Full Length Research Paper

Antioxidative properties of the leaves of *Daphniphyllum* chartaceum Rosenthal

Swarnendu Roy^{1*} and Sukanta Majumdar^{2,3}

¹Department of Botany, Kurseong College, Dow Hill Road, Kurseong, West Bengal, India. ²Department of Botany, Darjeeling Government College, Darjeeling, West Bengal, India. ³Department of Botany, APC Roy Government College, Matigara, Siliguri-10, West Bengal, India.

Accepted 1 March, 2012

In vitro antioxidative properties of methanol, chloroform and diethyl ether extracts of the leaves of Daphniphyllum chartaceum Rosenthal. were examined spectrophotometrically. In vitro antioxidant activity of all the extracts were determined by 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging activity assay, reducing power assay, hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) scavenging activity and total phenol content. Among all the three extracts, diethyl ether showed highest DPPH scavenging (32.78±0.11) at a concentration of 1000 µg/ml and reducing power activity (0.908±0.013). The methanolic extract exhibited higher phenolic content (0.19±0.013 mg/ml catechol equivalent) and as a result, there was an enhanced H_2O_2 scavenging activity (27.93±0.47), followed by the chloroform extract. The observations from this study suggest the potentiality of D. chartaceum, which could be commercially exploited by the pharmaceutical industry as a natural antioxidant.

Key words: *In vitro* antioxidative activity, 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging assay, reducing power assay, natural antioxidant.

INTRODUCTION

Daphniphyllum chartaceum Rosenthal., a type genus of the family Daphniphyllaceae is an evergreen dioecious tree growing up to above 6 m. It is locally known as dhodey-chandan. The plant is geographically distributed from Eastern Asia to Central Asia and Eastern Himalayas to Northern Burma in an altitude between 1200 to 3000 m (Gamble, 1922). The plants related to the genus Daphniphyllum are reported to be used in folklore medicines in South-East Asia and Southern China for the treatment of various ailments. Many of the plants of this genus are used in the treatment of asthma, cough, rheumatism, inflammation, fever, fractures and snake bites (Kothiyal et al., 2011). Recently, few members of the genus become famous for their anti-tumour, antioxidant,

anti-platelet aggregation, vasorelaxant and insecticidal properties (Zhen et al., 2009). It also has some aesthetic and religious uses in this region (Avasthe et al., 2004). Over 200 *Daphniphyllum* alkaloids have been isolated from the different species of the genus which are biosynthesized from six molecules of mevalonic acid (Niwa et al., 1973).

All living organisms require oxygen for its existence, which is a highly reactive molecule that damages living organisms by producing reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Davis, 1995). Consequently, the organisms contain a complex network of antioxidant metabolites and enzymes that work together to prevent oxidative damage to cellular components such as DNA, proteins and lipids.

In general, antioxidant systems either prevent these reactive species from being formed or remove them before they can damage vital components of the cell (Sies, 1997) which can otherwise lead to several human diseases such as cancer, stroke, myocardial infraction, diabetes and increase the rate of aging. In recent years, much attention has been devoted to natural antioxidant and their associations with health benefits (Arnous et al., 2007). Plants are potential sources of natural antioxidants. Natural antioxidants tend to be safer and they also possess antiviral, antiinflammatory, anti-cancer, antitumor and hepatoprotective properties (Arnous et al., 2007). However, the medicinal properties and antioxidative properties of the different species of the genus Daphniphyllum have been worked upon; there are no records for the species taken in the context of our study. Therefore, the aim of the study was to reveal and explore the in vitro antioxidative properties of the species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Leaves of *D. chartaceum* were collected from Dow Hill region of Kurseong, Darjeeling (Altitude – 1468mts), a part of the Eastern Himalayas. A flowering twig was dried and submitted to NBU herbarium (Accession No.-9623).

Preparation of plant extracts

The leaves were first detatched from the petioles and branches and cleaned with distilled water. The leaves were then shade dried for about 4 weeks and then powdered in a mortar and pestle. The coarsely powdered leaves were then exracted with three standard solvents: methanol, chloroform and diethyl ether following standard extraction protocol (Galvez et al., 2003). Briefly, about 5 gm of powdered leaves were extracted with 50 ml of the three solvents by Soxhlet for 48 h. After filtration, each extracts were concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The dried extracts thus obtained were weighed and dissolved in about 2 ml of the same solvents and stored in vials at 0 ℃ for the analysis of antioxidative activity. The extractive values of the plant material for all the solvents were calculated by the formula as follows:

Phytochemical screening

All the extracts were subjected to phytochemical screening for the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, tannins, steroids and terpenes according to standard procedures (Trease and Evans, 1989).

