Full Length Research Paper

Obesity and ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase 1 (ENPP1) polymorphism and their association with pathophysiology diabetes type 2 in Central Indian population

Arvind K. Tripathi^{1*}, Smriti Shukla¹, Mrigendra Kumar Dwivedi¹, Jitendra Kumar Tripathi¹, U. K. Chauhan¹, Samta Shukla¹, Manoj Indurkar² and Sher Singh Parihar¹

¹Centre for Biotechnology Studies, A.P.S. University, Rewa 486003, India. ²Department of Medicine, S.S. Medical College, Rewa 486001, India.

Accepted 21 January, 2013

Diabetes is a metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia (excessive amount of glucose) and is associated with abnormal lipid and protein metabolism. This was the first study done in Vindhyan region. The population size selected in this study was 400 (190 cases of diabetes and 210 healthy control). Anthropometric data was collected during sample collection. Genetic polymorphism study of ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase 1 (ENPP1) was done using polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method and the observed genotype frequencies, allele frequencies and carriage rates for ENPP1 K121Q polymorphism. We found an overall distribution of ENPP1 K121Q genotypes which was not significantly different in the healthy control (HC) group as compared to the disease group. Type 2 diabetes is a multifactorial disorder; so, we can conclude that in our population, ENPP1 is not associated with type 2 diabetes pathophysiology in Central Indian population. Higher body mass index (BMI) is an indicator of obesity, and in this investigation we found out that BMI is significantly higher in female diabetic patients group as compared to healthy control females (P = 0.0388); meanwhile in male patients, BMI was not significantly different in case and control samples. This indicates that obesity could also be associated with type 2 diabetes. Smoking and physical activity data was generated through questionnaire organized during sampling, and the findings suggest that physical activity could be a protective factor which decrease diabetes susceptibility, meanwhile smoking have very little or no effect over diabetes susceptibility.

Key words: Type 2 diabetes, obesity, ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase 1 (ENPP1), physical activity.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia (excessive amount of glucose) and is associated with abnormal lipid and protein metabolism. It has become a global health problem having the largest prevalence worldwide, and now it is the world's sixth leading cause of death. Although, the cause of diabetes are not very much clear, but many habits (life style factors) as well as genetic susceptibility is now known to cause this disease. Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a complex metabolic disorder resulting from the interplay of both genetic and environmental factors like lifestyle and food habits (McCarthy and Froguel, 2002).

In the past two decades, the genetic analysis with documentation of life style data collection has suggested the possible involvement of the genetic base as well as

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: arvindt2584@gmail.com. Tel: 9713354375.

life style factors. Ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase 1 (ENPP1) encodes for a transmembrane glycoprotein, which interacts with the insulin receptor and inhibits subsequent insulin signaling. ENPP1 interacts with α -subunit of the insulin receptor to interrupt signaling (Maddux and Goldfine, 2000). A missense mutation in 121 codon has been found to be significantly associated with increased diabetes risk, and in many studies this allele has also been found to be associated with obesity and other many related metabolic disorders. K121Q (where a lysine, K, is substituted by a glutamine, Q, at codon 121) predisposes to insulin resistance and related abnormalities. The 121Q variant binds insulin receptor more strongly than the K121 variant. Costanzo et al. (2001) described a K121Q variant in the PC1 gene (rs1044498) and demonstrated that it was strongly associated with insulin resistance in 121 healthy non obese and non diabetic Caucasians in Sicily (Pizzuti et al., 1999).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The study population consisted of 400 unrelated subjects comprising of 190 T2D patients and 210 ethnically matched controls of Indo-European ethnicity. In central India, the population is highly matched with North Indian population, because many Hindu, Sikh and Muslims migrated from North India. Cases included consecutive patients of urban region who attended the Department of Medicine, S.S.M.C. Rewa, Ayurveda Medical College Rewa, Ranbaxy Pathology Regional Collection Centre, Rewa. Type 2 diabetes was diagnosed in accordance with World Health Organization (WHO Expert committee, 2003) criteria. Pregnant women, children under the age of 18 years and any patient with type 1 diabetes were excluded from the study. All the participants were asked to fill a detailed questionnaire at the time of recruitment, seeking information regarding individual's age, sex, ethnicity, dietary habits, physical activity, life style, personal and family medical history.

