

Full Length Research Paper

A cross sectional study on prevalence of cattle fasciolosis and associated economical losses in cattle slaughtered at Gondar Elfora Abattoir, northwest Ethiopia

Addis Kassahun Gebremeskel^{1*}, Abebaw Getachew² and Daniel Adamu²

¹School of veterinary Medicine, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Ethiopia.

²College of veterinary medicine and animal sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia.

Received 7 July, 2017; Accepted 30 January, 2018

Fasciolosis is a parasitic disease caused by either *Fasciola hepatica* or *Fasciola gigantica*. These parasitic infections are of global significance causing diseases in different mammalian species including humans. In this study, the prevalence and economic significance of Fasciolosis in cattle slaughtered at Gondar Elfora abattoirs was assessed. A total of 400 cattle were examined and 85 cattle (21.2%) were affected by fasciolosis. This findings indicated that, the prevalence of cattle fasciolosis is significantly affected by the age of the animals ($P < 0.05$), where young animals (27.7%) were more affected than the adult ones (17.1%). Body conditions disclosed a significant relation with *Fasciola* infection. Poor body conditioned animals showed the highest prevalence (30.8%) followed by medium (19.5%) and good body conditioned animals (17%). There were statistical significant differences between the different geographical locations. Highest prevalence of fasciolosis was exhibited in animals originated from Dembiya (50%) followed by Debarq (31.6%), Wogera (15%), Gondar zuria (13.5%), Belesa (12.9%), Dansha (11.9%) and Metema (4.7%). As recorded, due to cattle fasciolosis livers were condemned for human consumption. Thus, based on retail value of cattle liver, the direct economic loss from fasciolosis in Gondar Elfora abattoir was estimated to be 63,600 Ethiopian Birr (2316.948 USD) annually. In conclusion, cattle fasciolosis is one of the major parasitic diseases in the study area. Therefore appropriate control measures should be designed and implemented so as to reduce financial losses that may occur from organ condemnation and loss of animals from the disease.

Key words: Cattle, economy, Elfora abattoir, fasciolosis, prevalence.

INTRODUCTION

Ethiopia is rich in livestock and believed to have the largest livestock population in Africa. The central

statistical agency report indicated the total cattle population of the country which is estimated to be about

*Corresponding author. E-mail: addisk2013@gmail.com.

59.5 million, female (55.5%) and male (44.5%). The sector has been subsidizing a significant portion to the country economy and still promising to rally round the economic development of the country (CSA, 2017). Despite the presence of this huge livestock population, Ethiopia is not exploiting its livestock resources as expected due to a number of factors such as animal diseases, recurrent drought, infrastructures problem, rampant animal diseases, poor nutrition, poor husbandry practices, shortage of trained man power and lack of government policies for disease prevention and control (ILRI, 2009).

Among the animal diseases that affect animal health, parasitic infections have a great economic impact particularly in developing countries. Fasciolosis is of the parasitic diseases of domestic livestock caused by *Fasciola hepatica* and *Fasciola gigantica*, commonly called liver flukes that are the most important trematodes afflicting the global agricultural community (Cwiklinski et al, 2016; Deepak and Singla, 2016; Andrews, 1999). Fasciolosis is a neglected tropical disease having both economy and zoonotic importance usually affects poor people from developing countries (Mas-Coma et al., 2014).

It has been estimated that, at least 2.6 million people are infected with fasciolosis worldwide (Fürst et al., 2012).

In Ethiopia, this disease is endemic in most part of the country long time ago as reported by several workers such as Graber, (1978), Goll and Scott (1978), Fufa et al. (2010), Yilma and Mesfin (2000), and Tolosa and Tigre (2007). Although many surveys were conducted, the case is still economic and public health issue. This study assesses the current status of fasciolosis, economical loss due to liver condemnation and identifies associated risk factors for the occurrence of fasciolosis in cattle slaughtered at Elfora abattoir enterprise, Gondar, Ethiopia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the study area

This study was carried out on 400 slaughtered cattle at Elfora abattoir; Amhara regional state, Northwest Ethiopia, from November 2016 to May 2017. Gondar town is located 739 Km away from Addis Ababa at an elevation of 2,220 m above sea level. The town is aligned on latitude of 12°36'N 37°28'E and longitude of 12.6°N37.467°E. Rain fall varies from 880 to 1172 mm with the average annual temperature of 20.3°C (Shewangzaw and Addis, 2016).