Antioxidative property assay

Total phenol content

Total phenol content of the plant extracts were determined according to standardized method of Mahadevan and Sridhar (1986). To 1 ml of each extract at different concentrations (200, 400, 600, 800 and 1000 $\mu g/ml)$, 1 ml of Folin-ciocalteau and 2 ml of 20% Na₂CO₃ reagent was added and mixed thoroughly. Mixtures were placed in boiling water bath for 1 min. These were then cooled

under running tap water, the final volume was made up to 25 ml and the absorbance was measured at 670 nm in a colorimeter. The total phenol content is expressed as mg/ml catechol equivalent.

2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging assay

The antioxidant activity of the plant extracts were assessed on the basis of the radical scavenging effect of the stable DPPH free radical (Blois, 1958). About 100 μl of each extract at different concentrations (200, 400, 600, 800 and 1000 $\mu g/ml$) was added to 2.9 ml of DPPH in methanol (0.33%) in different test tubes. After incubation at 37 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ for 30 min, the absorbance of each solution was determined at 517 nm using spectrophotometer (Hwang et al., 2001). Methanol was used as blank. The percentage of free radical scavenging activity was calculated as follows:

Reducing power assay

Reducing power assay of the plant extracts were carried out as described previously (Yildirim et al., 2001). One (1) ml of each extract at different concentrations (200, 400, 600, 800 and 1000 μ g/ml) was mixed with 2.5 ml phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6) and 2.5 ml 1% potassium ferricyanide [K₃Fe(CN₆)]. Then the mixture was incubated at 50°C for 20 min. After that, 2.5 ml of 10% trichloroacetic acid was added to the mixture, and then centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 10 min. Finally, to the supernatant solution 0.5 ml of 1%, Fecl₃ solution was added and absorbance was measured at 700 nm using a spectrophotometer. Phosphate buffer was used as blank solution. Increased absorbance indicates increased reducing

power.

Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) radical scavenging activity

The ability of the extract to scavenge H_2O_2 was determined according to the standardized method (Ruch et al., 1989). A solution of hydrogen peroxide (2 mmol/l) was prepared in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Two (2) ml of each extract at different concentrations (200, 400, 600, 800 and 1000 μ g/ml) were added to H_2O_2 solution (0.6 ml). Absorbance of H_2O_2 at 230 nm was determined after 10 min against a control solution containing phosphate buffer without H_2O_2 . The percentage scavenging activity

Table 1. Solvent extractive values of leaves of *D. chartaceum* extracts.

Type of extract	Solvent extractive value (%)	
Methanol	4.4	
Chloroform	2.2	
Diethyl ether	2.8	

Table 2. Phytochemical screening of *D. chartaceum* extracts.

Phytochemical test	Methanolic extract	Chloroform extract	Diethyl ether extract
Terpene/Sterols	+	-	-
Flavonoids	+	-	+++
Saponins	-	+	-
Alkaloids	++	+	+++
Tannins	+	-	++
Phenols	+++	+	+
Glycosides	+	-	-

^{+,} Indicates presence of compound; -, indicates absence of compound.

Table 3. Total phenol content.

Conc. of plant extract (µg/ml)	Methanolic extract (mg/ml catechol equivalent)	Chloroform extract (mg/ml catechol equivalent)	Diethyl ether extract (mg/ml catechol equivalent)
200	0.041±0.003	0.007±0.004	0.0004±0.0003
400	0.107±0.008	0.008±0.003	0.0009±0.0003
600	0.15±0.013	0.012±0.002	0.0016±0.0005
800	0.18±0.015	0.015±0.003	0.0024±0.0003
1000	0.19±0.013	0.025±0.006	0.003±0.0029

% inhibition of H_2O_2 radical = Abs. of control – Abs. of test sample

Abs. of control \times 100

of H₂O₂ by the extracts was calculated as:

RESULTS

The antioxidant activity of D. chartaceum was determined with reference to total phenol content, DPPH free radical scavenging activity, reducing power assay and H_2O_2 scavenging activity. The extractive value of different solvents was determined (Table 1). The phytochemical analysis (Table 2) showed the presence of terpenes, flavonoids, alkaloids, tannins, phenols and glycosides in methanolic plant extract, among which the intensity of phenolics was found to be higher as compared to the other two extracts. The chloroform extract showed the presence of saponins, alkaloids and phenols. The alkaloids, flavonoids and tannins were the main compounds in diethyl ether extract.