Anthropometry

Height and weight were measured in light clothes and without shoes, in standing position, as per standard guidelines. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Waist circumference was measured in standing position midway between iliac crest and lower costal margin and hip circumference was measured at its maximum. Waist to hip ratio (WHR) was calculated using waist and hip circumferences. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were measured twice in the right arm in sitting position after resting for at least 5 min, using a standard sphygmomanometer, and the average of the two reading was used.

Biochemical analysis

Biochemical parameters related to type 2 diabetes were estimated for both cases and control subjects. Measurement of serum levels of total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), HbA1c, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), urea, uric acid, C-reactive protein (CRP) and creatinine were measured based on spectrophotometric method using automated clinical chemistry analyzer, Cobas Integra 400 plus (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany).

Blood collection and plasma/serum separation

Venous blood samples were obtained from the subjects after 12 h of overnight fasting in vacutainers with and without appropriate anticoagulants. Immediately, plasma and serum from the respective vacutainers were separated by centrifuging the tubes at 1000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C.

Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) isolation

Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood by the modification of salting out procedure described by Miller et al. (1988).

Detection of ENPP1 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) via polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP)

The K121Q (substitution of A base to C at 121 codon) polymorphism of ENPP1 gene was amplified by PCR. This polymorphism is a functional polymorphism causing change of amino acid from lysine to glutamine. Primer sequences oligonucleotide sequence (primers) was designed to amplify the gene; a wild type gene is as a result of lack of restriction site for AvaII enzyme, but mutant allele contains a restriction site.

PCR mix

The PCR was carried out in a final volume of 25 μ l containing 100 ng of genomic DNA (4 to 5 μ l), 2.5 μ l of 10× Taq polymerase buffer (10 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.8, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.01% gelatin, 0.005% Tween-20, 0.005% NP-40; final concentration 1×; Genetix Biotech Asia Pvt. Ltd., India), 1 μ l of 10 mM deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs) (Bangalore Genei, Bangalore, India), 1 μ l of 25 pmol/ μ l of forward and reverse primers specific for and 1 μ l of unit of 1 U/ μ l Red Taq DNA polymerase (Bangalore genei).

PCR thermal program

After an initial denaturation of 5 min at 94 °C, the samples were subjected to 35 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, at 55 °C for 40 s, and 72 °C for 40 s, with a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C in a thermal cycler. A 100 bp ladder with amplified product was done under 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and a 238 bp product was generated after PCR.

Restriction digestion

The 238 bp product was digested with Avall enzyme (New England Biolabs, overly, MA) for 16 h at 37 °C. The wild-type genotype (KK) was not digested, whereas the mutated homozygous genotype (QQ) was cut as a doublet of 148 and 90 bp. The heterozygous genotype (KQ) was represented as 3 fragments of 238, 148, and 90 bp. Samples were analyzed by electrophoresis using 2.5% agarose gels to analyze the genotype pattern of the gene.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done by using Prism 5.0, San Diego, USA

Characteristic	Case	Control	P-value	
n (Men/Women)	190 (126/64)	210 (114/96)		
Age (years)	52.5±12.5	53.0±14.2	0.7100	
Height (m)	160.50±13.40	162.2±12.000	0.1815	
Weight (kg)				
Women	62.5±5.70	60±4.50	0.0024**	
Men	68±5.60	66.0±7.1	0.0157*	
BMI (kg/m²)				
Women	26.4±3.1	25.1±4.3	0.0388*	
Men	24.6±4.7	24.1±5.1	0.4301	
Waist circumference (cm)				
Women	92.5±6.2	84.5±6.7	P<0.0001***	
Men	90.0±7.0	89.0±6.0	0.2383	
Hip (cm)				
Women	95.0±5.0	96.5±6.0	0.178	
Men	91.0±4.0	90.5±5.5	0.4183	
WHR				
Women	0.97±0.05	0.88±0.08	P<0.0001***	
Men	0.99±0.05	1.00±0.03	0.0147*	

Table 1. Comparison of anthropometric parameters of diabetic patients and controls.

(www.graphpad.com).

RESULTS

Anthropometric results

The descriptive data and comparison of anthropometric parameters of diabetic patients versus controls are presented in Table 1. The diabetic patients had markedly higher levels of weight of women (P = 0.0024), men (P = 0.0157) and BMI of women (P = 0.0388), waist circumference in women (P < 0.0001), WHR in women (P < 0.0001) and WHR in men (P = 0.0147). Other results were not significantly different between case and control group and are tabulated in Table 1.

