *Trop. Agric.* 48:201-280, cited by Mahassin A (1988). Study of milk recording, quality and quantity of different herds under the Gezira Prevailing condition. M. Sc., thesis University of Khartoum Sudan.
- Oudar J, Joubert J, Viallier J, Gailere F, Goret P (1966). A typical Mycobacterium of animals. *Vet. Bull* 37: 426
- Philpot WN, Nickerson SC (1991). Mastitis Counter Attack, a strategy to combat mastitis. Published by Westphalia Babeson Bros, Co. Illinis, USA p.50.
- Philpot WN, Stephen C, Nickerson (1999). In mastitis counter attack a strategy to combat mastitis. Published by Westphalia pp. 60-65.
- Pyörälä S, Jousimies-somer H, Mero M (1992). Clinical, bacteriological, and therapeutic aspects of bovine mastitis caused by aerobic and anaerobic pathogens. *Br. Vet.* 148: 54-62.
- Quinn PJ, Carter ME, Markey BK, Carter GR,(1994). *Clinical veterinary microbiology.* 1st ed. London: Wolfe publishing .
- Radostitis OM, Blood DC, Gay T (1994). *Veterinary Medicine.* 12th ed., Balliere Tomdall London pp: 510-560.
- Radostitis OM, Gay CC, Blood DC, Hinchcliff KW (2000). Mastitis. In *Veterinary Medicine* 9th ed., W. B. Saunders, London pp. 601-700.
- Ruthenberg J (1976). *Farming system is the tropics* (2nd ed.). Clarendon press. Oxford cited by payne, W. J. A. (1986). *An introduction to animal Husbandry in tropics* pp: 256-417.

- Saluemi H (1980). Udder diseases in dairy cows- field observation on incidence, somatic and environmental factors and control. Finland. J. Sci. Agric. Soc. 52: 85- 184.
- Schaufus P, Lammler C, Blobel H (1969). Rapid differentiation of Streptococci isolated from cow with mastitis. J. Clin. Microb. 24: 1088-1099.
- Schukken VH, Grommer GJ, Geer DV, Brand A (1989). Incidence of clinical mastitis on farms with low somatic cell count. Chap. three pp.12-23.
- Sharma RM, Pasker RA (1969). Occurrence and ecologic features of *Staphylococcus uberis* in the dairy cows. J. Anim. Vet. Res. 31: 1197-1203.
- Shalalli AA, Salwa ME, Dirdiri NI, Harbi MS, Shamat AM (1982). A preliminary survey of mastitis and brucellosis in some dairy farms in the Blue Nile Province. Sud. J. Vet. Res. 4:37-40.
- Shigidi MT, Mamoun IE (1981). Isolation of *Nocardia asteroides* from cattle with mastitis in Sudan. Bull Anim. Hlth. Prod. Afr. 29: 275-208.
- Shommein AM, Abdel Rahim AI, Shaddad SA, Suliman HB (1988). Bovine mastitis in the Sudan as impediment to dairy production. Sud. J. Vet. Sci. Anim. Husb., 27: 1-5.
- Shuster DE (1989). Pathophysiological causes of suppression in milk synthesis during endotoxin refractory state in the bovine mammary gland. Dairy Sci. pp. 1-2.
- Smith KL, Todhunter DA, Schoeuberger PS (1985a). Environmental mastitis: cause prevalence and prevention. J. Dairy Sci. 68:1531.
- Smith KL, Todhunter DA, Schoeuberger PS (1985b) Environmental pathology and intra mammary infection during the dry period. J. Dairy Sci. 68: 402.....
- Thrusfield M (1995). Veterinary epidemiology. 2nd. Blackwell Science Ltd. UK.
- Todhunter DA, Smith KL, Hogan JS (1995). Environmental infection of streptococcal in mammary gland. J. Dairy. Sci. 78: 2366-2374.
- Timms LL, Schultz LH (1987). Dynamics and significance of coagulase negative Staphylococcus intramammary infection. J. Dair. Sci., 70: 1-5.
- Zingesser J, Daye Y, Lopez V, Grant G, Bryan L, Kearney M, Hugh-Jones M (1991). National survey of clinical and sub clinical mastitis in Jamaican dairy herds. Trop. Anim. Husb. Hlth. Pro. 23: 2- 10.