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#### Abstract

Cancer encompasses more than 100 diseases that increase in frequency in older populations. Causal agents include hereditary factors, as well as the exposure to environmental elements. Sociodemographic profile, as a determinant of exposure to different environmental factors, also affects cancer incidence. In Brazil, between 2010 and 2011, 489.270 new cases of cancer were recorded. In face of these facts, the present survey aimed at characterizing the demographic profile as well as the professional activities of patients with a cancer diagnostic who received care from an out-patient clinic in the city of Guarapuava, state of Parana, Brazil. To this end, 460 medical charts were analyzed from the years 2010 and 2011. The most common types of cancer observed were non-melanoma skin cancer ( 156 cases, $34 \%$ ), breast ( $18.3 \%$ ), prostate ( $17.5 \%$ ), colon and rectum ( $12.7 \%$ ), cervical ( $8 \%$ ), lungs ( $5 \%$ ) and stomach ( $2.3 \%$ ). In general, the more relevant risk factors were: being white and female, having more than 60 years of age and exposure to pesticides, sun and tobacco. The average age of cancer patients was above 60, highlighting the continued need for early prevention and diagnostic programs in a country with ageing population.
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## INTRODUCTION

Cancer encompasses more than 100 diseases that share, as a common characteristic, the uncontrolled growth of cells, which rapidly divide invading tissues and organs (Brasil, 2009).
Scientific and technological advances in the fields of cellular and molecular biology, genetics and neuroscience improved our understanding and allowed for the
refinement of theories about the origins of cancer (Figueiredo et al., 2009). Prevailing theories suggest that cancer develops in multiple steps involving hereditary factors and environmentally-derived damage to DNA, which ultimately affect cellular control mechanisms leading to the development of tumors (Teixeira, 2007; Duesberg, 2007).

[^0]Among potential causes of cancer, some have a more direct link to the disease, including environmental risk factors such as ionizing radiation, UV light, alcohol, and tobacco. Hormonal and endogenous factors also increase the risk of cancer, including obesity and the process of aging, as well as exposure to viruses such as human papilloma virus (HPV), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), hepatitis B virus (HBV) and the retrovirus (HTLV1) (Brasil, 2009; Otto, 2002). Some forms of the disease have wellestablished links to predisposing factors. For example, lung cancer has long been associated with the use of tobacco, stomach cancer with poor eating habits and Helicobacter pylori, and skin cancer with UV exposure, as well as skin, hair and eye color (Guerra et al., 2005; Campos et al., 2011)
From 2010 to 2011, 489.270 new cases of cancer were reported in Brazil. Among these, 236.240 affected males and 253.030 affected females. Non-melanoma skin cancer represented 114 thousand of these new cases, and has been considered the type of cancer with the highest incidence among Brazilians (Brasil, 2009), followed by prostate cancer ( 52 thousand), breast (49 thousand), colon and rectum (28 thousand), lungs (28 thousand), stomach (21 thousand) and cervical cancer (18 thousand) (Brasil, 2011).
As the number of patients rise in Brazil, it has become clear that socio-economic status increases the risk of developing certain types of cancer. For example, poor individuals are at greater risk of developing stomach and cervical cancers (Guerra et al., 2005). Thus, a greater knowledge of socio-demographic profiles and associated risk factors might provide the subsidies for better nursing interventions at the community level aimed at protecting and reestablishing the health of individuals.
In face of these facts, the present study aims at characterizing the profile of patients diagnosed with cancer in the city of Guarapuava, PR. This study also sought to identify the risk factors to which these patients were exposed.

## METHODOLOGY

A descriptive, exploratory and archive-based study was conducted with a quantitative approach (Silva, 2002). The research took place in the outpatient oncology clinic of the Hospital São Vicente de Paulo, in the municipality of Guarapuava-PR. The clinic is considered a High-complexity Assistance Unit (UNACON, from the original Portuguese). Thus, it fulfills the technical, physical and human-resource requirements to diagnose and treat the most prevalent cancer types

Patients had daily consultations with an oncologist who, after diagnosing and staging the disease, defined specific treatments, e.g., surgical or hormonal intervention, immunotherapy, or chemotherapy. Cases that required radiotherapy were referred to other units. A majority of the consultations benefitted SUS users (the Brazilian public health system), including an average of 900 cancer diagnostics per year. The outpatient clinic has a multidisciplinary team which composed of medical doctors, nurses, technicians, nursing assistants, psychologists, social assistants, nutritionists and service administrators.