Biochemical and clinical findings

Biochemical test were performed in the blood sample for all the clinical parameters and the findings were tabulated. Statistical analysis was done by using student's t test, and p-value obtained suggested the level of significant changes here. The descriptive data and comparison of biochemical parameters of diabetic patients versus controls are presented in Table 2. As expected, the diabetic patients had markedly higher levels of fasting plasma glucose (P < 0.0001) and HbA1c (P < 0.0001) and post prandial glucose (P < 0.0001) as compared to that of control subject. Nominal difference was also observed for LDL-C (P = 0.0462), triglyceride (P = 0.0024), and systolic blood pressure (P = 0.0447). Creatinine value, blood urea level, HDL-C level and diastolic pressure were not significantly different between the two groups and all the clinical test results are tabulated in Table 2.

Detection of genetic polymorphism in ENPP1

ENPP1 K121Q polymorphism (rs1044498) was analyzed by PCR-RFLP method (Table 3). PCR amplification with specific primers gave 238 bp products which were digested with Avall enzyme (New England Biolabs, Boverly, MA) for 16 h at 37 °C. The wild-type genotype (KK) was not digested, whereas the mutated homozygous genotype (QQ) was cut as a doublet of 148 and 90 bp. The distribution of the polymorphism of ENPP1 (rs1044498) was consistent with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in diabetic patients as well as in healthy controls.

No significant level of change has been seen in distribution of ENPP1 K121Q genotypes in healthy control

Characteristic	Case	Control	P-value	
FPG (mg/dl)	143.3± 17.6	92.44±7.5	P<0.0001***	
Post-prandial glucose (mg/dl)	211.7±44.7	108.5±12.1	P<0.0001***	
HbA1C (%)	6.9±0.8	5.3±0.6	P<0.0001***	
HDL-C (mmol/L)	112.2±14.8	109.8±11.6	0.0705	
LDL-C (mg/dl)	42.1±4.3	41.3±3.7	0.0462*	
TG (mg/dl)	131.1±13.2	126.9±14.2	0.0024**	
Systolic BP (mmHg)	130.20±8.1	128.8±5.7	0.0447*	
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	87.1±5.8	86.5±6.0	0.3109	
Blood urea (mg/dl)	9.1±1.6	8.8±1.8	0.0801	
Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.08±0.14	1.06±0.10	0.0986	

Table 2. Comparison of biochemical and clinical findings of diabetic patients and controls.

Values expressed in mean \pm standard deviation (SD) are taken at one point of time during treatment and will not indicate a long life trend of the concentrations in the given patients. *Denotes the level of significant change between case and control. BP = blood pressure, LDL-C = low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C = high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, FPG = fasting plasma glucose, TG = triglyceride.

Table 3. Fisher exact test values of ENPP1 polymorphism.

ENPP1 genotype	Case (n = 190)		Control	Control (n = 210)		Odda ratio (05% CI)	
	n	%	n	%	F value		
KK	121	63.68	146	69.52	0.2427	0.7687 (0.5066-1.166)	
KQ	66	34.74	62	29.52	0.2841	1.271 (0.8340-1.936)	
QQ	3	1.58	2	0.96	0.6717	1.668 (0.2757-10.10)	
Allele							
K	308	81.05	354	84.29	0.0609	0.7976 (0.5524-1.152)	
Q	72	18.94	66	15.71	0.2000	1.254 (0.8684-1.810)	
Carriage rate							
K	187	98.42	208	99.05	0 2690	0.8339 (0.5627-1.236)	
Q	69	36.32	64	30.48	0.3009	1.199 (0.8091-1.777)	

*Denotes the level of significant association between case and control), n = number of individuals in study group% = genotype allele frequency and carriage rate.

(HC) group as compared to diabetic patient group; although, HC group showed little increase in common 'KK' genotype as compared to patients of diabetes type 2 (69.5 versus 63.7%, respectively). Similarly, 'QQ' genotype was present at lesser frequency in diabetes type 2 patients group (1.58%) and also in control group (0.96%). The overall genotype was statistically non significant. Major allele 'K' was found at slightly lower frequency in diabetic group (63.68%) as compared to HC group (69.52%) whereas allele 'Q' was present in slightly higher frequency in the disease group (18.94% in patients and 15.71% in control) but the difference was nominal and not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 1.461$, P = 0.2268).