Study animals

This study was conducted on 400 slaughtered male cattle brought from different areas nearby Gondar town. The cattle come mainly from Dembiya, Metema, Debarq, Belesa, Dansha, Gondar zuria and wogera.

Study design and sample size determination

A cross-sectional research was done to conduct this study and systematic random sampling technique was used to select appropriate samples. The sample size was determined according to the formula given by Thrusfield (2005). Previous study conducted by Mulat et al. (2012) shown the prevalence rate of 29.75% cattle fasciolosis in the same abattoir.

Hence, using 29.75% as expected prevalence and 5% absolute precision at 95% confidence level, the number of sampled animals needed in the study was 320. However, to increase the level of precision and accuracy of the data, the study was carried out on 400 cattle.

Ante-mortem examination

Ante-mortem examination was conducted in lairage, before slaughtering of animals according to Gracy et al., (1999) recommendation. Risk factors such as age, origin and body condition of individual animal were identified and recorded. Body condition for each cattle was estimated based on Nicholson and Butterworth (1986) ranging from score 1 (emaciated) to 5 (obese).

Therefore, in this study three classes of scoring which include poor (Score 2), medium (Score 3 and 4) and good (score 5) were used. No animals were slaughtered at score 1. The age of the animal was estimated on the basis of dentitions (Cringoli et al., 2002).

Post mortem examination

The liver of each study animal was carefully examined externally for the presence of lesions suggestive of *Fasciola* infection and incised for further confirmation. Liver flukes were detected by cutting the infected liver into fine, approximately 1 cm slices with a sharp knife. Investigation and identification of *Fasciola* species was done according to their distinct morphological characteristics following the standard guidelines given by Urquhart et al. (1996).

Direct economic loss assessment

All fasciola infected livers were considered to be unfit for human consumption and if any liver was infected by *Fasciola* at the Gondar Elfora abattoir, it was totally condemned. Therefore it was analyzed by considering the average number of annually slaughtered cattle in the abattoir from retrospective recorded data, the mean selling price of one liver at Gondar town and the prevalence of fasciolosis in the present study (21.2%).

The average market price of one liver at Gondar town was taken as 50 Ethiopian birr. The mean number of cattle slaughtered in this municipal abattoir was 6000 per year which depends on two years recorded data economic losses, calculated based on condemned livers due to fasciolosis. The estimated annual loss from condemned liver was calculated according to mathematical computation using the formula set by Ogunrinade and Adegoke (1982).

$$ALC = CSR \times LC \times P$$

$$=6000 \times 50 \times 21.2\% = 63,600 \text{ Ethiopian birr}$$

Where:

ALC = Annual loss from liver condemnation,
 CSR = mean annual cattle slaughtered at Gondar Elfora abattoir,
 LC = mean cost of one liver in Gondar town and,
 P = Prevalence of bovine fasciolosis at Gondar Elfora abattoir.

Table 1. Total number of animal examined and expected prevalence from November 2016 to May 2017.

Total samples	Infected animals	Prevalence (%)
400	85	21.25%

Table 2. Prevalence of cattle fasciolosis based on origin of animals from November 2016 to May 2017.

Origin	Prevalence (%)	X ² (P-value)
Metema (n=64)	4.7	57.218 (0.000)
Dembiya (n=72)	50	
Debarq (n=57)	31.6	
Dansha (n=42)	11.9	
Belesa (n=31)	12.9	
G/zuria (n=74)	13.5	
Wogera (n=60)	15	

Table 3. Prevalence based on body condition from November 2016 to May 2017

Body condition	Prevalence (%)	X ²	P- value
Good (n=135)	17	6.663	0.036
Medium (n=174)	19.5		
Poor (n=91)	30.8		

Data management and analysis

All data collected was stored in Microsoft excel spreadsheet for statistical analysis and was analyzed using statically package of social science (SPSS) software version (20.0), to determine the prevalence of cattle fasciolosis and significance of associated risk factors. Association between the variable and the distribution of observed lesion in slaughtered cattle was determined using Chi-square test at critical probability value of $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Overall prevalence of fasciolosis

As shown in Table 1, A total of 400 cattle were examined for the occurrence of fasciolosis out of which, 85 (21.25%) were found infected with fasciola.

Prevalence cattle fasciolosis based on origin of cattle

Statistical significant differences were recorded among animal origins ($X^2 = 57.218$ $P = 0.000$). As denoted by Table 2, the highest prevalence of fasciolosis was obtained from Dembiya (50%) followed by Debarq

(31.6%), Wogera (15%), Gondar zuria (13.5%), Belesa (12.9%), Dansha (11.9%) and Metema (4.7%).