The total phenol content expressed as mg/ml catechol equivalent was found to be higher in case of methanolic extract as compared to chloroform and diethyl ether

(Table 3). DPPH free radical scavenging assay was ideal for screening antioxidative activity because it is very sensitive to detect active ingredients even at low concentrations (Coyle et al., 1993). In the present study, diethyl ether extract was found to possess a higher DPPH radical scavenging activity followed by chloroform and methanol. The maximum percent DPPH radical scavenging (Table 4) was recorded to be 32.78±0.11 for diethyl ether extract at a concentration of 1000 µg/ml. At the same concentration, the value of DPPH scavenged by methanolic extract was 6.45±0.03 and for chloroformic extract was 11.08±0.03. Also, among the three extracts, diethyl ether extract exhibited better reducing power followed by chloroformic and methanolic extract. The maximum reducing power value (Table 5) of 0.908±0.013 was recorded for diethyl ether extract at a concentration of 1000 µg/ml. The H₂O₂ scavenging activity of all the 3 extracts was also determined (Table 6). The maximum

Table 4. DPPH free radical avenging assay.

Concentration (µg/ml)	Methanolic extract	Chloroform extract	Diethyl ether extract
200	1.2±0.009	8.47±0.12	18.76±0.02
400	2.18±0.03	8.68±0.1	21.63±0.2
600	4.7±0.04	9.87±0.05	27.35±0.07
800	5.03±0.007	10.52±0.09	29.84±0.14
1000	6.45±0.03	11.08±0.03	32.78±0.11

Table 5. Reducing power assay.

Concentration (µg/ml)	Methanolic extract	Chloroform extract	Diethyl ether extract
200	0.046±0.009	0.011±0.002	0.319±0.012
400	0.117±0.01	0.025±0.002	0.425±0.015
600	0.163±0.006	0.048±0.002	0.623±0.025
800	0.229±0.01	0.056±0.007	0.731±0.009
1000	0.344±0.01	0.074±0.009	0.908±0.013

Table 6. H₂O₂ scavenging assay.

Concentration (μg/ml)	Methanolic extract	Chloroform extract	Diethyl ether extract
200	21.53±0.7	14.32±0.35	0.72±0.13
400	23.57±0.74	15.38±0.21	1.34±0.06
600	24.28±0.5	15.87±0.11	2.5±0.09
800	25.48±0.38	16.69±0.31	5.01±0.01
1000	27.93±0.47	17.47±0.21	6.45±0.15

maximum percentage of H₂O₂ scavenged was recorded to be 27.93±0.47 for methanolic extract.

DISCUSSION

All the plant extracts evaluated in this study had potential in vitro antioxidative activity. Phytochemical analysis revealed that both methanolic and diethyl ether extract contains terpenes, flavonoids, alkaloids, tannins and phenols. Among the plant extracts, diethyl ether extract showed higher DPPH scavenging and reducing power activity. The probable reason of increased DPPH scavenging and reducing power exhibited by diethyl ether may be due to the presence of higher amounts of alkaloids, flavonoids, phenols and tannins compared to other two solvents. It has been previously reported that other species of the genus *Daphniphyllum* contain highly complex polycyclic alkaloids (Heathcock, 1996) and flavonoid glycosides (Gamez et al., 1998) that are capable of scavenging DPPH free radicals.

Polyphenolic compounds are potential antioxidant substances and protective agents against the development of human disease. On the other hand, there are also reports that describe the peroxidative properties of phenolic compounds (Goldman et al., 1999). A number of

studies showed that antioxidant activity of plant extracts is correlated with total phenolics rather than with any individual phenolic compound (Frankel et al., 1995; Prior et al., 1999). From our study, it is evident that there is a positive correlation between the amount of phenolic compounds and H₂O₂ scavenging. In methanolic plant extracts, an increased percentage of H₂O₂ scavenging was found due to the presence of the different types of phenolic compounds in abundance.

The in vitro antioxidative assays in the present study establishes this species of Daphniphyllum as a potent source of natural antioxidant, that can be utilized for neutralizing different types of oxidative stress in biological systems. This study also provides a new lead as there was no previous record on the antioxidative activity of D. chartaceum. Therefore, further scientific investigation on this species may be carried out in the future that may lead to the development of a safe alternative source of therapeutic drugs against the harmful synthetic antioxidative drugs that are extensively used.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are very grateful to the Head, P.G. Department of Botany, Darjeeling Government College for providing all the facilities available for carrying out the present work.