The charts of 460 patients registered at the clinic for cancer treatment were analyzed recording the following information: cancer type, patient race, age, education, work, environmental risks (that is, tobacco, alcohol, exposure to pesticides and UV light, as well as other factors found in the charts). The charts of patients with the most common types of cancer were included in the study according to the National Institute of Cancer (INCA) 2010, including nonmelanoma skin cancer, prostate, breast, colon and rectum, lung, stomach and cervical cancers. All patients included in the study started treatment between January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2011.

The collected data sets were individually tabulated in Excel using statistical distribution of events, depending on the study variables. Data were presented in tables for discussion and analyses.

The chi-square test and Fisher exact test were used for statistical correlation. Significance was accepted when P value was $<0.05$. All analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 19 for Windows (SPSS Inc.).

This project was approved by the Oncology Center of Hospital São Vicente and by the Research Ethics Committee of the CentroOeste State University (Process no. 559/2011). Because archive material was used, informed consent forms were not used.

## RESULTS

Table 1 shows that non-melanoma skin cancer was the most common type of cancer affecting $32.0 \%$ of females ( $n=87$ ) and $36.0 \%$ of males ( $n=69$ ) included in the survey. Among women, breast cancer represented the greatest portion of cases ( $31.2 \%$; $n=85$ ), whereas, among men, prostate cancer was more relevant (35.1\%; $\mathrm{n}=66$ ). Most of the individuals affected by cancer were white, including $97.0 \%$ of the women ( $\mathrm{n}=264$ ) and $96.8 \%$ of the men ( $n=182$ ). Most cases affected people at an age of 60 or more, including $72.3 \%$ of males ( $n=136$ ) and $40.4 \%$ of females ( $n=110$ ). The largest percentage of patients only had primary school education (22.8\% of females and 27.1\% of males). Information on work activity was often missing, but when available, it showed a prevalence of farm workers and retirees among men (11.7 and $23.4 \%$, respectively), and of housewives among women ( $23.5 \%$ ). Table 2 lists the environmental factors and combination of factors to which cancer patients had been previously exposed. Among cancer patients who were exposed to at least one of the risks surveyed, $30.35 \%$ were smokers ( $\mathrm{n}=61$ ) and $16.42 \%$ were exposed to the sun ( $n=33$ ). A separate subtotal shown in Table 2 includes individuals who had no exposure to the risks surveyed $47.5 \%(n=123)$ and whose information was lacking $52.5 \%(n=136)$.
Table 3 exposes the risk factor, according to the prevalence of each cancer type, as well the patient race. As can be seen, the greater cervix, colon, breast, lungs and rectum cancer rate was found in Caucasian patients that had smoking relation; as for the stomach cancer, it has an increase when alcohol consumption was added to the smoking habits of the Caucasian patients. With regards to skin cancer, a huge prevalence to smoking and sun and pesticides exposure it was also observed in Caucasian people.

Table 1. Distribution of cancer cases according to sex, race, age, education and work activity (Guarapuava, PR, Brazil, 2012).

| Parameter | Women |  | Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% |
|  | 272 | 59 | 188 | 41 |
| Cancer type |  |  |  |  |
| Skin | 87 | 32 | 69 | 36.74 |
| Breast | 85 | 31.2 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Prostate | 00 | 0.0 | 66 | 35.1 |
| Colon | 16 | 5.8 | 13 | 6.99 |
| Rectum | 14 | 5.14 | 14 | 7.45 |
| Cervix | 44 | 16.17 | 00 | 0.0 |
| Stomach | 10 | 3.67 | 17 | 0.9 |
| Lung | 16 | 5.88 | 8 | 4.25 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |
| White | 264 | 97 | 182 | 96.8 |
| Black | 02 | 0.80 | 02 | 1.2 |
| Mixed | 01 | 0.20 | 00 | 0.0 |
| No information | 05 | 0.2 | 04 | 0.2 |
| Age range |  |  |  |  |
| 20-29 | 02 | 0.8 | 02 | 1.1 |
| 30-39 | 23 | 8.4 | 04 | 2.1 |
| 40-49 | 59 | 21.7 | 17 | 09.0 |
| 50-59 | 78 | 28.7 | 29 | 15.5 |
| 60 or more | 110 | 40.4 | 136 | 72.3 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| Illiterate | 05 | 1.85 | 02 | 1.07 |
| Primary school | 62 | 22.8 | 51 | 27.12 |
| High-school | 15 | 5.5 | 05 | 2.06 |
| Undergraduate | 05 | 1.85 | 01 | 0.54 |
| No information | 185 | 68 | 129 | 68.61 |
| Work activity |  |  |  |  |
| Farm worker | 16 | 5.9 | 22 | 11.72 |
| Retired | 18 | 6.6 | 44 | 23.40 |
| Housewife | 64 | 23.52 | 00 | 0.0 |
| No information | 157 | 57.72 | 108 | 52.44 |
| Others | 17 | 6.25 | 14 | 7.44 |