Prevalence based on body condition

As indicated by Table 3, poor body conditioned animals were mostly affected by cattle fasciolosis compared to medium and good body conditioned animals and shown a high statistical significant differences ($P = 0.036$).

Prevalence based on age

Young cattle were highly affected (27.7%) by cattle fasciolosis. As presented in Table 4, there was a statistical differences between young and adult cattle ($P = 0.012$).

DISCUSSION

Fasciolosis is an important zoonotic disease that is responsible for a significant loss in food resource and animal productivity (Jaja et al., 2017). Cattle are less

Table 4. Prevalence based on age groups.

Age	Prevalence (%)	χ^2	P-value
Adult (n=245)	42(17.1)		
Young (n=155)	43(27.7)	6.373	0.012

susceptible to showing clinical signs of fasciolosis as compared to small ruminants (Stella et al., 2017). Therefore, cattle fasciolosis mainly exhibits as a subclinical chronic disease, associated with hepatic damage and blood loss caused by parasites in the bile ducts (Kaplan, 2001). Hence, cattle fasciolosis is of significant economic importance as the resultant liver condemnations need serious consideration in abattoir industries (Abunna, 2010).

The results of the present study publicized that; origin, body condition and age of the animals have significant effect on the prevalence of cattle fasciolosis. The overall prevalence of bovine fasciolosis (21.25%) in the current study was supported by other abattoir-based studies conducted in different parts of Ethiopia such as Alemu and Mekonnen (2013) (22.14%) from Dangila municipal abattoir, Asressa (2011) (24%) from Andassa livestock research center and Berhe et al. (2009) (24.3%) from Mekelle. In contrast to studies such as Mulat Nega et al. (2012), 29.75% was reported from Gondar Elfora abattoir and Yilma and Mesfin (2000) (90.7%) was conducted in Gondar Municipal abattoir; the overall prevalence record in this study was lower.

In the current study, the variations in prevalence rate which based on the origin of animals were probably due to epidemiological factors such as snail population, as a result of favorable conditions. For instance, the occurrence of bovine fasciolosis in Dembiya was the highest and this might be due to the availability of more appropriate environmental conditions such as watershed areas, slowly flowing waterways and lakes like Lake Tana. These factors will create ideal conditions for the occurrence of fasciola infection.

The statistical significance difference between the age groups in this study might be the fact that, young animals are more susceptible to different disease because of poor immunity development and lack of adaptation (Gebremeskel et al., 2017). In this study, the prevalence rate was higher in poor body conditioned cattle than other body condition scores. Different studies revealed the relationship between body conditions and fasciolosis has shown that there is a positive association between fasciolosis and cattle weight loss (Jaja et al., 2017). It is known that animals in good intensive management systems and with adequate veterinary care should be in better body condition than cattle extensively managed with little veterinary services (Jaja et al., 2017). Therefore, types of management system and veterinary services correlate with cattle fasciolosis.

The direct economic loss due to liver condemnation in Gondar Elfora abattoir was closely related with the earlier records of Bekele et al. (2010) (57,960.00 Ethiopian birr) (ETB)) from Adwa and Bekele et al. (2014) (88,806.85 ETB) from Hosanna. These variations in financial loss due to liver condemnation might be as a result of difference in the prevalence of fasciolosis among different study site, period and price of a liver.

Conclusion

Fasciolosis is one of major problem for livestock development in the study area by inflicting direct economic losses and its occurrence closely linked to the presence of environment suitable to the development of snail intermediate host. As reported by the current study, there was a high cattle fasciolosis in the study area. Statistical significant differences were recorded between the risk factors investigated.

Therefore, based on the findings we recommend integrated approach with a combination of chemotherapy. Vector control should be considered more practically and economically, control strategies targeted on the parasite and the intermediate hosts as well as implementation of appropriate grazing management in the study area are warranted due to, the reduction in the risk of infection by planned grazing management especially during high outbreak months by the application of zero grazing (Cut and carry). Farmers who rear cattle should be aware of how to improve feeds to their animals so that the animal can have good body condition that confers some level of resistance against fasciolosis.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Alemu F, Mekonnen A (2013). An Abattoir survey on the prevalence and monetary loss of fasciolosis among cattle, slaughtered at Dangila municipal abattoir, Ethiopia. *J. Vet. Med. Anim. Health* 6(12):309-316.
- Andrews S (1999). *The Life Cycle of Fasciola hepatica: Fasciolosis*, Dalton, J.P. CABI Publishing, pp. 1-29.
- Asressa Y (2011). Study of prevalence of major bovine fluke infection at Andassa livestock research North West Ethiopia, Gondar, Ethiopia.
- Bekele C, Sisay M, Mulugeta D (2014). On farm study of bovine fasciolosis in Lemo district and its economic loss due to liver condemnation at Hosanna municipal abattoir, Southern Ethiopia. *Int.*