An odds ratio of 1.254 of rare allele 'Q' showed moderate effect of minor allele in diabetes susceptibility. Carriage

rate of allele 'Q' was slightly higher in diabetic group as compared to healthy control (36.32 versus 30.48%) whereas carriage rate of allele 'K' was approximately similar in both control and disease group and no significant level of change has been seen. Odds ratio of minor allele Q carriage is 1.199, which did not suggest any association of Q allele carriage with disease susceptibility.

Physical activity and smoking habits

Physical activity and smoking habits were asked of both case and control individuals during collection of samples by a brief questionnaire, and the data obtained are depicted in Table 4. Physical activity was decided on the basis Table 4. Life Style factors selected in present investigation.

Life style factors		Case (n = 190)		ol (n = 210)	χ2 value	Odde retic and O
		%	n	%	(P value)	Odds ratio and Ci
Physical active population (according to CDC 2010)	79	41.57	112	53.33	5.524 (0.0188*)	0.6227 (0.4191-0.9253)
Smoking habits (light and heavy smokers)	49	25.79	47	22.38	0.6354 (0.4254)	1.205 (0.7613-1.908)

n = number of individuals in study group, % = genotype allele frequency and carriage rate, *denotes the significant level of association between case and control, CI = confidence interval.

of the Centre for Disease Control (CDC) (2010) recommendations and criteria. In diabetic case, lower number of physically active persons was seen as compared to control (41.57 versus 53.33%). A significant association of physical activity was seen (Chi square value 5.524, df: -1 and P value 0.0188). An odds ratio of physical activity was 0.6227 (CI = 0.4191 to 0.9253), which indicates that the positive association of physical activity with prevention of diabetes and active life style could be concluded as a very important life style factor which can prevent pathophysiology of diabetes type 2.

Smoking habit data were also collected during questionnaire organized during sample collection and the data obtained are depicted in Table 4. Here, mixed urban as well as rural population were included in the present investigation and we did not discriminated light and heavy smokers, because of irregular smoking quantity of patients. Bidi and cigarette smokers were included as smoking population. The data indicates that the percentage of smokers was not very much different both in case and control population (25.79 versus 22.38%), and no significant difference was seen. Odds ratio of smokers were 1.205 which indicates that possibly, smoking could be a risk factor associated with diabetes type 2 pathophysiology, although the modes of infection are not very much clear.

DISCUSSION

Diabetes type 2 is a well established multifactorial disorder which is strongly associated with genetic as well as life style and environmental factors, that is, neither genetic nor environmental factors alone could be sufficient to cause diabetes type 2, but both of them are needed. Although, etiology of type 2 diabetes is not very clear, many studies and family history suggest the transmission of diabetes type 2 in families, and also due to 100% susceptibility of the diabetes in twins, this strongly supports its genetic base.

BMI indexing is a tool used for documentation of obesity. In our present investigation, we found that BMI was significantly higher in diabetic females; meanwhile, the male group did not show any significant level of change. BMI of diabetic females were 26.4 as compared to healthy females 25.1 (P = 0.0388). WHR was also higher in both male and female. Sedentary lifestyle is a key to the rise in the prevalence of both obesity and diabetes (Blair and Timothy, 2003). In the past decade, we have witnessed an epidemic of both type 2 diabetes and obesity. The prevalence of type 2 diabetes has increased by 33% in the United States, and 62% of Americans are classified as obese (BMI \geq 30 kg/m²) or overweight (BMI 25 to 29.9 kg/m²). The recent increase in the prevalence of obesity is closely paralleled by the increase in the prevalence of diabetes.

Indeed, this new unprecedented phenomenon has been referred to as "diabesity." There is a clear strong relationship between obesity and the risk for diabetes (Caprio, 2003). In India, National Family Health Survey Report (2007) indicates that on average, 12.1% male and 16% female are obese and the risk of obesity is increasing rapidly. Our data also suggests that obesity and higher BMI can be an important factor which can affect the susceptibility to diabetes type 2 (Third National Family Health Survey, 2006). ENPP1 gene is known to be a susceptible gene, because of its affinity to bind with alpha subunit of insulin receptor and may inhibit the tyrosine kinase activity which is essential for glucose metabolism (Maddux and Goldfine, 2000). Metformin, a biguanide oral antidiabetic agent, was shown to affect insulin resistance by decreasing enzymatic activity of over expressed PC-1 molecules in obese type 2 diabetics. In functional studies, the 121Q allele variant binds more strongly to the insulin receptor and inhibits its protein kinase activity more effectively than the K variant (Costanzo et al., 2001).