## DISCUSSION

A high prevalence of skin cancer was observed among patients of both sexes. Exposure to sunlight represents one of the main risk factors concerning this cancer. Thus,
in Brazil where a large white population is exposed to tropical weather, approximately $50 \%$ of the population is at risk of developing such condition (Popim et al., 2008). For example, in the state of São Paulo and in the Southern region of the country, the most important risk

Table 2. Proportion of cancer patients exposed to different environmental risk factors (Guarapuava, PR, Brazil, 2012).

| Risk factors | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Smoking | 61 | 30.35 |
| Sunlight | 33 | 16.42 |
| Smoking/Alcohol | 32 | 15.92 |
| Smoking/Sunlight | 14 | 6.97 |
| Sunlight exposure/Pesticides | 14 | 6.97 |
| Alcohol | 12 | 5.97 |
| Smoking/Pesticides/Sunlight | 09 | 4.47 |
| Alcohol/Sunlight | 08 | 3.98 |
| Pesticide/Sunlight/Smoking/Alcohol | 07 | 3.48 |
| Smoking/Alcohol/Sunlight | 06 | 2.98 |
| Alcohol/Sunlight/Pesticide | 02 | 0.99 |
| Pesticide | 01 | 0.50 |
| Alcohol/Pesticide | 01 | 0.50 |
| Others | 01 | 0.50 |
| No information | 136 | 52.5 |
| No exposure | 123 | 47.5 |

factors include sunlight exposure and white skin color (Ferreira et al., 2011).
In the current research, the second most prevalent type of cancer, among women, was breast cancer, a finding that agrees with data from the INCA indicating this form of the disease as the most prevalent for this group, with 52,680 new cases in 2012 (Brasil, 2011). Cervical cancer appeared as the third most frequent type among women in our work. This cancer has well defined risk factors including HPV infection, elevated number of sexual partners and smoking (Guerra et al., 2005; Bittencourt et al., 2004).
Prostate cancer was the second most frequent form of the disease among men. In Brazil, this type of cancer has greater incidence in the Midwest Region followed by the Southern Region. Risk factors have not been conclusively established although studies point to age, hereditary factors and dark skin as potential players in the development of the disease (Medeiros et al., 2011). Colon and rectum cancers appeared as the third most frequent cancers. According to INCA, genetic predisposition to chronic intestinal disease, high-fat diets, low ingestion of fruits and vegetables, use of alcohol and tobacco, all contribute to the development of colon and rectum cancers (Brasil, 2009).
Stomach cancer represented a relatively small fraction of the total number of cases. Other studies point to a higher frequency of this cancer in the Southern Region of Brazil (Brasil, 2011), representing the second highest cause of mortality among cancer patients (Brasil, 2009). A diet that is rich in fruits and vegetables prevents against
stomach cancer, further lending support to the idea that antioxidants such as vitamins $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}$ and beta-carotene may effectively hamper the development of tumors (Brasil, 2011). Untreated H. pylori infections represent the strongest risk factor for stomach cancer accounting for $63 \%$ of the cases (Barros et al., 2011).