- J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. (4):1122-1132.
- Bekele M, Tesfay H, Getachew Y (2010). Bovine Fasciolosis: Prevalence and its economic loss due to liver condemnation at Adwa Municipal Abattoir, North Ethiopia. *Ejast* 1:39-47.
- Berhe G, Kasahun B, Gebrehiwot T (2009). Prevalence and economic significance of fasciolosis in cattle in Mekelle area of Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 41(7):1503-1504.
- Cwiklinski K, O'Neill SM, Donnelly S, and Dalton JP (2016). A prospective view of animal and human Fasciolosis. *Parasite Immunol.* 38(9):558-568.
- Deepak S, Singla LD (2016) Immunodiagnosis Tools for Parasitic Diseases. *J. Microbiol. Biochem. Technol.* 8:514-518.
- Central Statistical Agency (CSA) (2017). Federal democratic republic of Ethiopia Agricultural sample survey 2016/2017. Report on livestock and livestock characteristics (private peasant holdings) volume II. Addis Ababa 585 statistical bulletins.
- Fufa A, Asfaw L, Megersa B, Regassa A (2010). Bovine fasciolosis: coprological, abattoir survey and its economic impact due to liver condemnation at Soddo municipal abattoir, Southern Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 42(2):289-292.
- Fürst T, Keiser J, Utzinger J (2012). Global burden of human food-borne trematodiasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 12(3):210-221.
- Gebremeskel AK, Simeneh ST, Mekuria SA (2017). Prevalence and Associated Risk Factors of Bovine Schistosomiasis in Northwestern Ethiopia. *World* 7(1):01-04.
- Goll PH, Scott JM (1978). The parthenogenesis of domestic animals in Ethiopia (I-2):17.
- Gracy J, Collins O, Huey R (1999). *Meat hygiene*. 10th ed. London: Bailliere Tindal. pp. 220-260.
- International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) (2009). Management of vertisols in Sub-Saharan Africa, Proceedings of a Conference Post-mortem differential parasite counts FAO corporate document repository. Institute of Breeding and Veterinary Medicine of Tropical Countries.
- Graber M (1978). Helminths and helminthiasis of domestic and wild animals in Ethiopia.
- Jaja IF, Mushonga B, Green E, Muchenje V (2017). Seasonal prevalence, body condition score and risk factors of bovine fasciolosis in South Africa. *Vet. Anim. Sci.* 4:1-7.
- Kaplan RM (2001). Fasciola hepatica: a review of the economic impact in cattle and considerations for control. *Vet. Ther.* 2(1):40-50.
- Mas-Coma S, Bargues M, Valero M (2005). Fasciolosis and other plant borne trematodes Zoonoses. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 35:1255-1278.
- Mulat N, Basazine B, Mersha C, Achene M, Tewodros F (2012). Comparison of coprological and postmortem examinations techniques for the determination of prevalence and economic significance of bovine fasciolosis. *J. Adv. Vet. Res.* 2:18-23.
- Nicholson M, Butterworth A (1986). A guide to condition scoring of zebu cattle. ILRI (aka ILCA and ILRAD).
- Ogunrinade A, Adegoke G (1982). Bovine fasciolosis in Nigeria, inter current parasitic and bacterial infection. *J. Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 14:120-125.
- Shewangzaw A, Addis K (2016). Faculty of Sheep Production and Marketing System in North Gondar Zone of Amhara Region, Ethiopia. *Adv. Biol. Res.* 10(5):304-308.
- Thrusfield M (2005). *Veterinary Epidemiology*. 2nd Ed. Blackwell Science Ltd., Oxford, UK. pp.182-198
- Tolosa T, Tigre W (2007). The prevalence and economic significance of bovine fasciolosis at Jimma abattoir, Ethiopia. *Internet J. Vet. Med.* 3(2).
- Urquhart G, Amour J, Dunn A, Jennings F (1996). *Veterinary Parasitology* 2nd Ed oxford: black well publishing. pp. 103-112.
- Yilma J, Mesfin A (2000). Dry season bovine fasciolosis in northwestern part of Ethiopia. *Revue Méd. Vét.* 151(6):493-500.