The role of genetic polymorphism of ENPP1 gene in susceptibility to diabetes type 2 has been widely studied, but the results are inconsistent in different populations. Many case control studies had concluded the possible role of ENPP1 gene in genetic susceptibility to diabetes type 2, while some others showed that ENPP1 gene plays a possible role in obesity. Many other studies revealed that ENPP1 is neither associated with diabetes nor with obesity. No particular gene could be defined as universal which can alone cause diabetes type 2, because of the multi-factorial nature of diabetes. Our finding showed that genotype pattern and allele frequency of ENPP1 K121Q was not significantly different between case and healthy controls. With odds ratio of 0.7687, KK genotype may indicate little protective role, but neither KK nor other genotypes were significantly different, although the higher allele frequency of mutant 121Q allele in diabetic population has been seen, but the difference was also not significant. The frequency of homozygous mutant 121Q allele was very low in case as well as in control. Overall, allele 'K' was found when the frequency was a little lower in disease group as compared to HC group, whereas allele 'Q' was present when the frequency was a little higher in the disease group (18.94% in patients and 15.71% in control) but the difference was nominal. An odds ratio of 1.254 of rare allele 'Q' showed little or no effect of mutant allele in diabetes susceptibility. Carriage rate of allele 'Q' was slightly higher in diabetic group as compared to healthy control (36.32% in case versus 30.48% in control).

Previous studies suggested that the frequency of the 121Q allele carriers in the ethnic Chinese study was 18.8%, Caucasians (23.2 to 36.4%), South Asian Indians (specially Chennai population living in Chennai and Dallas, USA (27.5 to 34.2%)), African-Americans (67.0%), and Dominicans (78.4%). Caucasian population of USA and Finland showed the significant association of 121Q allele with diabetes type 2 (Abate et al., 2003, 2005; Kubaszek et al., 2004). In addition to diabetes, the PC-1 Q121 allele has recently also been reported to influence the risk of obesity (Barroso et al., 2003). Many association studies have been done, but the results are different in different race and population.

Other Caucasians, including Sweden and Denmark showed that ENPP1 polymorphism was not associated with susceptibility to diabetes type 2 (Gu et al., 2000; Rasmussen et al., 2000), while the same finding of lack of association has been reported from Chinese population (Miao-Pei et al., 2006), North Indian Sikh population (Bhatti et al., 2010). Another study in Spanish population also showed that ENPP1 K121Q polymorphism was not significantly associated with diabetes type 2 (Gonzalez-Sanchez et al., 2003). Our findings were also consistent with Caucasian and African-American adults (Matsuoka et al., 2006), Oji Cree (Hegele et al., 2001) and Mexicans (Cruz et al., 2010). It is possible that the susceptibility induced by the ENPP1 K121Q gene polymorphism is modulated by interactions with other ethnic specific genetic or environmental factors.

The study in Central Indian population has shown that ENPP1 K121Q polymorphism is not associated with

susceptibility to diabetes type 2 and the results are similar to previous study already done in North Indian as well as many Caucasians, Japanese and Chinese. Heterozygous and minor Q allele homozygous was closer to Caucasians and lower than Sikh population. Although, the Sikh population study showed maximum level of heterozygous, but the statistical difference were not seen. Other studies done before in South Indian Chennai population and some Caucasian population showed that Q allele could be a risk factor and in our study, allele Q was present in higher percentage in the form of heterozygous in diabetes patients, but its effect or association with diabetes type 2 was not significantly established. In studies of complex multi-factorial disorders such as type 2 diabetes, discordant results in genotype-phenotype association are not uncommon. These discordant results suggest that differences in either the genetic and/or environmental backgrounds of the subjects studied or the recruitment procedures of the populations investigated are important factors in these analyses.