In Brazil, 2012, according to INCA, 257.870 new cases of cancer occurred among men and 260.640 among women, suggesting, as in our research, that cancer may affect or may be detected more frequently in female individuals (Barros et al., 2011). In general, women have greater awareness of symptoms and physical signs of disease, as well as a greater knowledge of health issues because of their frequent role as family caregivers (Gomes et al., 2003). In addition, men usually have more difficulty in assimilating and adopting preventive and diagnostic measures (Hora et al., 2003).
As the Brazilian population, increasingly ages, chronic diseases come to represent an ever-increasing demand on the health services. Longer life expectancy directly increases the incidence of chronic diseases, especially cancer (Barros et al., 2011; Basilio et al., 2004). This pattern is in line with our survey, where most patients were over 60 years of age, is spite of the fact that this age group accounts for only $4.32 \%$ of the overall population (IBGE, 2010).
Another important factor highlighted by this investigation was the low education level of most patients, which further suggests the need for support material and orientation that can be easily understood by these individuals (Magalhães et al., 2008). Previous work

Table 3. Relation between the cancer types characteristics and risks factors exposure of the studied population.

| Risk | Greater cervix |  | Colon |  | Stomach |  | Mamma |  | Skin |  | Prostate |  | Lung |  | Rectum |  | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% |  |
| Pesticides | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 |  |
| Pesticides/Sun | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | . 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 |  |
| Pesticides/Sun/Smoking/Alcohol | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Alcohol | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 |  |
| Alcohol/Pesticides | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Alcohol/Sun | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Alcohol/Sun/Pesticides | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Smoking | 6 | 1.3 | 3 | 0.7 | 6 | 1.3 | 10 | 2.2 | 12 | 2.6 | 6 | 1.3 | 13 | 2.8 | 5 | 1.1 |  |
| Smoking/Pesticides/Sun | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Smoking/Alcohol | 3 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.2 | 7 | 1.5 | 7 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.2 | 7 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.9 | <0.001 |
| Smoking/Alcohol/Sun | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 |  |
| Smoking/Sun | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.9 | 5 | 1.1 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 |  |
| Smoking/Sun/Pesticides | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 |  |
| Smoking/Sun/Alcohol | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Without External Risk Factos | 15 | 3.3 | 12 | 2.6 | 2 | 0.4 | 43 | 9.3 | 16 | 3.5 | 25 | 5.4 | 3 | 0.7 | 7 | 1.5 |  |
| Wood Dust/Smoking | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Sun | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.7 | 20 | 4.3 | 6 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 |  |
| Sun/Alcohol/Smoking | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Sun/Smoking/Alcohol | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| No information | 17 | 3.7 | 8 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.4 | 11 | 2.4 | 85 | 18.5 | 9 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.7 |  |
| Breed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caucasian | 43 | 9.3 | 27 | 5.9 | 27 | 5.9 | 85 | 18.5 | 153 | 33.3 | 61 | 13.3 | 23 | 5.0 | 27 | 5.9 |  |
| Ebon | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | . 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | . 4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Brown | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | <0.001 |
| No information | 1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | . 2 |  |

indicates that education is inversely correlated with exposure to risk factors, and positively
correlated with the diagnosis of smaller tumors (Menezes et al., 2002).

A high frequency of smoking patients in our study population was observed. It is estimated that $1 / 3$
of the world's population smokes, in spite of the known risks posed by this habit, which in combination with other factors may increase by eight-fold the risk of cancer and other diseases (Almeida et al., 2006). In fact, if the use of tobacco were completely eliminated, $54 \%$ of esophageal cancers would be prevented, as well as $71 \%$ of lung and $86 \%$ of larynx cancers (Paolo et al., 2014; Barros et al., 2006).

In the present survey, patients were exposed to several risk factors in different combinations. Some studies indicate that $45 \%$ of cancer cases among men and $40 \%$ among women could be avoided with the reduction or complete elimination of risk factors from daily life (Campos el al., 2011).

The lack of information on interview methods and missing data on patient charts constituted the main limitations faced. However, the set of data included and organized here allows for a deeper understanding of cancer patients in poorer areas of Brazil, including risks factors, and provides a basis for future investigations as well as preventive interventions.

## Conclusion

The present survey revealed a high incidence of nonmelanoma skin cancer in patients of both sexes, breast and cervical in women, prostate cancer among men among others, such as lung cancer in connection with smoking. The study population was mostly white, older than 60, with low education. It included a high proportion of farm workers exposed to one or more risk factors.

Prevailing cancer types, risk factors, and elderly population being more heavily impacted by the disease were identified. It was concluded to be extremely important to promote preventive measures among the young and adults, including good eating habits, the use of protective equipment among farm workers, reduce use of alcohol and tobacco, periodic exams among women (breast cancer), and men, especially farm workers, who often refuse to perform periodic prostate exams.

The ever-increasing incidence of cancer makes it a matter of public health, requiring incentives and structural support for research, primary prevention and early treatment, which are central to Brazilian health policies.
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