REFERENCES

- Gamble JS (1922). A Manual of Indian Timbers: An account of the growth, distribution and uses of the trees and shrubs of India and Ceylon, with descriptions of their wood structure. S. Low, Marston and Co. Ltd.
- Arnous A, Makris DP, Kefalas P (2007). Effect of principal polyphenolic components in relation to antioxidant characteristics of aged red wines. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 49:5736-5742.
- Avasthe RK, Řai PC, Rai LK (2004). Sacred groves as repositories of genetic diversity A case study from Kabi-Longchuk, North Sikkim. ENVIS Bull. Himalayan Ecol. 12(1):25-29.
- Blois MS (1958). Antioxidant determination by the use of standard free radicals. Nature 181:1199-2000.
- Coyle JT, Puttfarcken P (1993). Oxidative stress, glutamate and neurodegenerative disorder. Science 262:689-695.
- Davis KJ (1995). Oxidative stress: The paradox of aerobic life. Biochem. Soc. Symp. 61:1-31.
- Frankel EN, Waterhouse AL, Teissedre PL (1995). Principle phenolic phytochemicals in selected California wines and their antioxidant activity in inhibiting oxidation of human low density lipoproteins. J. Agric. Food Chem. 43:890-894.
- Galvez M, Martin-Cordero C, Lopez-Lazaro M, Cortes F, Ayuso MJ (2003). Cytotoxic effect of *Plantago* spp. on cancer cell lines. J. Ethnopharmacol. 88:125-130.
- Gamez EJ, Luyengi L, Lee SK, Zhu LF, Zhou BN, Fong HH, Pezzuto JN, Kinghorn AD (1998). Antioxidant flavonoids, glycosides from *Daphniphyllum calycinum*. J. Nat. Prod. 61(5):706-708.
- Goldman R, Claycamp GH, Sweetland MA, Sedlov AV, Tyurin VA, Kisin ER (1999). Myeloperoxidase-catalyzed redox-cycling of phenol promotes lipid peroxidation and thiol oxidation in HL-60 cells. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 27:1050-1063.

- Heathcock CH (1996). Nature knows best: An amazing reaction cascade is uncovered by design and discovery. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 93:14323-14327.
- Hwang BY, Kim HS, Lee JH, Hong YS, Ro JS, Lee KS (2001). Antioxidant benzoylated flavan-3-ol glycoside from *Celastrus orbiculatus*. J. Nat. Prod. 64:82-84.
- Kothiyal KS, Sati CS, Sati M, Rawat B, Sharma A, Semwal DK, Badoni R, Rawat MSM (2011). The Alkaloids: Genus *Daphniphyllum* [Daphniphyllaceae]. Int. Res. J. Pharm. 2(2):13-21.
- Mahadevan A, Sridhar R (1986). Methods in physiological plant pathology [3rd Edn.]. Sivakami Publications, Chennai. pp. 183-184.
- Niwa H, Hirata Y, Suziki KT, Yamamura S (1973). Biosynthesis of daphnilactone-B. Tetrahedron Lett. 14(23):2129-2132.
- Prior RL, Cao G, Martin A, Sofic E, McÉwen J, O'Brien C (1998). Antioxidant capacity as influenced by total phenolic and anthocyanin content, maturity and variety of vaccinium species. J. Agric. Food Chem. 46:2686-2693.
- Ruch RJ, Cheng SJ, Klaunig JE (1989). Prevention of cytotoxicity and inhibition of intracellular communication by antioxidant catechins isolated from Chinese green tea. Carcinogenesis 10:1003-1008.
- Sies H (1997). Oxidative stress: Oxidants and antioxidants. Exp. Phys. 82(2):291-295.
- Trease GE, Evans WC (1989). Pharmacognosy: A Physicians's Guide to Herbal Medicine. Bailliere, Tindall London 13:886-893.
- Yildirim A, Mavi A, Kara AA (2001). Determination of antioxidant and antibacterial activities of *Rumex crispus* L. extracts. J. Agric. Food Chem. 9:4083-4089.
- Zhen-Yu L, Yu-Cheng G, Dianne I, Jacqueline S, John C, Ping C, Shu-Ying P, Yi-Ming Y, Yue-Wei G (2009). Further Daphniphyllum alkaloids with insecticidal activity from the bark of *Daphniphyllum macropodum*. Chem. Biodivers. 6:1744-1750.