It has been established before that with lifestyle modification (weight loss, regular moderate physical activity), diabetes can be delayed or prevented (Tuomilehto et al., 2001; Pan et al., 1997). In our study, we collected the information from case and control individuals and their level of physical activity were decided according to CDC (2010) guidelines and recommendations.

In diabetic case, lower number of physical active persons was seen as compared to control (41.57 versus 53.33%). The significance level was sufficiently strong to reveal the protective association of physical activity (χ^2 = 5.524, P value 0.0188). An odds ratio of 0.62 clearly indicates the positive association of physical activity with prevention of diabetes and active life style which could be concluded as a very important factor which can prevent pathophysiology of diabetes type. Exercise has been shown to increase insulin-stimulated glycogen synthesis through an increased rate of insulin-stimulated glucose transport by GLUT4 glucose transporters and increased glycogen synthase activity (Perseghin et al., 1996), and this may be an important effect of active life style which may decrease the hyperglycemia by stimulating the glucose transport and glycogen synthesis. Many other protective role of active life style has been suggested and widely accepted worldwide. Western culture and sedentary life style with intake of high calorie rich food has increased the risk of obesity and diabetes type 2. A complex interaction between lifestyle factors and disease susceptibility was found in the present study. Physical activity (PA) is an independent life style factor which significantly reduces the risk of hypertension and diabetes. We found out that 20 min/day exercise can reduce the risk of diabetes.

Smoking is an established modifiable risk factor which is associated with many diseases such as cardiovascular disease (CVD) (Burke et al., 1997; Greenland et al., 2003) and cancer. To some extent, the effects in physical conditions of smoking and diabetes are similar, which brings the question of if there is any association between smoking and diabetes. Many studies evidenced that chronic smokers have a higher risk for insulin resistance and to develop diabetes type 2 mellitus (DM2) (Willi et al., 2007; Carlsson et al., 2004; Eliasson, 2003; Facchini et al., 1992). Our result showed that there was not much difference between the percentage of smokers in case and control and there was lack of statistically significant association, but an odds ratio of 1.205 showed a little higher risk of diabetes type 2 in smokers as compared to non smokers. Our results indicated that smoking may increase the risk of diabetes type 2, but relation with disease susceptibility was not established. It was previously reported in a meta-analysis that heavy smokers (at least 20 cigarettes daily) had a 61% higher risk, while less than 20 cigarettes daily were correlated to a 29% increase of the risk (Willi et al., 2007).

Many other studies also showed that current smokers have a 1.2 to 2.6-times higher risk of diabetes type 2 than non smokers (Rimm et al., 1995, 1993; Manson et al., 2000; Persson et al., 2000; Wannamethee et al., 2001; Will et al., 2001). Our results were consistent with this findings obtained by meta-analysis, but risk of diabetes was lower with smoking in our result as compared to results of the meta-analysis. The reason which could clarify our results is that we did not discriminate smokers in both light and heavy, because the people included in this study were not sure about their daily smoking level. Probably due to this reason, our results showed a lower relative risk of smoking habit in diabetes type 2 in the present investigation. Our study supported all these studies and showed that smoking may be a life style factor responsible for diabetes type 2 pathophysiology, and if people start to guit smoking they can prevent themselves from being diabetic.

In our present investigation, we did not select alcoholism as life style factor, because previous findings existing clearly suggested no effect on diabetes susceptibility. Light-to-moderate alcohol consumption is associated with reduced risk of diabetes. A meta-analysis of 370,000 individuals with 12 years of follow-up showed a U-shaped relationship, with a 30 to 40% reduced risk of the disease among those consuming 1 to 2 drinks/day when compared with heavy drinkers or abstainers. The risk of diabetes among those who consumed three or more drinks/day was similar to that of abstainers (relative risk (RR) 1.04 [95% CI 0.84 to 1.29]) (Koppes et al., 2005).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support for this research was provided by APS University, Rewa and samples were provided by Department of Medicine, S.S. medical College, Rewa.

REFERENCES

Abate N, Carulli L, Cabo-Chan A, Chandalia M, and Snell PG, Grundy

- SM (2003). Genetic polymorphism PC-1 K121Q and ethnic susceptibility to insulin resistance. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 88:5927-5934.
- Abate N, Chandalia M, Satija P, Adams-Huet B, Grundy SM, Sandeep S, Radha V, Deepa R, Mohan V (2005). ENPP1/PC-1 K121Q polymorphism and genetic susceptibility to type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 54:1207-1213.
- Barroso I, Luan J, Middelberg RP, Harding AH, Franks PW, Jakes RW, Clayton D, Schafer AJ, O'Rahilly S, Wareham NJ (2003). Candidate gene association study in type 2 diabetes indicates a role for genes involved in β-cell function as well as insulin action. PLoS Biol. 1(1):E20.
- Bhatti JS, Bhatti GK, Mastana SS, Ralhan S, Joshi A, Tewari R (2010). ENPP1/PC-1 K121Q polymorphism and genetic susceptibility to type 2 diabetes in North Indians. Mol. Cell Biochem. 345(1-2):249-257.
- Blair SN, Timothy SC (2003). The Importance of Physical Activity and Cardiorespiratory Fitness for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Spectr. 16(4):236-240.
- Burke AP, Farb A, Malcom GT, Liang Y, Smialek J, Virmani R (1997). Coronary risk factors and plaque morphology in men with coronary disease who died suddenly. N. Engl. J. Med. 336:1276-1282.
- Caprio S (2003). Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes: The Twin Epidemic: Preface. Diabetes Spectr. 16(4):230.
- Carlsson S, Midthjell K, Grill V (2004). Smoking is associated with an increased risk of type 2 diabetes but a decreased risk of autoimmune diabetes in adults: an 11-year follow-up of incidence of diabetes in the Nord-Trondelag study. Diabetologia 47:1953-1956.Eliasson B (2003). Cigarette smoking and diabetes. Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis. 45(5):405-413.
- Centre for Disease Control and Prevention CDC (2010). The State Indicator Report on Physical Activity, 2010 National Action Guide. Available (http://www.cdc.gov/physicalactivity/downloads/PA_State_Indicator_

Report_2009_Action_Guide.pdf) ostanzo BV_Trickitta V_Di Paola B_Spampinato D_Pizzuti A_Vigneri

- Costanzo BV, Trischitta V, Di Paola R, Spampinato D, Pizzuti A, Vigneri R, Frittitta L (2001). The Q allele variant (GLN121) of membrane glycoprotein PC-1 interacts with the insulin receptor and inhibits insulin signaling more effectively than the common K allele variant (LYS121). Diabetes 50(4):831-836.
- Cruz M, Valladares-Salgado A, Garcia-Mena J, Ross K, Edwards M, Angeles-Martinez J, Ortega-Camarillo C, de la Pen^a JE, Burguete-Garcia AI, Wacher-Rodarte N, Ambriz R, Rivera R, D'artote AL, Peralta J, Parra EJ, Kumate J (2010). Candidate gene association study conditioning on individual ancestry in patients with type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome from Mexico City. Diabetes Metab. Res. Rev. 26(4):261-270.
- Facchini FS, Hollenbeck CB, Jeppesen J, Chen YD, Reaven GM (1992). Insulin resistance and cigarette smoking. Lancet 339:1128-1130.
- Gonzalez-Sanchez JL, Martínez-Larrad MT, Fernández-Pérez C, Kubaszek A, Laakso M, Serrano-Ríos M (2003). K121Q PC-1 gene polymorphism is not associated with insulin resistance in a Spanish population. Obes. Res. 11:603-605.
- Greenland P, Knoll MD, Stamler J, Neaton JD, Dyer AR, Garside DB, Wilson PW (2003). Major risk factors as antecedents of fatal and nonfatal coronary heart disease events. JAMA. 290:891–7.
- Gu HF, Peter A, Eero L, Lucia F, Antonio P, Vincenzo T, Leif CG (2000). Association between the human glycoprotein PC-1 gene and elevated glucose and insulin levels in a paired-sibling analysis. Diabetes 49(9):1601-1603.
- Hegele RA, Harris SB, Zinman B, Hanley AJ, Cao H (2001). Absence of association of type 2 diabetes with CAPN10 and PC-1 polymorphisms in Oji-Cree. Diabetes Care 24(8):1498-1499.
- Koppes LL, Dekker JM, Hendriks HF, Bouter LM, Heine RJ (2005). Moderate alcohol consumption lowers the risk of type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. Diabetes Care 28:719-725.
- Kubaszek A, Markkanen A, Eriksson JG, Forsen T, Osmond C, Barker DJ, Laakso M (2004). The association of the K121Q polymorphism of the plasma cell glycoprotein-1 gene with type 2 diabetes and hypertension depends on size at birth. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 89: 2044-2047.

- Maddux BA, Goldfine ID (2000). Membrane glycoprotein PC-1 inhibition of insulin receptor function occurs via direct interaction with the receptor alpha-subunit. Diabetes 49(1):13-19.
- Manson JE, Ajani UA, Liu S, Nathan DM, Hennekens CH (2000). A prospective study of cigarette smoking and the incidence of diabetes mellitus among US male physicians. Am. J. Med. 109: 538-542.
- Matsuoka N, Patki A, Tiwari HK, Allison DB, Johnson SB, Gregersen PK, Leibel RL, Chung WK (2006). Association of K121Q polymorphism in ENPP1 (PC-1) with BMI in Caucasian and African-American adults. Int. J. Obes. (Lond) 30(2):233-237.
- McCarthy MI, Froguel P (2002). Genetic approaches to the molecular understanding of type 2 diabetes. Am J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 283(2):E217-225.
- Miao-Pei C, Fu-Mei C, Dao-Ming C, Jack CRT, Han-Fen H, Shyi-Jang S, Yau-Jiunn L (2006). ENPP1 K121Q Polymorphism is not related to type 2 diabetes mellitus, features of metabolic syndrome, and diabetic cardiovascular complications in a Chinese population. Rev. Diabet. Stud. 3:21-30.
- Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF (1988). A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 16(3):1215.
- Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH, Wang JX, Yang WY, An ZX, Hu ZX, Lin J, Xiao JZ, Cao HB, Liu PA, Jiang XG, Jiang YY, Wang JP, Zheng H, Zhang H, Bennett PH, Howard BV (1997). Effects of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance. The Da Qing IGT and Diabetes Study. Diabetes Care 20(4):537-544.
- Perseghin G, Price TB, Petersen KF, Roden M, Cline GW, Gerow K, Rothman DL, Shulman GI (1996). Increased glucose transportphosphorylation and muscle glycogen synthesis after exercise training in insulin-resistant subjects. N. Engl. J. Med. 335:1357-1362.
- Persson PG, Carlsson S, Svanstrom L, Ostenson CG, Efendic S, Grill V (2000). Cigarette smoking, oral moist snuff use and glucose intolerance. J. Intern. Med. 248:103-110.
- Pizzuti A, Frittitta L, Argiolas A, Baratta R, Goldfine ID, Bozzali M, Ercolino T, Scarlato G, Iacoviello L, Vigneri R, Tassi V, Trischitta V (1999). A polymorphism (K121Q) of the human glycoprotein PC-1 gene coding region is strongly associated with insulin resistance. Diabetes 48(9):1881-1884.

- Rasmussen SK, Urhammer SA, Pizzuti A, Echwald SM, Ekstrom CT, Hansen L, Hansen T, Borch-Johnsen K, Frittitta L, Trischitta V, Pedersen O (2000). The K121Q variant of the human PC-1 gene is not associated with insulin resistance or type 2 diabetes among Danish Caucasians. Diabetes 49:1608-1611.
- Rimm EB, Chan J, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC (1995). Prospective study of cigarette smoking, alcohol use, and the risk of diabetes in men. BMJ 310:555-559.
- Rimm EB, Manson JE, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Rosner B, Hennekens CH, Speizer FE (1993). Cigarette smoking and the risk of diabetes in women. Am. J. Public Health 83:211-214.
- Third National Family Health Survey, Mumbai (2006). International Institute for Population Sciences.
- Tuomilehto J, Lindstrom J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hamalainen H, Illanne-Parikka P, Keinanen-Kiukaaniemi S (2001). Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. N. Engl. J. Med. 344:1343-1350.
- Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Perry IJ (2001). Smoking as a modifiable risk factor for type 2 diabetes in middle-aged men. Diabetes Care 24:1590-1595.
- Will JC, Galuska DA, Ford ES, Mokdad A, Calle EE (2001). Cigarette smoking and diabetes mellitus: Evidence of a positive association from a large prospective cohort study. Int. J. Epidemiol. 30(3):540-546.
- Willi C, Bodenmann P, Ghali WA, Faris PD, Cornuz J (2007). Active smoking and the risk of type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 298:2654-2664.